1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	
5	DAVID DAVIS,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. CASE NO.: 3:06cv544-WHA
8	PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA, et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	VOLUME I
12	* * * * * * * *
13	JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
14	* * * * * * * *
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE W. HAROLD ALBRITTON, UNITED
16	STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, and a jury, at Opelika, Alabama, on
17	Monday, March 3, 2008, commencing at 9:38 a.m.
18	APPEARANCES:
19	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. Douglas L. Steele Attorney at Law
20	WOODLEY & McGILLIVARY 1125 15th Street NW, Suite 400
21	Washington, D.C. 20005
22	Mr. Gary Lamar Brown Attorney at Law
23	FITZPATRICK & BROWN Farley Building, Suite 600
24	1929 Third Avenue North Birmingham, Alabama 35203
25	

1	APPEARANCES, Continued:
2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Mr. James Robert McKoon, Jr. Mr. Joshua Robert McKoon
3	Attorneys at Law  McKOON, THOMAS & McKOON
4	925 Broad Street
5	Phenix City, Alabama 36868
6	Mr. James Paul Graham, Jr. Attorney at Law
7	THE GRAHAM LEGAL FIRM P.O. Box 3380
8	Phenix City, Alabama 36868-3380
9	Proceedings reported stenographically; transcript produced by computer.
10	* * * * * * * * *
11	VOLUME INDEX
12	JURY SWORN 68
13	PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS BY THE COURT 68
14	OPENING STATEMENTS MR. STEELE 77
15	MR. SIEELE 77 MR. MCKOON 86
16	DAVID PAUL DAVIS DIRECT BY MR. STEELE 98
17	CROSS BY MR. MCKOON 142
18	* * * * * * * * *
19	(The following proceedings were heard before the Honorable
20	W. Harold Albritton, United States District Judge, and a
21	jury, at Opelika, Alabama, on Monday, March 3, 2008,
22	commencing at 9:38 a.m.:)
23	(Chambers conference, as follows:)
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. STEELE: Your Honor, we've got, well, two issues to

raise, but the first and the one that really should be addressed first is of considerable concern to the plaintiff.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STEELE: It's come to our attention over the past several days that the defendant has engaged in a course of action that we believe was intended and we know had the effect of intimidating the witnesses on our list, making them not just reluctant to speak with us, but very reluctant to testify with fear of retribution if they do testify. They were ordered, while on duty, to Mr. McKoon's office to be questioned about their testimony in the case. It wasn't a voluntary; they were ordered. And these were the individuals on our witness list. With one of the individuals he was called back a second time to sign some sort of statement or document. We haven't seen it. He felt compelled to sign it. He wasn't given a copy of it. Understandably nervous enough, he's not a hundred percent on what exactly is in there after he did it because of the environment in which he had to do it.

And perhaps most disturbingly -- and I think that this really does need to be addressed -- two individuals were instructed that if they were to speak with us, to seek our counsel on how to respond to the subpoena that we issued, if they were to speak to us -- and we view that as privileged communication -- that they were to contact Mr. McKoon and inform him what we had to say. And one individual -- and we're more

than happy to bring her in and let you talk to her -- she received that instruction. She was uncomfortable with it, so she did not immediately contact Mr. McKoon to convey what we had told her. And so while on duty at work, she was told she had to speak to him on the telephone, at which time she was questioned about that.

What -- the practical effect of that, among other things, is they were effectively using their employees and the power that they have over the employees to make them, in essence, unwilling spies on our trial preparation. I know a lot of people in here have been doing this longer than I have, but I've been doing it quite a while now, too. We've never seen anything that even approaches this. We're talking -- one of the individuals is a union officer. We know that we are not permitted to directly contact the chief, the city manager, the mayor, or the other individuals that Mr. McKoon graciously has offered to produce without the need of a subpoena. We know that those rules apply. We certainly wouldn't even attempt to do that without going through him.

And yet we've got the witnesses on our list being intimidated to the point where we're being asked, you know, is the chief going to be there in the courtroom? Does he have to be when I testify? They're that worried about testifying and they were that uncomfortable about being interviewed or interrogated by the other side about their potential testimony

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a very severe manner.

and about what we said to them. But they all felt obligated because they were on duty and they were told you need to go down and talk to Mr. McKoon. They were never told we would like you to, you don't have to, it's completely up to you, you can go, you can not go, you know, it's completely your choice, no retribution whatever you decide. It wasn't that type of They were on duty and ordered to go to Mr. McKoon's office to be questioned about this. We think that it's incredibly inappropriate, that it significantly interferes with Mr. Davis's ability to have a fair trial when a good number of our witnesses, based upon these actions, are now very reluctant to testify. They were concerned to begin with. I mean this is a retaliation case, so they were concerned to begin with. And then when these activities happened over the past several days, you know, they're frightened, Judge. And it shouldn't have happened, I think it's inappropriate, and I think it needs to be dealt with in probably

And our request on that -- and we make this request with great reluctance. But the only thing that we believe would come close to fully cleansing this problem would be the removal of the city's current counsel in this case and a sanction, assessment of the fees and costs associated with what would undoubtedly be a necessary delay of trial. We think that that's really the only way to fully take care of this matter.

And we have some other issues related to that that we can discuss, but I wanted to set this up, you know, first thing. Mr. McKoon will have a chance, I know, to talk. And you, Your Honor, may want to hear from one or two of these people; and certainly if you so choose, that would be appropriate as well.

THE COURT: These two people that you are saying were called in to talk about what you had said to them, did they eventually do that? I didn't exactly understand that.

MR. STEELE: One of them did. I don't know if the second person did or did not. One of them was on duty and was put on the telephone with Mr. McKoon and questioned. And she told us that yes, she -- she did. She didn't want to. She felt because she's at work and was told that you have to talk to him that she better do it and not make waves. So she did. And I don't know how much she told him.

You know, this is a case that's just amazing to me that there's that overreaching going on. But it's a bad situation when we have, you know, four of the people, you know, we intend to put on telling us that they're feeling intimidated and reluctant to testify because of these actions.

Frankly, there's another person or two on our list, one, in particular, a friend of David's, who certainly we had every expectation would at least talk to us. He refused not only to speak with us -- which is certainly his option --

wouldn't answer calls from David as well. And we believe -- not 1 2 that we can establish it independently, but given what we know 3 going on with the rest, we believe that person probably feels 4 the same way as the individuals that we've spoken to. 5 THE COURT: Was he a firefighter? 6 MR. STEELE: Yes. All of the individuals that we're talking about are employees of the fire department. 7 8 THE COURT: Serious charges, Mr. McKoon. What do say? 9 MR. JAMES MCKOON: (Hereinafter referred to as 10 Mr. McKoon) Judge, I've never been in a situation where I couldn't talk to a witness, especially a witness --11 12 THE COURT: What he's saying is not that you couldn't 13 talk to them. He's just saying they were compelled to talk to 14 you rather than having the freedom to talk or not talk. 15 MR. MCKOON: Judge, nobody compelled anybody to talk to 16 The first I heard that they had contacted -- I know who 17 he's talking -- I assume he's talking about Anne Land and Karl 18 Taylorson. 19 Is that the first two people you're talking about? 20 MR. STEELE: Those are two of the people. 21 MR. MCKOON: Anne Land and Karl -- Anne Land is a 22 captain that works under Wallace Hunter. You know, and let me 23 say this, Judge. You know, my demeanor may be a little 24 disappointed, I guess, right now. You know, I've never in my 25 life had any kind of charge like this leveled against me. This

is -- to me, this is just totally ridiculous. So I have to --1 2 I'm just sitting here kind of stifling my anger about it. 3 But the first I heard about any of this is I got a call 4 from the chief of the fire department, Wallace Hunter, who said 5 that lawyers for the plaintiff had contacted Ms. Land and 6 Mr. Taylorson and wanted to talk to them about this case. And 7 they were asking whether or not they should talk to them. And I 8 first -- my first reaction -- I was doing -- preparing for this 9 trial at the time -- is I said, well, Wallace, just tell them 10 they can talk to them if they want to. And then I thought a 11 minute, and I said, no, don't do that. Let me talk to them. 12 don't want them to say you told them anything. So I got on the 13 phone with both of them and said --14 THE COURT: At the same time or separate? 15 MR. MCKOON: No, sir. They called -- you know, they're 16 at different places. In fact, Mr. Taylorson, I think, was in 17 Opelika at the time. I remember I returned a cell phone call to 18 And I said, look, the proper way to have done this, had the plaintiffs wanted to do it, would have been to take your 19 20 deposition, but they didn't have to take your deposition. And 21 if you want to talk to them, I say go ahead and do it. You're 22 welcome to do that if you want to. Nobody can compel you to 23 talk to them just like nobody can compel you to talk to me. 24 would ask you this. Once you talk to them, if you find out what 25 it is they want to talk to you about and you feel like sharing

it with me, let me know. And that's all I said to them, period. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, what were their positions -- are 3 there positions? What are they? 4 MR. MCKOON: One is a captain that works under --5 Ms. Land is a captain that works I think directly for Wallace 6 Hunter. Karl Taylorson is a driver engineer, a sergeant in the 7 fire department, who I've never met before. I wouldn't know him if I saw him. I called him back and told him that. 8 Mr. Taylorson actually told me, he said, you know, I don't want 9 10 to get involved in this. Everything is going good right now. I 11 don't want to get drug back into this. And I said, Karl, it's 12 up to you. It's just up to you. 13 I didn't keep notes of this. I don't remember if he 14 called me back or -- somebody called me back and said that they 15 had been contacted by the plaintiff. I think it was Ms. Land. 16 I asked if she would come down to talk to me. She rode down to 17 my office and talked to me. The conversation didn't last five minutes. My -- the -- I tell her what I told everybody -- and 18 I'll also tell them something in just a minute -- which was, 19 20 look, I don't care what your testimony is. Nothing's going to 21 happen to your career about this case. I've been involved in 22 hundreds of cases in my lifetime; and cases come and go, but 23 careers are too important. And you can tell me what you want to 24 tell me or you don't have to tell me anything. And that's 25 exactly what I told them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think Ms. Land told me they had asked her whether or not the mayor had said there was an open-door policy. believe that's what she said, and something else. I don't even remember what the other thing was. It was not of any significance, much, to me. I was just curious as to why they were contacting her. And she's an employee and a potential witness in this case. I feel like I have an equal right to talk to her just like they do. Mr. Taylorson never came to my office. My whole conversation with him every time was on the telephone. Now, there's a gentleman named Bill Pitts who is a firefighter, and I asked to speak to Mr. Pitts. And at that time, I did not know that they had even contacted them. know anything about it. The crucial testimony of Mr. Pitts is -- it is Mr. Davis's testimony that Mr. Pitts is the only person that he could recall polling or calling when he conducted this telephone poll he says he conducted before going to the mayor. He says I called all the -- a bunch of union members. And I said who did you call? Well, I don't know. I called -well, can you name one of them? Finally, he said Bill Pitts. Mr. Pitts came in to see me, and he said -- he couldn't remember anything about being called. I asked him that question. And he said, but to tell you the truth, I wasn't against it. I wasn't against the change. I think it was a good thing. And besides that, it didn't concern me. I don't try to

get involved in things that didn't concern me. That's what he 1 told me. I'm sitting at my desk in my office; he's sitting 2 3 across from me. I said, Mr. Pitts, let me tell you something. 4 Here's the way I feel about this. I said, all I want is the 5 truth. That's all I want from anybody. I can live with the 6 truth. If that's the truth and that's what you tell me you're 7 going to testify in court, that's fine, and I appreciate it. 8 And I hope you don't have to come; maybe you won't, but that's 9 the way it is. He left. I got to thinking about it after he left. And I said, 10 11 you know, I've had people change stories on me 20 times. So I 12 called him back and I said, would y'all ask Bill to come back 13 down here so I can get him to sign an affidavit about that. I 14 typed up a quick affidavit, which I have out there. He came 15 into my office. He sat -- he sat there in front of my desk, 16 looked at the affidavit, read it. And I said, is that -- is 17 everything in there accurate and true? He said yes, and he 18 signed it. My secretary was -- hadn't gotten in there before he 19 could sign it. And as he was walking into the -- as she was 20 walking into the office, I said, wait a minute, wait a minute. 21 I said, would you tell her if that's your signature. And he 22 said yes, and she notarized it. And that's all that is. 23 I'll be glad to give them that affidavit. There's no problem 24 with that, in my opinion. 25 Now, there's one other gentlemen, and I don't even know

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if this is who you're talking about. But are you talking about Scotty Johnson, the fellow you're claiming wouldn't call him back? MR. STEELE: Yes. MR. MCKOON: All right. Scotty Johnson, I called Scotty Johnson and he came to my office. Because I was calling everybody on their list after that. Mr. Johnson came to my office and he said, I don't want to be involved in this. said, Mr. Davis called me the day my wife was having a baby; and I told him I was at the hospital and my wife was having a baby, and all he wanted to know was would I come and help him in this case. And he didn't even ask me how my baby was doing; and it ticked me off, to be quite frank with you. And he's called me back several times since then, and I just felt like I don't want to get involved in this. And I said, okay, Mr. Johnson, thank you. And he left. And that's all I know about any of this. And I have never in my lifetime intimidated a witness. And in fact, I also talked to a guy named Miles, Eddie Miles, who came to my office. And I said -- and kind of at the end of talking to him, I said, have you been contacted by the plaintiff's attorneys. And he said yeah. And he said, they told me the same thing you told me, Mr. McKoon, which is they just told me to tell the truth, whichever way it cut. And I said, well, that's what I'm telling you, too. And that's all I know about this.

1 And I resent this. I resent this terribly. And if 2 they want me out of this case that bad, that's fine. But I'm 3 going to tell you right now, I haven't done anything wrong. And 4 I would never intimidate anybody or any witness. Anne Land is 5 welcome to -- she's been promoted in the fire department since 6 all this stuff happened. She was one of the people that went 7 down and talked to the newspaper and did all this stuff. 8 been promoted since then. These people are treated fairly all 9 the time, and I've never treated anybody unfairly. And I 10 just -- I just resent it. He doesn't know me and he doesn't 11 know me, but anybody that knows me knows I don't do that kind of 12 stuff. I've never done it and I won't do it. It's not worth 13 it. I've got to look myself in the eye every day. That's the 14 way I feel about it. So that's not legal, but that's what I'm 15 telling you. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Steele? 17 MR. STEELE: Well, just two points that I'd like to add 18 on this. And I understand why you take it personal. It's not 19 meant that way, but I understand where you're --20 MR. MCKOON: It's personal to me. 21 MR. STEELE: I understand that. Two things on that. 22 The issue of two individuals asking the chief should I talk to 23 them or not, the reason that occurred is that last summer when 24 the case was originally going to be going to trial, one of the 25 management officials went around to the stations and told

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody that they were not allowed to speak with us, as David's attorneys, without first going through the chain of command. That's why they inquired as to whether they should talk to us or not, because Anne Land, for example, believed that without doing that, she could have been subject to discipline. The second thing is each of the individuals we talked to -- one of the things that I asked them specifically -- and I'll tell you, the first person I asked this specifically, I expected a different answer. But I asked them each specifically, when you were brought in, were you told, you know, that you were free to talk or not to talk? And were you told that you didn't have to stay but they'd like to talk to you if you're willing to? Were you told anything like that? And to a person, each one said we were never told anything like that. The only thing remotely like that is that we were told that we don't have to talk to Mr. Davis's attorneys if we don't want to, but we were ordered by our bosses to go talk to Mr. McKoon. And no one ever told us that we didn't have to go. And that makes it an inherently coercive environment when you're in -- you know, this is a case where -- where we're going to hear the words "chain of command" come out of the mouths of the defense witnesses time and time and time again. And under this chain of command, when they're ordered to go talk to Mr. McKoon, they're obligated to do it.

And, you know, I wouldn't be here raising this if any

of them had told me that Mr. McKoon, when I got there, said, you 1 2 know, listen, we'd like to talk to you about some things in this 3 case, but you don't have to talk to me if you don't want to. 4 Nothing's going to happen to you if you don't talk to me, but 5 I'd sure appreciate if you sat down and talked to me. If any of 6 them told me that, I wouldn't be raising this at all. I simply 7 It wouldn't be an issue. But to a person, to a 8 person, we were told that they were not told that. And they felt obligated and really coerced, because they didn't want to 9 10 do it, but obligated because of their employment to speak with 11 him about this case. So on that point, we asked each and every 12 one of them. And what we were told is different than -- than 13 Mr. McKoon's recollection. Had their recollection been the same, I wouldn't be raising this. But I think it's different 14 15 under the facts as they've been told to us. 16 THE COURT: All right. This is the way I'm going to 17 handle this. I'm not going to take the drastic step of removing 18 counsel and continuing the case at this time; however, I'll keep open the possibility if at a later time it should prove that 19 20 such drastic action and a mistrial would be appropriate. 21 I'm going to -- with any of these witnesses, I'll be 22 happy to hear from them and let them come up and talk about what 23 you were just talking about before they testify if you want to. 24 I'll certainly let you question any of them in front of the jury 25 who may testify about these facts, and you can suggest to the

```
jury all you want to that if they believe that, they can take
 1
    that into consideration in the case. I'll also talk to any of
 2
 3
    these, if y'all think it appropriate, privately off the
 4
    record -- I mean on the record without asking them specifically
 5
    the facts but just to assure them that they are not subject to
 6
    any retribution or anything for what they say. Or I'll tell
    them that in -- without the jury there but in front of the
 7
    officials of the city and make it very clear that they're to
 8
 9
    tell the truth and just let it roll on whatever the truth is
10
   without any consequences affecting them.
11
             So I'm not going to take action on it now. But before
12
   you get into those witnesses, I'll be happy for you to take it
13
    up with me again.
             MR. STEELE: Okay. And Your Honor, one additional
14
15
    thing on that that we would ask you to at least consider. Given
16
    the reluctance of these individuals to testify, we believe it
17
    would be appropriate to allow us to call them as adverse
18
    witnesses with the ability to lead those witnesses.
19
             THE COURT: I'll let you do that if you establish
20
    outside the presence of the jury that they're indeed adverse.
             MR. MCKOON: Judge, let me -- may I say something?
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         Yes.
23
             MR. MCKOON: There's been no establishment that these
24
    witnesses are reluctant to testify about anything.
25
             THE COURT:
                         Well, that's what I said. If you establish
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

outside the presence of the jury that they are and that they would be adverse for any reason, then I'll do it. But I'm not going to -- I'm not going to let you just start cross-examining them without that being done. MR. STEELE: And certainly if it's your preference we'd be willing to bring -- we don't have all of them here, but we have at least two, maybe three, outside that could come in and on the record -- I would ask that it either be, you know -- I quess maybe Mr. McKoon and I here, not this big, you know, crowd of everybody on our side and everybody on your side, which I think --MR. GRAHAM: That's fine with me, Judge. MR. MCKOON: I'd be absolutely happy to voir dire these witnesses in the presence of the Court. MR. STEELE: Well, I want to be careful about this, though. Talking about voir diring the witnesses in the presence of the Court, I'm talking about bringing them in here because of this issue so they can tell you, Your Honor, what they experienced and how they feel. I'm not talking about bringing them in here to make them feel small and be cross-examined in an adversarial manner, which will only amplify what we believe is the harm and interference that already has been taking place. And I see nothing wrong for them being able to tell you what occurred. And certainly I don't have to tell you, Your Honor, that you can ask them any question that you'd wish to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm inclined to do that with -- on the record with one counsel from each side present and then here in chambers, but not now. MR. STEELE: Okay. THE COURT: Let's select the jury and then see where we are after that, and then we'll take it --MR. JOSHUA MCKOON: Your Honor, may I be heard on this for just a moment? THE COURT: All right. MR. JOSHUA MCKOON: These interviews that have been discussed were not taken just with Mr. McKoon and the witness. I was present for the interviews of Mr. Pitts, Mr. Johnson, and one of the -- one of the other individuals, and I believe Mr. Graham and Mr. Dugan were present for the rest. I can tell you as to the ones that I was present for that it was made clear to all of these witnesses that they were under no obligation whatsoever to say anything to us about anything to do with this proceeding, much less contact with the other side. And I would be more than willing to testify to that under oath, and I am aware of the penalty for perjury. And Mr. Steele is not aware of this -- this law firm and my father's 30-year career in law practice. And so I know he makes this allegation without understanding that no -- no such allegation has ever been made. And I'm certain that if the Court is willing to inquire as to the other -- other people that were present at these meetings,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
it will become manifest that there was no such witness
intimidation that took place. And I just wanted that on the
record.
         MR. STEELE: Well --
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'll take this up
with just the two of you here with these. And then if it
becomes necessary to go further, we'll see. But if we get into
a situation where we've got all the lawyers on one side as
witnesses, that creates another problem. We'll just take it up
with two people so the purpose of it will be for me to see what
they have to say.
         MR. MCKOON: But just so the record will be clear, as I
understand it, there's no allegation being made that anybody was
ever told not to talk to you.
         MR. STEELE: Correct.
         MR. MCKOON: That's what I thought.
         MR. STEELE: But I'll repeat. I asked each of them
whether they were told they had the option not to talk to you,
and each one said, no, they were never told that.
         If they were, I wouldn't be raising this, Your Honor.
It was raised with reluctance. I'm aware that Mr. McKoon has a
very long career. I've never had to raise anything like this
before. And it's with no joy, Your Honor, that I had to come in
this morning --
         MR. MCKOON: Yeah.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STEELE: -- and raise that. But when you talk to them, if they tell you what they told me, they were not given the option to either speak or choose not to speak with Mr. McKoon. THE COURT: Well, my inclination would be just to ask -- tell them that it's been suggested that they have some hesitation about testifying and some reluctance to do this in the presence of city officials because of things that they were told before, and I just want them to tell me whatever they might want to say about how they feel about testifying, see what they have to say. I don't -- I don't want to drill them either. I want to just see what they have to say. All right. Is there anything else before we select a jury? MR. STEELE: Yes. The other issue that we have, Your Honor, is in light of your evidentiary decisions at the end of the week concerning the admission of testimony and exhibits relating to certain past actions of Mr. Davis -- decisions that, for the record, we disagree with but we respect and understand that you've made those decisions -- we've discussed that matter with our client. And we've determined that the most appropriate course of action for us in that regard is to voluntarily dismiss the second claim for free association and to proceed forward on the core First Amendment free speech claim as well as the prior restraint that's before Your Honor. And as we understand your

rulings, if we're proceeding simply on the free speech claim, 1 2 those exhibits and that testimony is not relevant to that claim 3 and should not come in. So, you know, for that reason, we 4 believe that's what is the best course of action. It's likely 5 to tighten up the time of the trial a bit, I would imagine; but 6 at this time, we would voluntarily dismiss and withdraw our second cause of action on free association. 7 8 THE COURT: All right. We'll get that on the record 9 and take a look at the exhibits and see where that leaves us, as 10 far as witnesses are concerned, after we get the jury selected. 11 So let's go ahead and select a jury. And I won't make 12 any mention of anything in the jury selection about that claim. 13 Anything else before we select a jury? 14 MR. STEELE: No, sir. 15 THE COURT: We'll select eight jurors, three strikes 16 each. And I'll call the lawyers up to the bench in front of me 17 and the courtroom deputy will handle that with the plaintiff 18 first striking up to three, the defendant coming next, and no 19 back striking, up to three each. Any question about the 20 mechanics? 21 MR. MCKOON: No, sir. 22 MR. STEELE: No, sir. 23 THE COURT: Do you have -- did you have a question? 24 MR. BROWN: Back striking meaning once we have approved 25 or passed on a witness, in the next round of strikes --

```
That's right. That's right. Okay.
 1
             THE COURT:
 2
             MR. MCKOON: Judge, I want to say one other thing, if I
 3
    could, and then I'll hush. I promise. You know, I'm not going
 4
    to make an allegation I can't support. But you know, I have no
 5
    way of knowing if these union folks haven't talked to these
 6
   people. I have no way of knowing that. I guess I could make
    that allegation if I wanted to, but I'm not going to, because I
 7
 8
   don't know anything like that. So I just wanted to put that on
 9
    the record.
10
             MR. STEELE: And respectfully, that is why we have
    offered to bring them in and have them testify before the Judge
11
12
    concerning what happened. I'm not professing to have firsthand
13
    knowledge of it. But I did talk to these individuals, and
14
    that's what they told me.
15
            MR. MCKOON: Okay.
16
             THE COURT: All right.
17
        (Chambers conference concluded at 10:09 a.m.)
        (Jury selection proceedings, which are filed as a separate
18
19
        transcript, commenced at 10:11 a.m., after which, at
20
         11:46 a.m., proceedings continued, as follows:)
21
             THE COURT: All right. Be seated and we'll get a
22
    couple of things on the record here, and then we'll see where we
23
    stand. Anybody who -- parties or witnesses, anybody who would
24
    like to leave, it's fine. I just want to take this up with
25
    counsel.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think this was on the record, but the plaintiff has moved to dismiss count two in the case, and that's granted. Count two will not be in the case. The case will proceed on count one before the jury and on count three as an equitable claim before me. Now, that's what I wanted to take up here. But as to the timing of taking up some other matters that I've discussed with counsel, let me -- let me see counsel. We'll go back in the robing room. I'll ask the court reporter to stay available, and we'll talk about whether to take this up before or after lunch or what to do about it. Anything else that either side wants to bring up here before we adjourn? MR. STEELE: No, Your Honor. MR. MCKOON: Judge, the only thing I had is the plaintiff's counsel had asked me to make available today five people. Of course, the parties are going to be here anyway, Mr. Hunter and Mr. Roberts. The other people here, Roy Waters, for instance, no longer even works for the City of Phenix City. He's here. The mayor is here. The personnel director is here. I would like, if you would, just to give us some indication as to when he might want to call these people. Because if he's not going to reach them today, I'd rather them not have to sit up here all day. THE COURT: Mr. Steele? MR. STEELE: Your Honor, Mr. Waters and the personnel director we do not anticipate reaching today. So I would not

```
object to releasing them.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Have them back tomorrow? Do you want them
   back tomorrow?
 3
 4
             MR. STEELE: I anticipate that, but we can bring that
 5
    up at the end of the day.
 6
             MR. MCKOON: I'll give you the phone number, and you
 7
    can just call me.
            MR. STEELE: That's fine.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. I'm assuming that the parties
 9
    want the rule invoked and we will not have witnesses in the
10
11
    courtroom.
12
             MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir. That's true, Your Honor.
13
             MR. STEELE: Your Honor, in connection to that, there
    is -- and due in part to the recent rulings, we're removing
14
    Thomas Malone from our witness list. He will not be called in
15
16
    our case in chief. Of course, we reserve the right to bring
17
    anyone who's necessary as rebuttal, but I do not anticipate his
18
    participation. And he will not be in our case in chief.
19
             THE COURT: All right.
20
             MR. STEELE: So I move that.
21
             MR. MCKOON: If he's not going to testify, he can stay
22
    in.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Then we will be in recess, and
24
    I'll see counsel in chambers.
25
        (Off-the-record in-chambers discussion)
```

```
(Lunch recess at 11:49 a.m. until 1:16 p.m., at which time
 1
 2
         proceedings reconvened in chambers, as follows:)
 3
        (Mr. Steele, Mr. McKoon, and Captain Land present)
 4
             THE COURT: Ms. Land?
 5
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
 6
             THE COURT: Just have a seat. All right. You are Anne
 7
    Land?
 8
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm Judge Albritton.
10
             CAPTAIN LAND: Nice to meet you.
             THE COURT: You've been subpoenaed as a witness in this
11
12
    case. And I want to tell you first that when you're subpoenaed
13
    as a witness in a case, you don't have any choice to come or not
14
    to come.
15
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
16
             THE COURT: You're ordered to be here. And your legal
17
    obligation is to answer all questions that are asked you on the
18
    stand truthfully.
19
             CAPTAIN LAND: (Nods head)
20
             THE COURT: You're not supposed to talk about things
21
    that you're not asked about, but you are legally required to
22
    answer all questions truthfully. A lot of witnesses are
23
    reluctant to -- a lot of people are reluctant to be witnesses in
24
    court, would rather not get involved, and that's understandable.
25
             CAPTAIN LAND: (Nods head)
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But I want you to understand that you -- in answering questions under subpoena and in telling the truth, that you need not be concerned about any repercussions from that, any actions being taken against you because of telling the truth in court by anybody. You may feel under some -- some stress or feeling of testifying in court with having people from the city, by having the fire chief, or by having the plaintiff, Mr. Davis, or by having someone from the firefighters association there. That's just unavoidable that you might have those kinds of feelings yourself. I want to ask you about -- because it's been suggested to me that in addition to your having some feelings of not wanting to get involved, that there may have been attempted intimidation of some form in connection with this matter. And I'd like for you to just tell me the circumstances of your being asked to testify and your being involved in the case. CAPTAIN LAND: I was -- I was left a voice mail by David's attorneys to give them a call, that they wanted to ask me a few questions. So I contacted the fire chief to let them know that David's attorneys had contacted me, and he said he would call Mr. McKoon to let him know. Chief Hunter then called me back, told me that Mr. McKoon was waiting on me, that I needed to go to his office. And when I showed up, he said, you know, I just want to ask you a few questions; it won't take long. He told me that I had the option to talk to David's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
attorneys or not talk to them and that, you know, after I spoke
with them, that he would appreciate it if I would call him back
and let him know what we talked about.
         THE COURT: Did Mr. McKoon tell you that you had the
option to talk to him also or not talk to him?
         CAPTAIN LAND: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Did he tell you that you were under an
obligation to talk to him?
         CAPTAIN LAND: He didn't tell me that I was. I was
just told to report to his office.
         THE COURT: Did the -- did the chief tell you that
after you reported there, that you had to talk to him?
         CAPTAIN LAND: He told me he had a few questions that
he wanted to ask me. I didn't -- I didn't really feel like I
had a choice. I mean I was on duty and, you know, they called
me down and told me they needed to talk to me, so.
         THE COURT: Did you relay back to Mr. McKoon anything
that the plaintiff's lawyers asked you about?
         CAPTAIN LAND: I was with Chief Hunter when Mr. McKoon
had called. And Chief Hunter put me on the phone, said that he
wanted to ask me a few questions. And he wanted to know what
David's attorneys had talked to me about. Later that day, they
called me on the -- they called the battalion chief, and the
battalion chief told me that --
         THE COURT: The what kind of chief?
```

```
CAPTAIN LAND: The battalion chief, the shift
 1
 2
   commander, and told him that I needed to report to Mr. McKoon's
 3
   office ASAP. So Sergeant Pitts and me were together. We were
 4
   doing -- we were in the training division. So we went to
   Mr. McKoon's office, and he told me it would be a minute, that
 5
 6
   he just had a few questions he wanted to ask me.
 7
            THE COURT: Now, was this the second time?
 8
            CAPTAIN LAND: This is the second time. Yes, sir. And
 9
   he kind of asked me the same questions over again.
10
            THE COURT: The same questions he had asked you before.
11
            CAPTAIN LAND: Asked me the first time. Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Which was what, about what you were going
12
13
    to testify to?
14
            CAPTAIN LAND: About an article that was in the paper
15
   about a statement that I had written.
16
            THE COURT: An article in the paper about a statement
17
    that you had written?
18
            CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
19
            THE COURT: Okay. You say you went over there the
20
    second time with someone else. Who was that?
21
            CAPTAIN LAND: Sergeant Pitts. William Pitts.
22
            THE COURT: And what's his position?
23
            CAPTAIN LAND: He's a sergeant. He's one of the
24
   witnesses also.
25
            THE COURT: Oh, okay. He's one of the -- did he talk
```

```
to both of y'all together or separate?
 1
 2
             CAPTAIN LAND: Separate.
 3
             THE COURT: Did you pass on any information that --
 4
    about questions you were asked by the other lawyers?
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Did that have to do with the same matter
 7
    that you were being questioned about otherwise, about the
 8
    article you -- or statement you made that was quoted in the
 9
    paper?
             CAPTAIN LAND: It was a little different. The
10
11
    question -- some of the questions that they had asked me about
12
    the meeting with Mayor Hardin.
13
             THE COURT: About the meeting of the plaintiff with
14
    Mayor Hardin?
15
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
16
             THE COURT: Did you know anything about that meeting
17
    with Mayor Hardin?
18
             CAPTAIN LAND: I was at the meeting.
19
             THE COURT: Oh, you're not -- now, you were at a
20
    meeting with Mayor Hardin?
21
             CAPTAIN LAND: We were at a union meeting when Mayor
22
    Hardin was there.
23
             THE COURT: This is a different time from the telephone
24
    conversation.
25
             MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: Did you feel intimidated in connection with
 1
 2
   any of this?
            CAPTAIN LAND: I -- I felt that I didn't have an
 3
 4
    option. I mean I -- I mean I -- I'm afraid that, you know,
 5
    what's going to happen here is going to affect my career and my
 6
    job, so I'm a little intimidated right now.
             THE COURT: Well, as I've told you, nobody can take any
 7
 8
   adverse action against you for telling the truth. And when
 9
   you're subpoenaed to testify at trial, that's your obligation,
    is to tell the truth. And so I hope that will relieve some of
10
11
   your -- of your feelings. Is there anything else you'd like to
12
    say about this?
13
             CAPTAIN LAND: No, sir.
14
             THE COURT: Let me ask you to just step outside, but
15
   hang around just a minute.
16
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
17
             THE COURT: I may want to ask you to come back in.
18
        (Captain Land not present)
19
             THE COURT: I'm inclined to let the lawyers ask
20
    questions of this person. Anybody -- do you have any problem
    with that?
21
22
             MR. STEELE: No problem.
23
            THE COURT:
                        Do you?
24
             MR. MCKOON: No, sir.
25
                        Would you like to ask any questions,
             THE COURT:
```

```
1
    Mr. Steele?
 2
             MR. STEELE: I don't have any questions. There might
 3
    be so-called redirect, depending upon what other questions get
 4
    asked, but I think that you asked her the core -- the core
 5
    issues. It's probably better to --
 6
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. McKoon, I'll let you ask
 7
    questions.
 8
             Then if you want to ask some after that, I'll let you.
             Ask her come back in.
 9
10
        (Captain Land present)
11
             THE COURT: Sit down again, please. Ms. Land, I'm
12
    going to let Mr. McKoon ask you some questions if he wants to,
13
    and I'll ask -- I'll allow Mr. Steele, too. I'm not addressing
14
    you by your title. What are you, captain?
15
             CAPTAIN LAND: Captain.
16
             THE COURT: Captain Land. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
17
             MR. MCKOON: Captain Land, when you came to my office,
18
    do you recall me telling you that this would absolutely not
19
    affect your job in any way?
20
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
21
             MR. MCKOON: All right. And that I wouldn't do
22
    anything to hurt your career, that lawsuits come and go, but
23
    careers are something that are too important? Do you remember
24
    me saying that to you?
25
             CAPTAIN LAND: I remember you saying that you wouldn't
```

```
do anything to jeopardize my career.
 1
             MR. MCKOON: All right. Did I also tell you that if
 2
 3
    there's anything that you told me that you wanted kept off the
 4
    record, so to speak, or that -- well, first of all, let me
 5
    rephrase the question -- that I would not repeat what you told
 6
   me to Captain Hunter or any of your superiors?
 7
             CAPTAIN LAND: I don't remember that.
 8
            MR. MCKOON: Okay. Do you recall me telling you
 9
    that -- or do you recall this? On the first meeting that you
    came to my office, do you recall you telling me that there
10
11
    was -- you knew who the problem was in the department? And I
12
    asked you who that was; and you said, I'd rather not say?
13
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes.
14
             MR. MCKOON: Do you remember that? And I said, well, I
15
    don't want -- I won't ask anything you don't want to tell me
16
    about. Is that --
17
            CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: Do you remember that?
19
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
20
                         Okay. I'm sorry if you felt compelled to
             MR. MCKOON:
21
    come down there. But at any time, did you tell me, I don't want
22
    to talk to you, Mr. McKoon, or I'd just rather not talk?
23
             CAPTAIN LAND: I didn't feel that I had that option,
24
   honestly.
25
            MR. MCKOON: Well, was there anything that you told me
```

```
in this case that you felt like was some secret that the Court
 1
    shouldn't find out about or that wouldn't come out in court
 2
 3
    anyway?
 4
             CAPTAIN LAND: Not that wouldn't come out in court.
                                                                  Ι
 5
    don't know what you already knew beforehand.
 6
             MR. MCKOON: Okay. And basically, I can't remember
 7
    what -- everything you told me; but the thing that stands out to
 8
    me is that you said that there was some union meeting with the
 9
    mayor, either when he was running for office or after he had
10
    become mayor, where he said that people -- that he had an
11
    open-door policy and that firefighters could come to him without
12
    repercussions at any time. Is that what you told me?
13
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
14
             MR. MCKOON: All right. At any time, did I ever ask
15
    you to say anything that was untrue?
16
             CAPTAIN LAND: No, sir.
17
             MR. MCKOON: And in fact, did I emphasize to you that
18
    all I wanted you to do was tell the truth?
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
19
20
             MR. MCKOON: Like I said, I apologize if you felt
21
    intimidated. I didn't understand that at the time we were
22
    talking.
23
             THE COURT: Mr. Steele?
24
             MR. STEELE: The only follow-up is to -- is to confirm
25
    what I believe you told the Judge, is that at no time did either
```

```
the chief or Mr. McKoon tell you that it was your option whether
 1
 2
    or not to speak with him; is that correct?
 3
             CAPTAIN LAND: That's correct.
 4
             MR. STEELE: That's it, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
 6
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Would you ask Mr. Pitts -- what's his rank?
 7
 8
             CAPTAIN LAND: Sergeant Pitts.
 9
             THE COURT: -- Sergeant Pitts to come in, please?
10
             CAPTAIN LAND: Yes, sir.
11
        (Captain Land not present)
             MR. MCKOON: Judge, I've got his affidavit out there.
12
13
    Let me bring it in here in case it comes up.
14
        (Brief pause)
15
        (Sergeant Pitts present)
             THE COURT: Sergeant Pitts, just have a seat there.
16
17
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: Just a minute until Mr. McKoon gets back.
        (Brief pause)
19
20
        (Mr. McKoon present)
21
             THE COURT: You're Sergeant Bill Pitts?
22
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. William A. I just go by
23
   Bill, sir.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. And you're with the fire department
    of Phenix City?
25
```

1 SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Okay. I'm Judge Albritton. 3 SERGEANT PITTS: Nice to meet you, sir. 4 THE COURT: I understand that you've been subpoenaed as 5 a witness in this case, and I wanted to ask you a few 6 questions. First, I want to explain to you your rights and 7 obligations when you're under subpoena. When you've been 8 subpoenaed as a witness, you don't have any choice about whether 9 to come or not. So the law requires you to come and be sworn in 10 as a witness. The law also requires you to tell the truth. 11 SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: And answer any question that you are 13 answering truthfully. You cannot legally be hurt in any way or 14 have any action taken against you or any retaliation of any sort 15 with your job or otherwise because you've answered the subpoena 16 and testified truthfully in court. 17 SERGEANT PITTS: (Nods head) 18 THE COURT: So I know that some -- some people would rather not get involved in a trial and would feel some -- some 19 20 hesitation and some intimidation, just by virtue of being there 21 in court. I want you to -- I want to tell you that if you 22 testify, you should not feel intimidated by the presence of the 23 fire chief or the presence of the plaintiff there or a union 24 representative or the lawyers or anybody else. Your obligation 25 is simply to answer questions truthfully.

```
And -- but it's -- I've been advised that there may
 1
 2
    have been some intimidation, whether it was intentional or
 3
    otherwise, but that there may have been, in connection with your
 4
    being a witness in this case. And I'd just like for you to tell
    me the circumstances of your being here as a witness.
 5
 6
             SERGEANT PITTS: As far as --
 7
             THE COURT: How you were -- how you were called to be
 8
    here, who you talked to, what you were told, and so forth.
 9
             SERGEANT PITTS: Well, the first subpoena I got I got
    through the mail from -- from these guys right here (indicating
10
11
    Mr. Steele). And the second one was I went and talked to
12
    Mr. McKoon and everything at his office. We were on duty and
13
    everything like that. And we went down to his office that day
14
    and talked with him and everything.
15
             THE COURT: You say we. Who's that?
16
             SERGEANT PITTS: Captain Land and myself had went down
17
    to Mr. McKoon at his office on that day.
18
             THE COURT: Had you talked to Mr. Steele or any other
    lawyer for the plaintiff at that time?
19
20
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
21
             THE COURT: Before you went to see --
22
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
23
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. So you got a subpoena in the mail.
24
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
25
             THE COURT: And the first lawyer you talked to was who?
```

```
1
             SERGEANT PITTS: I don't know if it was Doug or Bryan,
 2
    I think it was.
 3
            MR. STEELE: I think it was Gary. It was one of us.
 4
             SERGEANT PITTS: Gary. Yes, sir. I mean it's --
 5
             THE COURT: Some lawyer.
 6
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I'm not real
 7
   good with the names.
             THE COURT: Some lawyer for the plaintiff.
 8
 9
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: All right. Had anybody told you at that
10
11
    time that you should not talk to them?
12
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir.
13
             THE COURT: Had anybody talked to you one way or the
14
    other about whether -- about talking to anyone?
15
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. To these guys?
16
            THE COURT: Yes.
17
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. No, sir.
             THE COURT: Now, how did you happen, after talking to
18
19
    them, to go to Mr. McKoon's office?
20
             SERGEANT PITTS: We were in an incident command class
21
    that day down in our training center and everything, and we were
22
    sitting in the class. And I think Ms. -- Captain Land came in
23
    there and said that we needed to report to Mr. McKoon's office
24
   ASAP.
25
            THE COURT: Okay. So you did?
```

```
1
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 2
             THE COURT: And Mr. McKoon asked you questions.
 3
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Did he -- did he tell you you
   had to talk to him?
 5
 6
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. I mean we -- basically, we
 7
   went in there and everything. He said I need to ask you a
 8
    couple of questions.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Did he say anything to you to the
   effect that you did not have to talk to him if you didn't want
10
11
    to?
12
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. It's just -- like I said,
13
    basically, it was just, I just need to ask you a couple of
14
    questions and everything, go over some things with you, and that
15
   was all.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Did you feel anything was wrong with
17
    that? Did that bother you in any way?
18
             SERGEANT PITTS: I mean I was nervous enough, you know,
19
   having to go down there not really knowing why I was going to
20
   have to go down there. And just being there, I mean it --
21
   nervous like I am now. You know what I mean?
22
             THE COURT: Yes.
23
             SERGEANT PITTS: And not knowing why. Just being, you
24
   know, kind of scared, because -- not knowing. You know what I
25
   mean? So --
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Well, you knew you had gotten a
 1
 2
    subpoena to be here as a witness, right?
 3
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. And you had talked to -- to the
 5
   plaintiff's lawyers.
 6
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 7
             THE COURT: Were you asked anything about what the
 8
   plaintiff's lawyers said to you?
 9
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir.
             THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel under any kind of
10
    intimidation about testifying today after what I've told you
11
12
    about your legal rights?
13
             SERGEANT PITTS: I mean -- yes. I mean -- I mean
14
   honestly, I'm just -- I'm -- I probably -- the past couple of
15
    days since all this has happened -- I mean you can't sleep.
16
    Stomach just totally upset because I don't know what's going to
17
    happen -- you know what I mean? -- one way or the other.
18
    It's -- only thing I've told everybody, you know, these guys and
   Mr. McKoon's guys, all I'm going to do is tell the truth, you
19
20
   know, if it helps anybody or hurts anybody. But as far as me
21
    sitting in that -- in that chair in there, you know, if and when
22
    I'm called on, scared to death. I really am. I mean I -- me
23
   personally, I am.
24
             THE COURT:
                        Okay. Well, I can understand that. And a
25
    lot of people feel that way about -- have you ever testified in
```

```
court before?
 1
 2
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. Particularly with the first time,
 4
    some people feel that way. I want to tell you again that if you
 5
    testify, legally, there can be no adverse consequences to your
 6
    job by getting on the stand and telling the truth. There would
   be -- it would be against the law if you just refused to get up
 7
    there on the stand. You have to if you're under subpoena.
 8
 9
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
10
             THE COURT: It would also be -- it would be against the
11
    law if you -- if you didn't tell the truth.
12
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
13
             THE COURT: So what the law requires you to do if
14
   you're asked to take the stand is to answer the questions
15
    truthfully. And you cannot be penalized in your job or any
16
    other way for doing that.
17
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: I hope that would make you feel more
    comfortable about it if you're actually called to testify.
19
20
            Now, I'm going to ask -- let the lawyers ask you a
21
    question or two, if they want to. Mr. McKoon?
22
             MR. MCKOON: Can I call you Bill?
23
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
24
             MR. MCKOON: Bill, when you came down to my office, did
25
    I tell you that if there was anything you didn't want to talk to
```

```
1
    me about, you didn't have to talk to me about it?
 2
             SERGEANT PITTS: I believe so.
 3
             MR. MCKOON: In addition to that, when we were
 4
    talking -- and I'm not going to get into what it was, but there
 5
    was one particular question I asked you, and you said I'd rather
 6
    not tell you that. And I said, well, I'm not going to bring it
 7
    up in court; we won't say anything about that. Do you remember
 8
    what I was talking about?
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir, not right now.
 9
10
             MR. MCKOON: All right. Well, if I brought it up now,
11
    then I'd be bringing it up in court, so I'm not going to do
12
    that.
13
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
14
             MR. MCKOON: But I quess my question is did I ever ask
15
    you to do anything except tell me the truth?
16
             SERGEANT PITTS: That's it.
17
             MR. MCKOON: And did you do that?
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. I've -- you know, the
18
19
    things -- the questions he was asking and everything, I was just
20
    basically -- what I could remember. I probably said a hundred
21
    times, you know, to the best I can recall and stuff, because
22
    this happened so long ago and stuff. And I was as honest as I
23
    could be with them with what I remembered or, you know, thought
24
    was right and everything and stuff like that.
25
             MR. MCKOON: All right. And then after you left, did I
```

```
ask you to come back and sign an affidavit, just a real brief
 1
 2
   affidavit to what you'd told me?
 3
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 4
             MR. MCKOON: And did you do that?
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 5
 6
             MR. MCKOON: Let me show it to you real quick. Is that
 7
   what you signed?
 8
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 9
             MR. MCKOON: Is it accurate?
             SERGEANT PITTS: What, my signature and all?
10
11
             MR. MCKOON:
                         No. What's on it.
12
             SERGEANT PITTS: Do you want me to read it?
13
             MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir. Sure. Take a minute and do it.
14
        (Brief pause)
15
             THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that, Mr. Steele?
16
             MR. STEELE: No, sir.
17
             THE COURT: Excuse me a minute. Let's get a copy of
18
    this made.
19
             MR. MCKOON: Sure. Sure.
20
             THE COURT: Ms. Behrman -- would you let her have that?
21
             MR. MCKOON: I guess we need at least two, one for the
    Judge and one for Mr. Steele. While he -- while he's doing
22
23
    that, Judge, can I ask him just a couple more questions?
24
             SERGEANT PITTS: I can comment on that real quick. I
25
   mean at the present time, one of the things on there, I said
```

1 that the -- the ordinance that was trying to get passed and 2 everything was for new hirees and people, you know, being 3 promoted for probation, but I was off on it. My wife, of all 4 people, has got a mind like a steel trap, and I was talking to her about it. And what it was was that it's, of course, for new 5 6 hirees. And if it was -- if anybody had gotten in trouble, it 7 was to put them back on probation and everything for a 8 probationary period to watch over them and everything. So 9 that -- so that right there is about the only difference, as far 10 as the other day when I thought that's what it was, but now I 11 basically know what it was. And that's -- you know, my name 12 might be on it, but I mean -- what I thought it was, I signed; 13 but it's actually -- that's not what the ordinance was about, 14 so. 15 THE COURT: So you were mistaken -- you say you were 16 mistaken in what you said about what the ordinance was. 17 SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. Because like I said, it 18 was -- it was hard for me to remember and everything what it was about. Because I knew, of course, it was for the new hirees the 19 20 first time, but I couldn't recall what the second part of the 21 ordinance was about. And like I said, I thought it was about, 22 you know, people being promoted, but it wasn't at the time. 23 Like I said, it was -- I believe it was for -- I don't know how 24 it was worded, but it was for -- in case like somebody had 25 gotten in trouble, they could be put on a six months' probation

```
or something like that. I haven't, you know, looked at it or
 1
 2
   whatever. I don't think it got passed, though. That's the only
 3
   difference.
 4
             MR. MCKOON: I gotcha. I know what you're talking
    about. Let me go ahead and just ask you just a few more
 5
 6
    questions. Bill, do you remember me telling you that I would
 7
   promise you that I wouldn't do anything to hurt your career when
 8
   you came in there, that careers lasted a lifetime and that
 9
    lawsuits come and go? Do you remember me saying something like
10
    that to you?
11
             SERGEANT PITTS: I remember we talked about when I
12
    asked you I didn't want to be made -- you know, look stupid on
13
    the stand and everything.
14
             MR. MCKOON: Right.
15
             SERGEANT PITTS: You know, and the part -- what you
16
    just said, Mr. McKoon, about not hurting or something like that,
17
           The second part, I really don't. But that first part, I
18
   do.
19
             MR. MCKOON: Okay. Well, let me tell you what I
20
   remember. Like I said, I think I told you, Bill, I'm not going
21
    to do anything to hurt your career. If there's anything you
22
    don't want to tell me while we're in here today, don't worry
23
    about it, but this is not going any further, just between me and
24
   you. All I want you to do is tell me the truth. Does that
25
    sound like what I told you?
```

```
SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 1
             MR. MCKOON: All right. Now, let's go back to the
 2
 3
    affidavit just a minute. What I'm trying to figure out is what
 4
    is it that you say is different on the affidavit?
 5
             SERGEANT PITTS: Right here in the second paragraph.
 6
    It said --
 7
             MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir.
 8
             SERGEANT PITTS: The part where it says I was -- well,
 9
    the part -- it says I was opposed to the change of the
10
    probationary period from 12 months to 18 months because of
11
    addition of new hires. The part where it says it included a new
12
    probationary period each time a firefighter was promoted within
13
    the department, that's the part that I was mistaken on.
14
             MR. MCKOON: That was the first -- there were two times
15
    you know it was proposed.
16
             SERGEANT PITTS: And I believe that either time it
17
    wasn't -- I think the first time it was, I believe, for people
18
    being -- that had got -- having disciplinary problems and
19
    everything.
20
             MR. MCKOON: Right.
21
             SERGEANT PITTS: And they would be put back on
22
    probation. And the second time -- like I said, I'd have to
23
    look -- I'd have to read the thing.
24
             MR. MCKOON: Well, let me ask you this. Do you recall
25
    telling me that at the time this came up, the thing that we're
```

```
here about today, about the probationary period being extended
 1
 2
    from 12 months to 18 months that would only apply to new hires,
 3
    that you said to me, look, I'm going to tell you the truth; if
 4
    it didn't concern me, it didn't matter to me one way or the
    other? Do you remember saying something like that to me?
 5
 6
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. Because right now, it
 7
   benefits new hirees, because we've lost a lot of good people,
   Your Honor, to being able to pass their EMT test. And they
 8
    basically get six months. And that's like as of today. You
 9
10
   know what I mean? And that's basically what -- the good thing
    about it now, as far as the date. That's basically what --
11
12
    excuse me -- that's what it is.
13
             THE COURT: Anything else?
14
            MR. MCKOON: No, nothing else.
15
             THE COURT: Mr. Steele?
16
            MR. MCKOON: Thank you, Bill.
17
             SERGEANT PITTS:
                              Sure.
             MR. STEELE: Mr. Pitts, did anyone tell you that you
18
   were free not to visit Mr. McKoon's office and speak with him?
19
20
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. Just like I said, we were --
21
   we were at a -- we were in class, and they -- Captain Land came
22
    in and said that we needed to report to his office ASAP.
23
             MR. STEELE: So did you feel, because you were on duty,
24
    compelled to answer his questions?
25
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. STEELE: To the best of your recollection, did
   Mr. McKoon tell you that if you don't want to talk to me, you
   don't have to talk to me?
             SERGEANT PITTS: No, sir. Like I said, when we went
    in, he just basically said, you know, I've just got a couple
    questions for you. And, you know, it was -- that was it. You
   know, we went in and talked for a few minutes, and that was it.
             MR. STEELE: Now, am I correct that he did tell you
    that you don't have to talk to us, meaning David's attorneys, if
   you don't want to?
             SERGEANT PITTS: I don't -- I don't really remember
12
    that.
             MR. STEELE: Okay. As you sit here today, are you
    fearful that if you testified truthfully at this trial, that it
    could negatively hurt your career with the department?
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir. I mean -- yes, sir.
    it's any -- kind of off of it, I mean a lot of people that found
    out that, you know, I had to come here and everything, they're
    basically -- are employed with us, you know, basically say, you
   know, I'm glad I'm not you. Because it's just -- things that's
   happened in the past and everything, it's just kind of -- kind
    of scared.
             THE COURT: Well, I want to assure you again that if
   you're called as witness to testify, nobody -- the chief, the
    city manager, nobody else -- can adversely affect your job
```

```
1
   because you did that.
 2
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
 3
             THE COURT: We might all be back in court with you at
    counsel table as the plaintiff instead of --
 4
             SERGEANT PITTS: I put my hand on that Bible. I
 5
 6
   promise before God right now I'm going to say it for what it is.
 7
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Sergeant. Would you
 8
   ask Karl Taylorson -- what's his -- is he
 9
             MR. MCKOON: Karl Taylorson, I think, is his name.
             SERGEANT PITTS: Yes, sir.
10
11
            MR. MCKOON: I've never met him.
12
             THE COURT: Is he a fireman?
13
            MR. MCKOON: He is. I think he's a driver engineer.
14
   He's a sergeant like that gentleman there.
15
             THE COURT: Sergeant?
16
        (Sergeant Pitts not present)
17
        (Brief pause)
18
        (Captain Taylorson present)
19
             THE COURT: Sergeant, have a seat.
20
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Got a full house. How are you
    doing, sir?
21
22
             THE COURT: I'm well.
23
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Good.
24
             THE COURT: Are you Sergeant Karl Taylorson?
25
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Captain Taylorson.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I demoted you.
 2
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON:
                                 That's all right.
 3
             THE COURT: I'm Judge Albritton.
 4
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Good to meet you, sir.
 5
             THE COURT: I understand that you have received a
 6
    subpoena to testify in this case, and I wanted to tell you about
 7
   your rights there. When a person -- a lot of people don't like
 8
    to get involved in a lawsuit and would much rather not be called
 9
    as a witness.
10
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Absolutely.
11
             THE COURT: You're probably one of them.
12
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
13
             THE COURT: But when you're subpoenaed, when you
14
   receive a subpoena, you don't have any choice. You'd be
15
    violating the law if you refused to come. Once you get on the
16
   witness stand or are sworn in, then you have a legal obligation
17
    to tell the truth.
18
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: And you'd be violating the law if you
19
20
    didn't tell the truth once you got on the witness stand.
21
   Another legal implication of it is that nobody can take any
22
    adverse action against you for coming to court under a subpoena,
23
    getting on the stand, and telling the absolute truth.
24
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
25
             THE COURT: It can't adversely affect your job and it
```

can't adversely affect you in any other form or way. When 1 2 you're testifying, you may feel under some -- it may feel 3 intimidating to you if you're testifying in the presence of the 4 police chief or the city manager or the plaintiff himself or a 5 representative from the firefighters association. All of those 6 things might -- might make you feel uncomfortable --7 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: -- or even intimidated. But I want you to 9 know that you cannot have adverse action taken against you for 10 telling the truth in court when you are required to be there. 11 Now, having said that, I've been told there might have 12 been some circumstances under which you either felt intimidated 13 or actually were directly intimidated in connection with your 14 being a witness. And I just want to find out what the facts 15 were. 16 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: So I'd like for you to tell me what the circumstances were of your being -- first being contacted about 18 being a witness and how you happened to talk to people about it 19 20 and just everything that happened. 21 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Okay. Initially, I was contacted 22 by one of David's lawyers. I'm not sure if it was --23 MR. STEELE: It was Mr. Brown initially. 24 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Mr. Brown. Okay. Initially. 25 Initially I was contacted by them. The next day -- I was on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

```
military orders at the time -- I called Chief Hunter and advised
   him that I had been contacted. He told me that I needed to call
   the city attorney, Mr. McKoon. I did so; spoke to Mr. McKoon.
            THE COURT: Spoke to him on the phone or in person?
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: On the phone. On the phone. Yes,
        Matter of fact, I had him to call me back at -- at drill
    there where I was. He did so. I spoke with him. He advised me
    that I did not have to talk to them if I did not wish to at the
    time. They did get ahold of me. I did speak with them. And
    then Mr. McKoon called me when I was back at work at the fire
    station the -- I believe the day after I spoke with Mr. Brown.
            THE COURT: Uh-huh. And what -- when he called you,
13
   what did he do, ask you to come down and talk to him?
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: He did not ask me to come down and
    talk to him. He spoke to me while I was at work on the phone.
            THE COURT: Oh, he talked to you on the phone.
17
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: How did you -- you say he called you and
    somebody called you to the phone?
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I believe I happened to answer the
   phone.
            THE COURT: You answered the phone.
23
            CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
            THE COURT: Now, when he had talked to you the first
25
    time, did he ask you questions about -- about what you were
```

```
going to testify to or what you knew or that kind of thing?
 1
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: He did ask me a few questions. I
 2
 3
   don't remember exactly what he asked me.
 4
             THE COURT: Did he --
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: He asked me to call him after I
 5
 6
   got -- after they contacted me. And then we spoke about our
    conversation when he called me at station four.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. The first time you talked to him,
 8
   did he tell you that you didn't have to talk to him?
 9
10
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: No, sir.
             THE COURT: Did he tell you you did have to talk to
11
12
   him?
13
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: No, sir. He didn't tell me I had
    to talk to him. He told me I did not have to talk to them
14
15
    (indicating Mr. Steele).
             THE COURT: When he called you back later, were you
16
17
    asked about what you talked to Mr. Davis's lawyers about?
18
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, I was.
19
             THE COURT: Did you -- did you tell him what you had
20
    talked to him about?
21
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir, I did.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. McKoon -- I'm going to let
23
    the lawyers ask you a few questions.
24
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
25
             THE COURT: Mr. McKoon.
```

MR. MCKOON: Mr. Taylorson, I guess I would start off 1 2 by saying we talked twice on the phone? 3 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir, we did. 4 MR. MCKOON: Both times, how long would you say it was, 5 minute or two? 6 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: No more than five. 7 MR. MCKOON: All right. And the first time we talked, I believe you told me that Mr. Davis's lawyers had been trying 8 9 to get in touch with you, but you wanted to try to stay out of this. 10 11 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Well, they had originally started 12 trying to get in touch with me. Then the second time, I had 13 not -- they -- it took them -- it took them quite a while to get 14 in touch with me. And, of course, I don't want anything to do with this. 15 16 MR. MCKOON: I don't blame you. 17 CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I'm scared to death. I am. I mean 18 this is not career productive. Being down here, part of this, 19 no matter what side you're on, you know, going in here on the 20 stand, if you've got anything to say that's not productive for 21 the city and you're sitting there in front of your chief, the 22 city manager, the mayor, it's not good to my career to be down 23 here. And it worries me to death. I'm a single father with 24 three children that I have sole custody of, and it worries me to 25 death. I hate -- this is just an ugly situation.

```
MR. MCKOON: I understand. And when I talked -- when I
 1
 2
    talked to you, did I tell you that you could talk to these
 3
   people if you wanted to, meaning the plaintiff's attorneys, but
 4
    that you were under no obligation to do so?
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes.
 5
 6
             MR. MCKOON: It was either way. Am I right?
 7
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes. Yes.
 8
            MR. MCKOON: All right.
 9
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: You did not tell me not to talk to
    them at all.
10
11
             MR. MCKOON: Okay. In addition to that -- to be honest
12
   with you, I don't remember the questions I asked you now, but I
13
    did ask you -- I said, well, if they -- at that point in time, I
14
    don't believe they had contacted you; is that right?
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: They did not. When I first
15
16
    contacted you, they had not got in touch with me.
17
             MR. MCKOON: All right. And I believe you told me --
18
   you said, you know, look, I'd just really like to stay out of
19
    this.
20
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Absolutely.
21
             MR. MCKOON: Is that right?
22
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Uh-huh.
23
             MR. MCKOON: And that's when I told you, well, you
24
   know, you can talk to them if you want to, or you don't have to
25
    if you don't want to. I'm certainly not going to tell you not
```

```
to talk to them. Is that not what I said?
 1
 2
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir, you did.
 3
             MR. MCKOON: In addition to that, when I talked to you,
 4
   I said, look, all I want is the truth. Do you remember that?
 5
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes, sir.
 6
             MR. MCKOON: And I guess on the second occasion, I
 7
   believe I had just called you back to find out if you had ever
   been contacted.
 8
 9
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I'm not sure what --
10
            MR. MCKOON: Okay.
11
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: You know, I know you did call me
12
   while I was at station four. We discussed what we discussed,
13
    and that was it.
14
             MR. MCKOON: Right. And then I asked you, I said,
15
   well, what did they want with you? I mean why were they calling
16
   you?
17
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Uh-huh.
18
             MR. MCKOON: And you told me; is that right?
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Uh-huh.
19
20
             MR. MCKOON: And is there anything that you told me
21
    that you haven't told the plaintiffs that wouldn't come out here
22
    in court and be the truth of the matter?
23
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: No, not at all. Nothing
24
    whatsoever.
25
             MR. MCKOON: At any time, did you feel like I in any
```

```
1
    way threatened you or tried to bully you into talking to me?
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I didn't feel threatened by you.
 2
 3
    I'm threatened by the --
 4
             MR. MCKOON: By the situation.
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: -- the situation and what's
 5
 6
    represented in this situation.
 7
             MR. MCKOON: I understand. Thank you, Mr. Taylorson.
             THE COURT: Mr. Steele?
 8
             MR. STEELE: Mr. Taylorson, did you feel that you were
 9
    under orders from the department to speak with and cooperate
10
11
    with Mr. McKoon?
12
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I was told by Chief Hunter to call
13
    Mr. McKoon.
14
             MR. STEELE: So did you feel compelled to answer his
15
    questions, then?
16
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: I had to. Oh, absolutely.
17
    Absolutely.
18
             MR. STEELE: And that included questions about your
19
    conversations with either Mr. Brown or myself?
20
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Yes.
21
             MR. STEELE: I think that's all.
22
             THE COURT: All right, Captain. Thank you.
23
             CAPTAIN TAYLORSON: Thank you.
24
        (Captain Taylorson not present)
25
             THE COURT: All right. From what's been said by the
```

witnesses, I do not find that this is a case where counsel should be disqualified in this case. It's a circumstance of employees for the lawyer's client, the city. Frankly, I very much understand the feeling of these witnesses, that they were under compulsion and felt intimidated by it, but they were witnesses that were put on a witness list by plaintiff's lawyers. It's certainly common practice and should be to talk to anybody who's going to be a witness. I -- the fact that they were not told that they didn't have to talk to the lawyer for the city but were not told that they had to, were told to call or to go down there, in my opinion and finding, is not sufficient to disqualify counsel in this case.

The question, then, is what the results are as to their feelings of intimidation. I've advised them that they can't be penalized for doing this. It's going to be up to the plaintiffs as to whether to call them, put them on the stand, and have them testify. If you do, I'll allow you to question them about the circumstances of their having -- having talked to them, what -- everything I've been asking about as to whether they were told not to talk to the plaintiff's lawyers, whether they were told they had to talk to the defendants' lawyers, and whether anything came out that would in any way prejudice the case of the plaintiff. The main reason I feel this way is I haven't heard anything that seems to me prejudices the plaintiff's case by their having talked to defense lawyers and having talked to

```
the defense lawyers in the way they've just told me about.
 1
 2
    If -- Mr. Steele, if you want to articulate any way that I'm
 3
   unaware of that you think your case has been prejudiced, I'll be
 4
    glad to hear from you.
             MR. STEELE: Well, what I would say on that point is
 5
 6
    that two of the three individuals that just came in here
 7
    directly testified that they were asked about their
 8
    communications with Mr. Brown and myself, who they voluntarily
 9
    contacted us for advice relative to their testimony.
10
    intentionally, unintentionally, they were being asked to reveal
11
   privileged communication; and they felt compelled to do so
12
    because their chief is the one that instructed them to -- they
13
   had to talk to Mr. McKoon. In this environment in the fire
14
    department, particularly in the nature of this case, it's not
15
    only understandable, but I think that they were correct in their
16
    belief that they were compelled to cooperate and answer the
17
    questions when the chief tells them they have to go talk to
18
         And I don't know exactly what it is they told Mr. McKoon
    about our trial preparation, but the fact that they were
19
20
    compelled to reveal information about our trial preparation
21
    troubles us greatly.
22
             THE COURT: Well, you've heard their testimony and
23
   you've had an opportunity either here on the record or outside
24
    the record to ask them anything you wanted to.
```

MR. STEELE: Well --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And I don't understand how disqualifying counsel for the defendant and continuing the trial and requiring the defendants to get other counsel and then coming in here and trying the case again with you putting these people under subpoena would solve anything. I don't see where that cures anything. MR. STEELE: Well, where it cures anything is that new counsel, if you went that route, would not have whatever information was conveyed by these individuals to Mr. McKoon about the communications with us. It cures that, certainly. Assuming that new counsel does not -- or the chief does not compel them to cooperate with new counsel -- maybe we should word it that way, the chief does not compel them to cooperate with new counsel but tells them they're free to or they're free not to, this would certainly lower the level of anxiety, trepidation, and fear that these individuals have. Have I talked to them about what they conveyed to Mr. McKoon? Yes. And I still don't know exactly what they conveyed, because I have to tell you, Your Honor, these people -- it took a lot of courage for them to talk to us after talking to Mr. McKoon. They didn't want to talk to us anymore, and they ultimately decided to do it. But, you know, they were nervous; they were shaky. And we think it's largely because --I mean part of it, yes, they're subpoenaed to testify. understand what you're saying. But we believe that it's largely

because in a retaliation case, the chief of the department 1 2 ordered them to have this communication. 3 THE COURT: Well --4 MR. STEELE: And that worries them. 5 THE COURT: Well, I can understand you being worried; 6 but that, in and of itself, I don't find to be sufficient to 7 take the drastic step of disqualifying counsel, continuing the case, and trying the case later because I have not seen where 8 9 the plaintiff's case is prejudiced by that. And I understand 10 you to say you don't know either, but you're afraid that it has been. They've been in here, and you've had an opportunity to 11 12 find out what it was that they told Mr. McKoon. I can't presume 13 that it was something that prejudices the plaintiff's case. They've answered Mr. McKoon's questions. They didn't generally 14 15 speak -- my understanding was that they didn't tell him anything 16 that they didn't expect to come out at the trial. So --17 MR. STEELE: Well --18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. STEELE: I apologize. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 MR. STEELE: But on that issue, respectfully, I don't 22 think that that is the appropriate test. If they communicated 23 under compulsion to the city's attorney about the questions we 24 asked them, what we were talking to them about, that disclosed 25 under compulsion where we were going in our trial preparation,

how we were intending to present, and what areas we were 1 2 focusing on. And that is the difficulty. And the fact that 3 those areas would eventually come out at trial isn't -- doesn't 4 change the fact that under compulsion they felt obligated to 5 disclose to -- to the city's attorney that information. 6 THE COURT: Well, two things. One, I'm not satisfied 7 that there was actual compulsion, but a feeling of compulsion to 8 some extent that may have been hard to avoid in any way in 9 talking with them, but I understand your position on that. 10 Also, I can't assume that they were told all about how your 11 strategy was or what you planned to do and divulged your whole 12 case, because I don't have that evidence before me. 13 I'm going to deny your request to remove defendants' 14 counsel. I'm going to proceed with the trial. If you elect to 15 put these witnesses on the stand, I'll let you ask them about 16 the circumstances of talking to the lawyers on both sides; and 17 I'll let you, Mr. McKoon, cross-examine them on that. And if it 18 should develop -- the other thing you asked me about this morning was treating them as adverse witnesses. I haven't heard 19 20 anything at this point that would make me think they are 21 adverse; but if it should develop during your examining of them 22 that -- you know, that they are or that you should be allowed to 23 ask leading questions for some other reason, I'll revisit it if 24 you ask me.

MR. STEELE: Your Honor, I may have done a poor job

```
explaining myself when I said call them as if they were adverse
 1
 2
    witness, but we were --
 3
             THE COURT: You just want to ask them leading
 4
    questions.
             MR. STEELE: Right. Because of their reluctance to
 5
 6
    testify and fear that they've all stated. Under the
 7
    circumstances, I felt it would be appropriate to be able to do
 8
    that.
 9
             THE COURT: Well, what I want you to --
             MR. STEELE: Frankly, they've asked --
10
             THE COURT: Wait a minute. What I want you to do is
11
12
    not start out asking leading questions. If it appears that
13
    there is a problem with it and that there's a necessity for
14
    prompting them with leading questions, you can do that. If
15
    there's an objection, I'll rule on it at that time. But don't
16
    just -- I'm not going to rule now that you can start off asking
17
    leading questions.
18
             MR. STEELE: At least one of them, I think two of them,
    but at least one of them specifically asked us to do that
19
20
    because they felt it would be less harmful to them, but I
21
    understand the Court's ruling.
22
             THE COURT: Well, one other thing I want to mention.
23
    It's my practice to not allow counsel to address witnesses or
24
    parties by first names.
```

MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I know that gets to be kind of habitual in a lot of courts, particularly in state courts here, and it may be in other places as well. It was in my experience as a lawyer. But I do not want you addressing witnesses or parties by first name. Okay? Anything else before we start? MR. STEELE: Before we broke -- before, we talked about this issue of how the union fits in, given that the second cause of action is no longer there. And in thinking about it at lunch, it seems to me that maybe that's not as difficult a question as we were making it out to be. THE COURT: That's good. MR. STEELE: Certainly the fact that there were union meetings that the mayor went to, that Mr. Davis was part of the union, that's part of the background facts that will come out. I think that what we're not allowed to do on our side is to argue or suggest to the jury that the city was, for lack of a better term, out to get Mr. Davis because of his union affiliation. Does Mr. Davis believe that that's one of the reasons? Sure, he does. But under the First Amendment free speech claim, that really doesn't matter if they had other reasons, because the reason that they told him and they put in writing is his call to the mayor. And we were using a term "triggering event," but I think your analogy is probably the more appropriate. You

```
referred to it as the straw that breaks the camel's back. And
 1
 2
   because of that, it doesn't matter if Mr. Davis believes there
   were other -- other considerations. What matters is whether or
 3
 4
   not his communication to the mayor was a substantial or
 5
   motivating factor. And I think, Judge, you've pretty much said
 6
    that you believe it is and you're not sure that there's even a
 7
    question for the jury on that.
 8
             THE COURT: Well, I think we'll cross that bridge when
 9
   we get down after all the evidence is in. I've been judging
    this thing on the basis of what's before me on summary judgment
10
11
   motions, and I -- based on what I see, I don't see where there
12
    would be anything. But I -- we'll wait. If there's nothing
13
   different from what I see now, I feel like when we get into how
14
    I'll instruct the jury, that will not be an issue. But we'll
    see what the evidence shows.
15
16
             All right. Anything we need to take up? Yes.
17
             MR. MCKOON: The only thing, Judge, you had mentioned
18
    at the pretrial that we need to show one another what we were
19
    going to use in opening statement. And I've got a few blow-ups
20
    that I'd like to show them. And I can tell you they're the --
21
    it's the thing he signed after the newspaper article came out.
22
             MR. STEELE: His statement?
23
             MR. MCKOON: Yeah. No, the form we asked him to sign
24
    saying he was going to comply with the --
25
             MR. STEELE: Oh, the counseling form.
```

```
1
             MR. MCKOON: Right. No, not that. There was a --
 2
             THE COURT:
                         Why don't you just show it to him.
 3
             MR. MCKOON: I'll just show it to you.
 4
             MR. STEELE:
                        Okay.
 5
             MR. MCKOON: Okay? Anyway, if there's an objection to
 6
    it, we'll come back to the Court.
 7
             MR. STEELE:
                         Okay.
 8
             THE COURT: Do that as quickly as you can. And then
 9
    let Ms. Behrman know you're ready, and we'll get the jury ready.
10
             MR. STEELE: Thank you, sir.
11
             THE COURT: She's going to be putting pads out in their
    seats while y'all are doing that.
12
13
             MR. MCKOON: And I understand we were not going to put
    the exhibit books out until tomorrow.
14
15
             THE COURT: Correct.
16
             THE CLERK: Are y'all doing the openings?
17
             MR. STEELE: Yes.
18
             THE CLERK: Do you want warnings?
19
             MR. STEELE: Yes, please.
20
             MR. MCKOON: I do.
21
             MR. STEELE: A five-minute warning.
22
             MR. MCKOON: That's fine.
23
             THE COURT: Thirty minutes a side. And also, I don't
24
    know whether I told y'all this at the pretrial or whether you
25
    knew it; but before I call on you for opening statements, I give
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a brief opening to the jury. And I'm going to tell them about what the claim is and basically that the issue is going to be the issue of how the policy works and whether they first have to get permission to speak to the council or whether they can -once they exhaust the chain of command, they can do so. MR. STEELE: The only thing, sir, that I would suggest to you is that if you're introducing that to them initially, it should be introduced as one of the considerations, not the only consideration, which I believe is consistent with the case law that we --THE COURT: Thinking about the disruption? Yes. That's true. I won't say it's the only issue. Because right now, I don't feel like that's going to go to the jury, but I want to leave that open. So I won't say that's the only issue. MR. STEELE: I did have one scheduling question for you, sir. At what point in the process is it your practice to address jury instructions? THE COURT: Toward the end. And the way I do that is I've gotten both of your requested jury instructions, and I go through that and I prepare what I think is a reasonable set of instructions to the jury, rather than given and refused and everything. I'll give you a copy of that with an opportunity to go over it. Then we'll have a jury charge conference that I normally don't put on the record because it's just a chance for everybody to talk about it, hopefully with the -- with it

resulting in everybody agreeing that this charge is all right. 1 2 If we don't get to that point, then before the jury goes out and 3 outside the presence of the jury, I'll give you an opportunity to put objections on the record. So it will be -- it will be on 4 down toward the end of the trial. 5 6 MR. STEELE: Okay. Anything else? 7 THE COURT: 8 MR. STEELE: No, sir. 9 MR. MCKOON: I can't think of anything. 10 THE COURT: All right. Let's T is up and get to work. 11 MR. MCKOON: Thank you, Your Honor. (Chambers conference concluded at 2:09 p.m., after which, at 12 13 2:14 p.m., proceedings reconvened in open court with the 14 jury present, as follows:) 15 THE CLERK: Court is in session. You may be seated. 16 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, we were a 17 little late getting started again. I will tell you that it's not been because we weren't here. We've been working back 18 there. And the things we had to talk about ahead of time will 19 20 result, I think you'll be pleased to know, in shortening the 21 trial. So it's not extending the trial. It will shorten it 22 more than the 15 minutes that we just took. 23 One thing I neglected to tell you before you went out 24 and that I'll mention to you now, as far as the mechanics of the 25 trial, is that you're not in any kind of assigned seats where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The witnesses are going to be testifying from over you are. here. And after breaks, if you want to sit somewhere different from where you're sitting now, it's perfectly all right. Now, swear in the jury, please. THE CLERK: Would you stand and raise your right hand, please. (The jury is sworn) THE CLERK: Be seated. THE COURT: We're trying to get rid of that buzzing. don't hear it now. Okay. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you have now been sworn in as the jury to try this case. And by your verdict, you will decide the disputed issues in the case. It's going to be up to me to decide the questions of law. And when we get through with the trial, I'll instruct you on what that law is and the law for you to follow and apply in reaching your decision. It will be up to you to decide the disputed facts, and you'll be told more clearly before you retire to the jury room just what law to apply and what -- you'll hear from the lawyers about what goes into your deciding the facts and what those facts are. The evidence that will be presented to you in this trial will consist of testimony of witnesses and tangible There will be a number of documents, papers, that will be called exhibits. You've been given pencils and pads in your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's no requirement for you to take notes. seats. just purely for your benefit if you want to take notes. people like to; some people don't. I would caution you that if you decide to take notes, don't get so carried away with writing things down that you're not paying careful attention to the witness as the witness is testifying. Don't try to take everything down in shorthand or anything like that, but it may be very helpful for you to take some notes because this case will last a while. If you do take notes, I'll caution you that when you go back to the jury room, you are to rely on your own memory rather than your notes as to what happened. In other words, if you look at your notepad and you had written something down and you think, well, that's not the way I remember it, go by your memory, not by what you have written down. Also, you are not to be guided by anybody else's notes. If some somebody says, well, wait a minute, I wrote this down, and you say, that's not the way I remember it, you go by your memory. So the notepad is purely for your benefit and aid if you want to use it. If you do, I'll ask you to write your

your memory. So the notepad is purely for your benefit and as if you want to use it. If you do, I'll ask you to write your name across the top and leave it in your chair, because we'll not be taking them out of the jury box until the time you go into the jury room. Then you'll be able to take it with you. But if you have your name on it, if you take different seats, that will just make sure everybody gets their own pad back.

Now, I've told you about transcripts -- I mean about documents and testimony. And you see a court reporter down here taking down everything that's being said. We don't -- you won't have the luxury of having a transcript of the testimony in the jury room with you. She's taking it down, but we don't have the ability to send back a transcript of everything. So you are going to have to rely on your memory, and that's one of the reasons that notepads are given to you.

On the other hand, as far as documents that are placed in evidence, you will have the documents that are admitted back in the jury room with you to see. And most, if not all, of them will be shown to you while you're here in court, and the lawyers will be talking about them. And if, during the course of the trial, there's any document that's been admitted in evidence that you feel like you need to see again that you haven't seen enough, remember you'll have it in the jury room. Or if you feel that during the course of the trial you need to look at it, you can ask for it. And if it's in evidence, it will be something for you to see.

You heard me this morning tell you in a nutshell what this case was about, and I'll just refer to it briefly again. You'll hear more about this when the lawyers will be given an opportunity to get up and talk to you in a minute about what they expect the evidence to show. But this is a case in which the plaintiff, Mr. David Davis, is suing the City of Phenix City

and suing in their official capacities as fire chief and city manager the two people sitting over here in the courtroom with you, Mr. Hunter and -- I'm sorry -- I've got my notes -- Fire Chief Hunter and Mr. Roberts, the city manager. They're sued in their official capacity in those positions. And the plaintiff is asking money damages on a claim that the defendants violated his constitutional rights under the First Amendment of the Constitution, his right of freedom of speech.

The plaintiff -- and incidentally, I'll be referring to Mr. Davis from time to time as the plaintiff. That's the person who files a lawsuit. I'll be talking about the people who are sued as the defendants, so those are the terms that we'll be using.

The plaintiff, Mr. Davis, says that the defendants terminated his employment as a firefighter with the fire department for talking on the telephone directly with Mayor Hardin, the mayor of Phenix City, about his opposition to a proposed city ordinance that would have extended the probationary time for new firefighters from 12 months to 18 months and that -- he says that he was terminated for doing that, talking directly with the mayor, without first going through the internal chain of command within the department and the city. And you'll be hearing evidence about -- about the chain of command and about the policies.

And the defendants say that the plaintiff should first

have gone through the chain of command and then, if he was not satisfied after doing that, that he could have spoken to the city council about his opposition to this, but first had to go through that. So there's an issue as to whether he had to get permission after going through all of the chain of command or whether he, once he did that, if he was not satisfied, whether he could do it without having to get permission.

The lawyers will discuss with you more the theories there, but that's -- at the end of the trial, you're going to be given certain questions. They'll be called special interrogatories. And one of those is going to ask whether you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendants have proved that if the plaintiff had followed the rules and procedures and practices with the city and the department, he could have publicly addressed the issues once he had exhausted the internal chain of command. The defendant says yes; the plaintiff says no. You'll be asked to decide based on the evidence that's presented to you.

During the trial -- that's one of the things you'll be asked to decide. During the trial, you should keep an open mind, and you should avoid reaching any hasty impressions or conclusions. Reserve your judgment until all the evidence is in, the lawyers have spoken to you in closing argument, and I have charged you on what the law is.

Also, along those lines, because of the reason that you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to make your decision based solely on the evidence in this courtroom, I will tell you, and I'll tell you this more than once, that you're not to try to find out any information about anything outside of the courtroom, not just about the facts of this case, but about anything that you may be curious about in the case. I used to tell people not to read the encyclopedia about stuff. Now I don't know whether they even have hardback encyclopedias anymore. If I looked at an encyclopedia, it would be hardback, but I will tell you you're not to get on a computer on the Internet and look up any things that you might be curious about just because of the case. The whole thing is that you're going to have to, until this case is over, concentrate on what happens in this courtroom. Get it out of your mind when you leave the courtroom. Don't be influenced by anything. Don't try to find out anything about it. Wait until you hear the evidence presented in the courtroom.

Now, from time to time during the trial I'm sure I'll be called on to make rulings on certain objections or motions. The lawyers may object to a question asked of a witness or may object to the offering of a document. Don't hold that against the lawyers or their clients if they make an objection. That's their responsibility and their duty to do that if they feel that it's well taken. If they object to a question or they object to a document coming in, if I sustain the objection, that means that it would not be proper for you to hear an answer to that or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to see the document. If that happens, don't speculate on what the answer would have been or what the document was. because it's something that would not be permissible to come into the case. But on the other hand, if I overrule an objection and the answer comes in or the document comes in, don't give it any less weight than you would have if no objection had been made. The mere fact that an objection has been made, if it's overruled, doesn't mean that you shouldn't consider it fully. Now, also during the trial it might be necessary for me to talk to the lawyers outside of your presence, such as we've been doing up until this point, because there usually are times when that's necessary. It may be that it's something that can be handled quickly by coming over for what's called a sidebar conference, as you saw us do with two or three prospective jurors over here at the end of the bench. We may do that and ask you to stay where you are. I may ask you to step outside to the jury room for a few minutes, or we may go outside of the If that happens -- and it usually does -- I'll move courtroom. that along as fast as I can and not let that unduly delay the trial. We want to move this thing along as fast as we can, as long as everybody has the opportunity to put in their evidence fully. But having said that, while you are either sitting

there waiting on us or outside or we're outside, don't speculate

on what we're talking about, because that's why you're not 1 2 hearing it. It's something that needs to be taken up concerning 3 whether something would be appropriate to come before you. 4 you should not feel that you're being excluded from something 5 you need to know about, and just don't worry about what it is, 6 and I'll move it along as fast as I can. 7 Now, this is the way we will proceed in a minute when I 8 get through. The lawyers will make to you what's called an 9 opening statement. The plaintiff's lawyer will go first; 10 defendants' lawyer will go second. And that's a time for them to tell you what they expect the evidence to show and what their 11 12 case is about. It's not a time for them to argue the case. 13 It's just a time for them to tell you what they expect the 14 evidence to show. What they say is not evidence. They don't 15 expect you to take it as evidence. Anything the lawyers say is 16 not evidence. Evidence must come under oath from the witness 17 stand or from a deposition or from documents that have been 18 admitted in court. But it will be helpful for you to hear what 19 the lawyers have to say so you'll know where they're coming 20 from, what they expect the evidence to be that's presented to 21 you. 22 After they make those statements to you, then the 23 plaintiff goes first with calling witnesses. He will call his 24 witnesses. They'll be sworn in, take the witness stand over

here. He'll ask questions. When he gets through with the

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them back there.

questions, the lawyer for the defendants will have an opportunity to ask questions, called cross-examination. go back and forth until they're through with that witness, and then the witness will come down. When the plaintiff gets through with all of his witnesses, he will say he rests. means he's through with his case. Then the defendant will put on any evidence that they want to put on that's not already come out during the first part of the trial; and then after the defendants get through with their witnesses, the plaintiff will be given an opportunity to call other witnesses in rebuttal. When all of the evidence is in and both sides have rested, then the lawyers will be allowed to speak to you in closing argument. And that's where they'll tell you what they think the evidence showed, and they'll argue to you what they think you ought to do about it. When they get through with that, I'll give you the Court's instructions on what the law is. They will be in writing, and I'll give you a copy of them to take back into the jury room in case you want to refer to

When you get to the jury room, you'll be -- the first thing you'll do is elect one of your members to serve as your foreperson, and that person will direct your deliberations while you're there in the jury room and will speak for you here in court. Your verdict will have to be unanimous. The questions that you will be asked to answer and the answer will have to be

agreed to unanimously, by all eight of you. 1 When you have done that and have reached a conclusion, 2 3 you'll knock on the jury room door. One of the marshals will 4 escort you back into the courtroom. I'll ask the jury if you've 5 reached a verdict, and your foreperson will tell me you have. 6 I'll have you hand the written -- I'll send you verdict forms 7 that will all be explained to you. I'll ask you to hand the verdict form to the clerk and she'll hand it to me and I'll 8 9 announce the verdict. Now, at this time, it's time for the lawyers to address 10 11 you in opening statement, and I ask you to give them your 12 careful attention. The plaintiff will begin. 13 All right. Mr. Steele. 14 MR. STEELE: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 May it please the Court, Your Honor, counsel, and most 16 importantly, members of the jury. As the Judge just told you, 17 this is the opening statement that gives us a chance to set 18 forth for you what we expect the evidence in the case will show. The other important factor to keep in mind that the Judge 19 20 just alluded to is the fact that what I say and what Mr. McKoon 21 says or the other attorneys in the case is not fact. We believe 22 it's fact; it's not evidence. And the evidence comes in through 23 the witness and through the witness stand.

And I guess maybe I shouldn't admit this, but I think the lawyers are really the least important people in this room.

24

25

1 The most important people in the room are you, as jurors, 2 because you get to decide what the facts are. The witnesses are 3 important, because they will be providing the testimony and the 4 documents that you'll use to decide those facts. And, of 5 course, His Honor will be instructing you on the law. 6 everyone else is important but probably us, but you're the most 7 important. And for that reason, we thank you for being here, 8 because your role is essential. Without your role, we wouldn't 9 be able to do this process. 10 Now, as I said, this is our chance to explain to you 11 the course of events, what led up to our being here today. 12 Before I do that, I guess there's something that I want to say 13 first and to ask that you keep it in mind, that you keep it in 14 mind throughout the case and keep it in mind ultimately when 15 you're given the instructions and proceed to the jury room to 16 make your deliberations. And that is this. The evidence in 17 this case is going to show that on April 21st, 2006, David Davis, this man sitting right here, lost his job. He was 18 terminated. And the stated reason for that termination was he 19 20 had the audacity to have a phone conversation with the mayor of 21 the city. 22 In 2006 in the United States of America, the evidence 23 will show that Mr. Davis made a phone call, a brief phone call 24 to the mayor of the city; and as a result, he lost his job. 25 did we get to that point? Here's some background that we expect

the evidence and the documents are going to show over the course of the next several days.

First of all, I want to reintroduce myself to you. We had a chance to have introductions earlier during jury selection. My name is Doug Steele. I'm one of the attorneys that represent Mr. Davis in this case. Mr. Gary Brown is sitting at counsel table. And the two of us are very proud to be here today to be representing Mr. Davis in this case.

Mr. Davis will be testifying shortly, and he'll be telling you what occurred, a little bit about who he is, and he'll be telling you about how these facts that will be presented impact him. But as an introduction to that, he's going to explain that he's a member of this community, he's a firefighter, he's a paramedic, and he's a husband. And for approximately eight years, he was employed by the City of Phenix City in their fire department. And Mr. Davis is going to tell you that his job was of utmost importance to him. Matter of fact, he's going to explain to you that for him, this wasn't just a job; it was his career. And when that was taken away from him, he felt that he lost everything.

Now, the events that we're going to be talking about today largely occurred in the fall of 2005 through April of 2006. In the fall of 2005, there was a newspaper reporter who wanted to come to a meeting of an association that the firefighters have to talk with them about some concerns they

had. Mr. Davis was active in this association, was one of its officers at the time. And the evidence will be that the news reporter came to the meeting and he met with approximately ten firefighters, spoke with them, learned their concerns. And the reporter decided it was newsworthy, that it was something that the public needed to hear, apparently, because he wrote an article on it. You'll be shown the article that is going to be presented into evidence.

Now, the result here, however, is that after the article was published and because the article was published,
Mr. Davis and the other firefighters who were quoted in the article, they were investigated, they were interrogated, and ultimately they received a counseling in the form of a reprimand telling them that they violated, from the city's perspectives, its rules and procedures by merely talking to the reporter off duty on their own time about concerns they had in the department.

There's an issue on whether the policies of the department prohibited them from making that communication, and that will be addressed as the case goes on. But here's what you need to keep in mind on that. There's going to be an exhibit and there's going to be some witnesses that will tell you as a result of that newspaper article and that communication, that Mr. Davis and others were informed that they were not permitted to speak to the media unless they had approval first to do so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, that was in the fall of 2005. Moving forward to 2006 so I can tell you how we got to, really, where we are today, in 2006, in April, April 16th, as a matter of fact, Mr. Davis was at work with fellow firefighters at the station and learned that there was an article in the newspaper explaining that the city council was about to vote on a proposed ordinance, an ordinance affecting the probationary period for the fire department, the police department, and public safety agencies of the city. This concerned Mr. Davis, and he's going to tell you why it concerned him. He discussed that concern with his supervisor that day, a Captain Bennett. And as a result and because of those concerns, Mr. Davis decided that on the following day, on April 17th, which was a Monday, that he would call the mayor and talk to the mayor and express to the mayor the concerns that he felt the mayor should take into consideration in deciding how to deal with this ordinance. Now, here, I probably need to explain something for you, particularly for any of you that do not live in Phenix City or haven't lived in Phenix City. There's different forms of

you, particularly for any of you that do not live in Phenix City or haven't lived in Phenix City. There's different forms of government. And in Phenix City, the form of government is a counsel slash city manager form of government. And the mayor is going to testify and explain that under that form of government, as mayor, he chairs the council meetings and he has certain ceremonial functions. But by and large, he is a voting member of the council; and he gets one vote, and it counts just like

everyone else's.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And before he joined the council -- this is an elected position -- of course, he had to campaign for it. As part of that campaign, he spoke to various groups, one of the groups being this association of firefighters. And among the things that he told that group while he was running for office -- and we'll have multiple people that will testify to this -- is that his policy, if elected, is that he would have an open door, and that he encouraged them, if they had problems or concerns, his door was open to them. He didn't promise what he would do about them. He didn't make any promises of actions that he would take, but he told them that he had an open door, that he would listen to their concerns and problems that they had if he's elected. There was nothing extraordinary about that. The mayor -- you know, he was running and then became the mayor of the city. Frequently public officials, elected officials, have open-door policies. The mayor will explain that it's helpful to him in fulfilling his duties and responsibilities to gather information and -- but because of that, he in fact -- and he acknowledges that at least once he did in fact tell Mr. Davis and other firefighters that were gathered, I have an open-door policy. So on April the 17th, while off duty, out of uniform, at his home, on his own time, Mr. Davis took up the mayor on his

offer of an open-door policy and telephoned him. He wanted to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talk to the mayor about this ordinance. And the mayor wasn't in, so Mr. David Davis left a message, simply have the mayor call me regarding the city ordinance. And you'll see -- a phone message will likely be one of the exhibits that's presented in this case. Later that afternoon, the mayor, doing what most of us should do, not all of us are doing on a regular occasion, but he returned his calls. And he said it's his practice to return his calls. And he had a phone message from Mr. Davis, so he returned the call and he spoke with Mr. Davis for about five minutes. They talked -- they exchanged some pleasantries. talked about the proposed ordinance. In fact, the mayor will tell you that Mr. Davis offered some suggestions or ideas on how he believed the ordinance might be improved. And at the end of the conversation, the mayor thanked Mr. Davis for his input, and that was it. It was cordial, it was polite, pleasant, nothing out of the ordinary. The problem is -- and the evidence is going to show that the mayor mentioned -- and this, I don't fault him for, obviously -- mentioned to the city manager that, gee, I got this phone call and Mr. Davis has some concerns about the ordinance. That set into motion a series of events that resulted in Mr. Davis's termination. It happened very swiftly. It happened very quickly.

As I said, April 17th, a Monday, Mr. Davis has this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brief, five-minute phone conversation with the mayor. His next day at work was Wednesday, the 19th. Now, firefighters work 24-hour shifts, so they work 24 hours on and 48 hours off. his next shift wasn't till Wednesday, the 19th. He was called out of his station, called down to headquarters, made to sit there for hours and hours not exactly sure why, and then interrogated and requested to give a statement about talking to the mayor. That was on Wednesday. On Friday, the 21st, Mr. Davis's day off, he was called at home, ordered in, and terminated. And he will tell you that what he was told in that meeting when he was terminated is he was terminated because he called the mayor. Now, we expect that the city's going to speak a lot about the chain of command, and the Judge indicated that. And they're likely to say chain of command -- he violated the chain of command, he violated the chain of command, he violated the chain of command. What he did -- and this is what you need to The action that they contend violated the chain of command was simply calling the mayor while off duty about an issue that the council was about to vote on.

Now, Mr. Davis will have an opportunity to explain to you exactly what occurred, why he did what he did, and his conversations with various people concerning this. He'll have an opportunity to explain to you why he did not believe he was violating the chain of command by calling the mayor, and he'll

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also explain to you even if it applied, he actually did comply with it, because he told his first-line supervisor about his plan to do so. But nevertheless, he made the call on a Monday, spoke to the mayor Monday afternoon, and on Friday he was terminated after eight years on the job, a job that he loved, a job that, to him, was a career that he wanted to make his life's career in this department. And he lost his job because he spoke to the mayor. Now, finally, Mr. Davis is also going to be able to explain how this has affected him. And as you can imagine, but you won't have to, because he's going to tell you, this affected him greatly. His sense of who he was in the world was centered on his career. His dreams for the future were centered on his career. He's going to tell you what it was like for him having that taken away from him. You will also hear testimony from two people, two of the people who know him best and love him the most. His grandmother and his mother will both -- his grandmother and his wife -- excuse me -- will both testify. they will tell you what they've observed on how difficult this has been for Mr. Davis. It may be easy for officials to invoke and repeat the phrase "chain of command" and end a man's career. It's not easy at all for the person whose career ended simply for doing something that he thought he had a right to do, speaking freely,

protection under our Constitution, by calling the mayor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's what this case is about. I appreciate that you're here and the attention that you're going to give us. And thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. McKoon? MR. MCKOON: May it please the Court, Mr. Brown. I've been here all day waiting to talk to you about this case. You know, you get -- when you get started in something like this, you get all wound up the night before. And I'm still a little bit wound up about it; but I want to start off, I quess, by sticking to my plan. And the plan was to introduce the people that are here with me. I'll just reintroduce them real quick. First of all, I guess I ought to reintroduce myself. My name is Jim McKoon. I practice law in Phenix City, Alabama. I live in Smiths Station. I've been doing that for 30 years now. Right here is Jimmy Graham. He is the city attorney for the City of Phenix City. Jimmy served as a city councilor and the city attorney. He's been with the city for a long time. This is Cole Dugan, who's a young associate of mine. Like I said, it's him and my son and Cole, and Cole and my son have known each other since kindergarten. And I'm proud to have them with me. Seated over here, what I expect the evidence to show in this case, is Bubba Roberts, who has been sued in this case in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his official capacity. I will call him Mr. Roberts from here on out because the Judge has asked us to do that; but it's hard for me not to refer to him as Bubba, because that's his name. He's been with the City of Phenix City, I expect the evidence to show, for 35 years. He started out as a building inspector after he graduated from high school. He went to Columbus Tech and got a degree as an -- an electrical contracting degree and then became an inspector. He's been there 35 years and worked his way all the way up to become the city manager. Along the way, he was in the National Guard for 41 years, and he served his country in Central America and in the Gulf War and recently in the War on Terror. Seated next to him is -- oh, and by the way, along the way he's done some high school refereeing, too, and was a deputy sheriff at night for 13 years on a part-time basis. Seated next to him is Wallace Hunter, our fire chief. Mr. Hunter has been the chief of the fire department since March of 2005. He was interim chief and then became the chief in May of 2005. Mr. Hunter has been with the fire department 22 years

I expect the evidence is going to show in this case that -- and you're going to hear over and over again that the reason -- see if I can figure out how to use one of these -- that Mr. Davis was fired was for making a five-minute phone call to the mayor. That's what they've told you. What the evidence

and started out as a recruit and worked his way up.

is going to be in this case is he was not fired for what he did. He was fired for what he did not do, and that is this.

The evidence is going to be that in March of 2005 when Wallace Hunter became the chief of the fire department, there had been a lot of controversy in the fire department, a lot of turmoil, a lot of problems. Chief Hunter determined that he was going to try to solve those problems. And one of the ways he wanted to solve them was to get everybody in that was disgruntled and talk to them and try to say, look, guys, we need to work together.

Because don't make a mistake. Being a firefighter in Phenix City is a pretty good job. It's 24 hours on and 48 hours off. You work ten days a month. In addition to that, we have a policy called swap time, which means if you want to swap out with somebody to work your shift, you can do that, too. So you can have a second job or you can also go to school if you want to. It's a good job to have. You get state -- state benefits. You get vacation. You get retirement. You get leave. You get lots of things in that job. Mr. Davis knew all that.

All that Chief Hunter wanted was a chance, was just a chance to try to straighten this department out. And he's been working on it. And everybody that's going to testify in this case is going to tell you it is 100 percent better than it was when he took it over. Mr. Davis never wanted to give him that chance. He wanted to keep turmoil up as much as possible. He

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was doing that because he was in this union. And that place, it cannot exist unless there's some kind of problem.

What he did was when he went to the newspaper that Mr. Steele has referred to was he called a union meeting, a reporter showed up, magically, and just sat there and had a gripe session about first one thing and another. It gets published in the paper as "Three-Alarm Turmoil," some big article. After he went in the paper and talked about it, they started calling Wallace. They called me. Called all sorts of people up about this thing.

We have a policy in the fire department, and the reason we have the policy is this. A fire department is what's called a paramilitary organization. Everybody knows what a military organization is. You've got a -- if you're in the Marines, you're in the Air Force, the Navy, the Army, you have a policy where you have to go up the chain with any problem you have. You go to your next supervisor. The only thing the Phenix City Fire Department has ever asked Mr. Davis to do is that. If he has a problem, if he has a gripe, if he has a complaint, all he needs to do is take it to his supervisor. And then if he doesn't like the result, he can take it to the next place and the next place. And he can go take it to the chief; and he can wind up, if he wants to, with the city manager. And if it can't be resolved there, he can hire a satellite truck and broadcast his problem to the world. All we ask is that we be given a

1 chance. All Chief Hunter asks is that he be given a chance. 2 What happened after the newspaper article was real 3 simple. Mr. Davis was called in, like a lot of other people 4 were, because there was already a policy in place about not 5 doing that kind of stuff unless you come up the chain of 6 command. And he was called in and given a document, which will 7 be in evidence in this case. And basically, it was just a memo. And it said, look, we have free speech policies. We have 8 grievance policies in the city. You're expected to follow 9 10 them. Just follow the policy. 11 Mr. Davis -- you can hardly read that signature, but 12 he'll testify that's his signature right there. And the 13 policies were given to him at that time. This was is September 14 of 2005, now. He signed off on it. And if he doesn't change 15 his deposition testimony, he's going to say, and when I signed 16 off on it, I knew that I was supposed to follow it and that I --17 I should do it. 18 He was given along with that a copy of what's called a grievance procedure, if you have a grievance, and it defines 19 20 what it is. A grievance is a complaint, a view, or an opinion 21 pertaining to employment conditions, to relationships between 22 employees and supervisors and relationships with other 23 employees. It says what it's not. He signed off on that.

He was also given at the same time a policy on free speech. It says the city won't discharge an employee for the

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exercise of his or her right of free speech unless the city can show a compelling interest in the abridgment of the rights and the employee has been granted due process. They will not be abridged except in the following circumstances: speech which impairs harmony and discipline in the workplace or breaches confidentiality or impedes job performance or which jeopardizes close personal loyalty. If you hold a news conference and say a lot of things that may or may not be true, you're impeding job performance and you're interfering with loyalty. You're causing disruption. And that's what Mr. Davis wanted to do, and that's what he continually did. There's also another policy, and it's real clear. It's just as simple as it can be. And the policy is addressing city council. It's ASOP 12. And it clearly says if the fire department -- a member of the fire department has a problem with the department, a department, or city operations or procedures which are work-related and finds it necessary to go above his or her immediate supervisor, he must notify the supervisor of the intention to do so. And then he takes it up the chain of command. And then importantly, number three, if the problem cannot be solved by anyone in the chain of command, then the city manager will arrange a hearing with the city council. It doesn't say might do it, may do it, if he wants to, he'll do it.

It says he will arrange a hearing with city council.

Now, what happened here was that Mr. Davis wanted to

tweak the nose of the chief again. He never talked about any of these things he put in the newspaper, by the way, which we'll get to during the course of this case, with Chief Hunter. He never brought them up the chain of command and talked to anybody about them. He just basically held a news conference and said, you know, here's what I'm going to say. Had his picture taken to go in the paper with it.

What happened here is this policy came up about extending the probationary period for people that would be newly -- new recruits to the fire department. And here is the reason. When people used to join the fire service, they were trained only as firefighters. Now they're trained as EMTs and they've got to have haz mat training, too. It takes longer for these young men to get through their training, and it's difficult. And sometimes they get to the end, and they don't pass their EMT test. And they have to try to recertify to do it. And if they can't do it by the end of 12 months, we lose them.

So the whole purpose for the new policy, the 18-month probationary period, was to allow new recruits longer to get into the fire department. It was a good thing. And I don't expect you're going to hear anybody get on the witness stand and say that it was not a good thing. In fact, all of them are going to say it was a good thing. That's what Mr. Davis was supposedly calling the mayor to complain about, was that policy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And he claims -- he's going to get on the witness stand and claim that, well, the first time I really knew anything about the policy was on the day before it was implemented. I was sitting around the firehouse, and I -- and I read a newspaper. And I saw in the newspaper where they were going to change something about that. And so I -- I sprung into action, and I decided I was going to find out all about it. found out about it, and I decided I was against it. And I was against it on behalf of my members in the union. I polled all of them and talked to them -- although when I took his deposition, he couldn't remember but the name of one person he polled -- and then I got on the phone and I called the mayor. And what I called him to tell him was, was that we were against that policy. Well, this is the phone message. It's hard to read, but it says -- he doesn't say when he calls and leaves the message that he's calling on behalf of the firefighters union or anything. Just leaves his name. That's his home phone number. And he says regarding city proposals. He won't -- he would not speak with anyone else. He won't talk to the chief about it. He won't talk to the city manager about it. Only person he wants to talk to now is the mayor. Now, here's the position that that puts Chief Hunter We expect the evidence will be this, and Chief Hunter will tell you this. It's his proposal. He's the chief of the

department. It's been advertised once already. It's coming up for second reading to be voted on at the city council. And what position does that put him in when nobody even pays him the courtesy to call him about it and yet somebody -- one of his firefighters is going around him, around the city manager, and up to the mayor? What does that do to him? It undermines his authority. That's why the chain of command is so important. These people are working in a public safety position. They're working on a fire ground some of the time. And when they get out there, there can't be any question about who's in charge and what you're going to do.

Now, one thing I haven't told you is this. The truth of the matter is he knew about this at least a month or two in advance of it. It's not like he said. It's not like it was the day before. He knew it about two months before. And the person that's going to testify that -- about that is Roy Waters, who was the chief of the Columbus Fire Department; after 32 years with that department, had retired.

And remember I told you earlier that Mr. Hunter was trying to straighten out the department when he took over in March and all? He said, you know, maybe I'm too close to everything. He saw Roy Waters was retiring. So he went over and he said, Chief, would you come over and help me with this? Would you be my deputy chief for a while and see if you can see what's going on here? Maybe I'm too close to some of these

guys. Maybe I need to take a step back.

And Chief Waters came in to do that, and Chief Waters did a good job. First of all, he put everybody to work. They all had to train every day at the same time. He found people that weren't working together well and put them together to make them work. And you know, things started getting better just like that. He even tried to bring Mr. Davis along. And he will testify that Mr. Davis came to see him two or three times and said, Chief, I followed the chain of command, and I've got a problem with so-and-so. And he said, anytime you want to come see me, come see me. In fact, he opened every meeting with anybody can come see me in my office anytime -- this is Deputy Waters -- and if you don't want to come to my office, you can come to my house. Come to my house and see me.

Now, what happened was when the stuff about probationary period came up, he announced it to every shift, told them all about it. Said this -- and there was only a couple of questions. And on his deposition, Mr. Davis said, and one of them was me. I was one. I asked a question. And I said, well, Mr. Davis, what was your question? And he's going to testify, my question was, does this apply to the people that are already on the job? In other words, if somebody's promoted from sergeant to captain -- that's the way it works in the fire department -- does that mean they're going to be on probation for 18 months? And Chief Waters says, no, you don't have to

worry about that. It only applies to new hires. It only 1 2 applies to new hires. So it's real simple. It's real simple. And I think 3 4 you're going to find that it's real simple at the end of this. 5 The only thing that was required of Mr. Davis was to follow this 6 policy, a policy he knew about, a policy he had signed off on. He had been told about it before. Instead of discipline him the 7 first time when he knew about it the first time, he was called 8 in and said, look, just in case you forgot, here's the rule; 9 10 sign off. And he does it again. He -- he left the chief no 11 choice. He left him no choice. How can you have somebody with 12 insubordination continue to be insubordinate and not do 13 something about it? 14 Now, these are the last documents I'm going to show This is a written warning form that was filled out on 15 16 April the 20th, 2006, in accordance with the merit system. 17 you can see here he called Mayor Hardin regarding city proposals. Mayor Hardin was not in. David Davis asked to leave 18 a message. Mayor Hardin returned his call. Said he would not 19 20 speak with anyone else. Prior verbal or written actions given. 21 And the next thing on here is September 20th, '05, violation of 22 the directive of Wallace Hunter regarding free speech and 23 grievances. Violation of ASOP 12. Same thing that happened 24 when he went to the newspaper. There it is. And then this is 25 the reason for the termination up here at the top.

Let's see if there's anything -- you'll see a lot of this before we get through. There's no sense in keeping it up here for a long time. But I'm fixing to finish now and sit down and just tell you this, that we put a lot of training into people in that fire department. And you're going to hear evidence that we have -- for the town our size, we've got one of the best fire departments equipment-wise, training-wise -- other people come from other places to train with us.

Since leaving the fire department -- Mr. Davis may want to talk about his damages. He left the fire department and went to Care Ambulance and started making more money. He makes more money per hour there than he did with us. He's also gotten a second job with the Opelika Fire Department, so he's working two jobs now. Before, he was working one. He was getting a lot of overtime with the Phenix City Fire Department, so I don't blame him for wanting his job back. It's a good job to have. It's too bad he couldn't follow the rules, and that's what happens when you don't. And I -- and I'm sorry about it; but, you know, I'm not sorry for anything that we did, because I don't think we did anything wrong.

This courtroom, although it's got the leaks in it and all, is a temple of justice. And when you leave here, whatever your verdict is, when this place empties out and there's less and less people here today, hopefully you'll leave justice here. And justice in this case will be to let the city go and

- 1 say you have a right to enforce rules. You have a right to make
- 2 people follow a chain of command and to do things that are
- 3 reasonable with people. And when they don't follow them, you
- 4 have a right to let them go someplace else and work. And that's
- 5 all we did. Thank you.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Steele, call your first
- 7 | witness.
- 8 MR. STEELE: Yes. We call the plaintiff, David Davis,
- 9 to the stand.
- 10 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 12 (The witness is sworn)
- 13 THE CLERK: Be seated.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Davis, pull that mike up in front of
- 15 you where everybody can hear you. That's good.
- 16 | DAVID PAUL DAVIS, the witness, having been duly sworn,
- 17 | testified, as follows:
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. STEELE:
- 20 Q. Mr. Davis, I'd like for you to begin this afternoon by
- 21 | telling the jury a little bit about yourself, your family, your
- 22 education, and just a little bit about who you are so that they
- 23 can see what happened here.
- 24 A. Yes, sir. My name is David Paul Davis. I'm 33 years old.
- 25 | I was raised in Phenix City, Alabama. I went to school in East

Alabama. I'm married to a local girl. We don't have any 1 2 children, but we do got two Chihuahuas, and they're our 3 children. And I was told this morning we're getting another 4 dog, so I guess we'll have three babies. 5 Most of my adult life I've worked for the fire department in 6 Phenix City. I went there in my twenties. My daddy lived down 7 the street from the fire station. My stepdad was a fireman. 8 So, of course, that made me want to be a fireman. I got on in Phenix City in 1998 as a fire recruit, and I went to recruit 9 10 school, graduated top of my class. Then I became a 11 firefighter. I later was sent to EMT school. After I completed 12 EMT school, I saw that I could serve my community better by 13 being a paramedic, which wasn't a requirement. So out of my own 14 pocket and on my days off, I went to paramedic school. 15 In addition to that, I got my associate's degree at the 16 local community college in fire science. And I saw that -- that 17 I really loved the fire department, and I wanted to end up moving up. And so I knew that I'd have to have an education to 18 19 do that, so I just started to go to school more and more on my 20 days off. And I paid for it out of my own pocket and ended up 21 getting my bachelor's degree and then decided to go a little bit 22 farther and ended up getting my master's degree. And I just 23 worked as a fireman there until April of 2006 when I was 24 terminated.

Q. Mr. Davis, when were you first employed by the fire

25

- 1 department in Phenix City?
- 2 A. I think my start date was April 27th, 1998.
- 3 Q. And if you would, please tell the jury what positions and
- 4 ranks you held during your career with the Phenix City Fire
- 5 Department.
- 6 A. Yes, sir. I started off as a fire recruit and went through
- 7 my basic training and then was promoted -- you know, moved to
- 8 | firefighter and then firefighter EMT. And then I got my
- 9 paramedic, so I was a firefighter paramedic. And in 2003, I was
- 10 promoted to a sergeant position, and I held that position until
- 11 | I was terminated.
- 12 Q. Now, in addition, Mr. Davis, was there an association of
- 13 local firefighters who worked in Phenix City?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And did you have some involvement in that?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And would you tell the jury what your involvement was during
- 18 | the time period that we're talking about, the end of 2005
- 19 through the time of your termination in 2006?
- 20 A. I believe in 2005 I was the acting president. And at the
- 21 end of that year, I believe October, maybe, I was elected to the
- 22 president position of the association.
- 23 Q. Is this association a union that's recognized by the city as
- 24 | a bargaining representative or anything like that?
- 25 A. No, sir. They don't recognize us as a bargaining

- 1 organization.
- 2 Q. Are they required to?
- 3 A. Not that I know of. By law, I don't think they are.
- 4 Q. Now, I'm going to refer you to September of 2005. And there
- 5 is a meeting of the association that a news reporter was at. Do
- 6 you recall that meeting?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Would you tell us how that meeting came about?
- 9 A. Well, I was contacted by a member of the local media who
- 10 said that there was a number of issues in the fire department,
- 11 and he was wondering if he could come to a meeting and I guess
- 12 discover what some of those issues were and talk to some of the
- 13 members.
- 14 |Q. And were you one of the members that were at that -- was at
- 15 | that meeting?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. What was your objective in allowing the reporter to come and
- 18 | talk to y'all?
- 19 A. We were just hoping to make things better in the fire
- 20 department.
- 21 Q. Now, after that meeting, did the newspaper reporter write an
- 22 | article relating to the fire department?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 24 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, if I may approach, I'd like to
- 25 show the witness Exhibit #7 in the exhibit notebook.

```
1 THE COURT: Yes, you may. And I don't find my copies
```

- 2 of those.
- 3 (Brief pause)
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Which number is this?
- 5 MR. STEELE: #7, Your Honor.
- 6 Q. Mr. Davis, will you take a look at what's been marked as
- 7 | Plaintiff's Exhibit #7? It's in the notebook in front of you.
- 8 And would you tell us what that is?
- 9 A. That's the newspaper article that the reporter wrote after
- 10 | the meeting.
- 11 Q. And does that appear to be an accurate copy of the newspaper
- 12 | article you saw in the newspaper in September 2005?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 MR. STEELE: And Your Honor, I'd move for the admission
- 15 of Plaintiff's Exhibit #7.
- 16 THE COURT: Is this one that there's been no objection
- 17 to? Is that correct?
- 18 MR. MCKOON: I don't have any objection to it, Your
- 19 Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 21 Q. Now, you were quoted in that newspaper article; is that
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, I was.
- 24 Q. Would you take a look at the article and tell the jury what
- 25 statements of yours the reporter chose to put in the article?

- 1 A. I think I'm quoted twice in this article.
- 2 Q. Okay. And would you please tell the jury what was -- what
- 3 | those quotes are?
- 4 A. "Morale is at the lowest point since I've been here," says
- 5 | Sergeant Davis, a seven-year veteran and president of the Phenix
- 6 City Firefighters Association. And then the next one is "Davis
- 7 puts it this way. We are reluctant to talk because of
- 8 | significant fear of retaliation, being disciplined, or fired."
- 9 Q. Now, Mr. Davis, were those accurate quotes that the reporter
- 10 put in the article?
- 11 A. Yes, sir. I feel that they were.
- 12 Q. Were those your honest opinions at that time?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Now, why did you choose to meet with the reporter?
- 15 A. Well, there were a number of issues that we were having in
- 16 the fire department, a bunch of issues that we felt like needed
- 17 to be made public so maybe we could get some help.
- 18 Q. Were you on duty when you spoke to the reporter or off duty?
- 19 A. No, sir. I was off duty.
- 20 Q. Do you believe you had a right to speak with that reporter?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. I believe any citizen would have a right to speak
- 22 to a reporter, I mean off duty and off work.
- 23 Q. Now, after that article appeared in the paper, what occurred
- 24 to you relative to your employment?
- 25 A. I was called down to the chief's office and told that

- 1 lawyers were involved and I could possibly be losing my job.
- 2 Q. Now, who told you that?
- 3 A. I believe it was the fire chief and my assistant chief.
- 4 Q. And who was your assistant chief at the time?
- 5 A. Kenny Johansen was my assistant chief.
- 6 Q. Were you asked to write a statement about your participation
- 7 | in that discussion with the reporter?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, I believe I was.
- 9 Q. If you would, please turn to what's marked as Plaintiff's
- 10 Exhibit #9 in the notebook in front of you. And would you tell
- 11 | the jury what Exhibit #9 is?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. It's the letter that I was told to write.
- 13 Q. And does that appear to be an accurate copy of the statement
- 14 that you made on September 20th of 2005?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 MR. STEELE: And Your Honor, I'd move for the admission
- 17 of Plaintiff's Exhibit #9.
- MR. MCKOON: We've seen it. That's fine, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 20 Q. Mr. Davis, now that it's admitted, would you read -- it's a
- 21 | fairly short statement there. Would you read that statement to
- 22 | the jury, please?
- 23 A. Yes, sir. Do you want me to read the whole thing?
- 24 O. Yes.
- 25 A. It's dated September 20th, 2005. To whom it may concern:

- 1 On Tuesday, September 13th, 2005, on my off-duty day and acting
- 2 as president of the Phenix City Firefighters Association, Local
- 3 | 3668, I met and gave an interview with the local media on issues
- 4 in the Phenix City Firefighters Association. Respectively
- 5 (sic), David P. Davis, Sergeant.
- 6 Q. What, if anything, happened after you gave that statement?
- 7 A. I was called down to the chief's office.
- 8 Q. Okay. And then what occurred?
- 9 A. They gave me a packet, told me to sign for it, and then told
- 10 me, you know -- I asked if I was in any trouble. They said they
- 11 | didn't know, that lawyers were involved, and that I could lose
- 12 my job.
- 13 Q. At some point, did you receive a counseling form in
- 14 | connection with that newspaper article?
- 15 A. Yes, sir. I think the next morning when I was getting off,
- 16 my assistant chief rode down there and told me to sign a
- 17 | counseling form right before I left from work.
- 18 Q. Okay. And if you would, please turn to Exhibit #13 in the
- 19 | binder in front of you. And would you tell us, is this the
- 20 | counseling form you just referred to?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And that appears to be an accurate copy of that form?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 MR. STEELE: And Your Honor, I would move for admission
- 25 of Plaintiff's Exhibit #13.

- 1 MR. MCKOON: No objection.
- THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 3 Q. Did you sign this form at the meeting or was -- that you had
- 4 | at the chief's office, or was this afterwards?
- 5 A. I signed this afterwards.
- 6 Q. Tell me the best that you can recall what you were told at
- 7 that meeting concerning your rights to speak to the media as an
- 8 employee, being an employee of this department.
- 9 A. Well, I was told that I -- I couldn't speak to the media.
- 10 0. And who told you that?
- 11 A. My fire chief.
- 12 | O. Chief Hunter?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Now, did Chief Hunter say you can't speak to the media
- 15 unless you talk to me first?
- 16 A. I mean they gave me the policy and told me to read it, and
- 17 | that's what the policy says.
- 18 Q. And why didn't you follow that policy when you spoke to the
- 19 reporter?
- 20 A. Well, first of all, I was on my day off, and I thought those
- 21 policies were applicable to when you're on duty.
- 22 Q. And were there any other reasons?
- 23 A. I mean we spoke about matters of public concern and, you
- 24 know, issues that -- that any public citizen would, you know, be
- 25 concerned about if they lived in the city of Phenix City.

- 1 Q. Did you believe as a citizen you had a right to talk about
- 2 | those issues?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Now, as a result of receiving this counseling, did you do
- 5 anything different in response to it? Did you change your
- 6 behavior or actions in any way?
- 7 A. Yes, sir. I didn't invite any more people from the media to
- 8 any of our meetings.
- 9 Q. And is that true from the time you signed that form until
- 10 | the time you were terminated?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Now, that was in September of 2005, and then there became a
- 13 | time that you learned of a proposed ordinance with respect to
- 14 | the probationary policy; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Would you tell the jury when you first learned about that?
- 17 A. When I first learned about --
- 18 Q. About a proposed ordinance to change the city's probation
- 19 policy for firefighters.
- 20 A. It was a Sunday. I'm not sure of the exact date. I was on
- 21 duty on a Sunday.
- 22 Q. And how did -- how did that come to your attention?
- 23 A. I saw it in the Ledger-Enquirer, which is a newspaper where
- 24 | they make an announcement of the council's agenda.
- 25 Q. Now, prior to that, had anyone shown you this proposed

- 1 ordinance?
- 2 A. No, sir. Nobody ever showed it to me.
- 3 Q. When you read about it in the paper, did you know exactly
- 4 | what the ordinance was?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Chief Waters -- what, if anything, did Chief Waters say in
- 7 your presence about the probationary policy or the length of
- 8 probation?
- 9 A. Probably two or three months prior to that being in the
- 10 | newspaper, we had training every day at 1300, at one o'clock.
- 11 Chief Waters usually was over our training. And sometime in the
- 12 course of the training, he told us that in the future, no time
- 13 soon, that they would probably extend the probationary time for
- 14 firefighters, that that was something that they did in Columbus
- 15 | and he didn't know why we weren't doing it in Phenix City.
- 16 | O. Did Chief Waters tell you that it was definitely going to
- 17 | happen or that it might happen?
- 18 A. No, sir. I mean we had a little bit of discussion on it.
- 19 He said, hey, guys, that will be -- that's nothing that's going
- 20 to happen right now.
- 21 Q. Between the time of that discussion and the time when you
- 22 read the article in the newspaper, did anyone within the
- 23 department or anyone at all tell you that there was a written
- 24 proposal to change the probationary policy that was presented
- 25 | for consideration by the council?

- 1 A. Let me see if this -- did you ask me before I saw it in the
- 2 paper, did I know about it?
- 3 Q. Before you saw it in the paper. Any time between when
- 4 Mr. Waters -- excuse me -- Chief Waters said that at some time
- 5 | in the future we're probably going to be looking at this and
- 6 when you read the article in the paper in April of 2006, did
- 7 anyone ever tell you that there actually was a written proposal
- 8 being presented to the city council to amend the probationary
- 9 period and extend it by an additional six months?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. When did you first learn that a written proposal was before
- 12 | the city council?
- 13 A. On that Sunday that I saw it in the newspaper.
- 14 Q. Now, when you saw it in the newspaper that Sunday, did you
- 15 have any discussions at the station about it?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And who did you talk to at the station about that?
- 18 A. My captain.
- 19 Q. And who was your captain?
- 20 A. George Bennett.
- 21 Q. And is there anyone else that you talked to at the station
- 22 | about it?
- 23 A. Well, I talked on the telephone to Bill Pitts.
- 24 Q. Okay. Tell me about your conversation with -- with Captain
- 25 Bennett. Where did that occur?

- 1 A. I was sitting in the kitchen, and he was in the living room.
- 2 Q. This is at the station?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. At the fire station number three.
- 4 Q. Was anyone else there at that time participating in that
- 5 | conversation?
- 6 A. I think Brandon Sheets was in there as well.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, tell me to the best you can recall -- and I
- 8 understand that that's now approaching almost two years ago, but
- 9 tell me the best that you can recall what you said during that
- 10 | conversation to Captain Bennett and what, if anything, Captain
- 11 Bennett said to you in that conversation as it pertains to the
- 12 proposed ordinance at that point, the proposal before the city
- 13 | council.
- 14 A. I saw it in the paper. And I went and looked in the merit
- 15 system book, and then I come back there in the kitchen. And I
- 16 | said, well, I said, it looks like they're changing the
- 17 | probationary time again. And I think Captain Bennett said it
- 18 | must have been -- you know, it must be what the chief was
- 19 talking about the other day about the new employees. And I said
- 20 yeah. And then he said, that ain't going to work. He said,
- 21 | aren't you friends with the mayor? And I said, well, we
- 22 supported him in his campaign. And he said, well, you know,
- 23 |you're going to have to call down there and get that stopped.
- 24 Q. Is there anything else that you recall from that
- 25 | conversation that day at the station?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. Did Captain Bennett tell you that you'd be violating the
- 3 | chain of command if you made that call?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Did he instruct you not to do so?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. Did he instruct you that you needed to go above him up the
- 8 | chain of command before you made the call?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. So you have the discussion at the station with Mr. Sheets
- 11 and your captain. Now, Captain Bennett, was he your first-line
- 12 | supervisor that day?
- 13 A. Yes, sir. He's my boss. He's my supervisor.
- 14 Q. Okay. So on that shift, as far as chain of command, it
- 15 | starts with him, as far as your position as a sergeant that day?
- 16 A. Yes, sir. He's my boss.
- 17 Q. And as you sit here today, your best recollection -- I want
- 18 to make sure we have this clear -- is that Captain Bennet told
- 19 you that you should make some calls?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. He said I need to make some calls. He said, it
- 21 looks like that you need to make some calls.
- 22 Q. And what did you say in response?
- 23 A. Well, I said, yeah, I probably will tomorrow.
- 24 Q. Now, after talking to Captain Bennett about it, why didn't
- 25 you take it higher up the chain of command?

- 1 A. I didn't think I had to.
- 2 Q. And why is that?
- 3 A. Well, one, it was -- it didn't affect me. Two, it was a
- 4 political issue and really wasn't work-related for me. The
- 5 captain told me to do it. And, you know, I was going to do it
- 6 on my day off.
- 7 | Q. Were you satisfied with the answer that -- or the statement
- 8 | the captain gave you concerning your ability to make the call?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. I mean he said it was -- I mean he told me to do
- 10 | it.
- 11 Q. You weren't objecting to what Captain Bennett told you and
- 12 needing to raise that up the chain?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. So what did you do next in connection with this proposal?
- 15 A. The next day when I was off, I called the city clerk's
- 16 office to get verification on exactly what it entailed because I
- 17 | was unsure specifically what -- what it was. She told me in
- 18 detail on what the proposal was. So once I got verification on
- 19 exactly what it entailed, I called my fellow firefighters from
- 20 | my house, you know, to get their opinions on what our stance
- 21 | would be. And then after I talked to my secretary-treasurer and
- 22 | a number of other firefighters, I called the mayor. He had told
- 23 me before on a number of occasions that if I ever needed
- 24 anything, I could call him. So I called him and, you know,
- 25 voiced our concerns with the proposal over the telephone. Well,

- 1 | I called him and he wasn't in, and then he called me back later
- 2 and we discussed it.
- 3 Q. Now, when you first called and the mayor wasn't in, did you
- 4 ask to leave a message so he could call you back?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And I'd like to show you Exhibit -- I'd like you to take a
- 7 look at Exhibit #18 in the binder in front of you. After you do
- 8 | that, does that look like the same message form that Mr. McKoon
- 9 talked to the jury about during his opening?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Are you able to read what's on that form?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, I can.
- 13 MR. STEELE: I'd like to, Your Honor, move for the
- 14 admission of this form.
- 15 MR. MCKOON: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Which number is that?
- 17 MR. STEELE: Plaintiff's Exhibit #18.
- 18 THE COURT: Yes. It's admitted.
- 19 Q. Would you read what it says under the message area of that
- 20 form, please.
- 21 A. It says, message, city proposals, dash, he would not speak
- 22 | with anyone else.
- 23 Q. Now, this "he would not speak to anyone else," did the
- 24 person to take that message ask you if you wanted to talk to the
- 25 | fire chief?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. Did the person say, well, the mayor's not in; is there
- 3 | anyone else that can help you?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And why didn't you talk to anybody else?
- 6 A. I mean I just told her I called to talk -- to speak to the
- 7 | mayor.
- 8 Q. And she said she'd leave him the message.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. You didn't feel that you were snubbing the chief or city
- 11 manager by asking to speak to the mayor, did you?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Now, you said that you called and you left a message because
- 14 | the mayor wasn't in. And then later that day, he called you
- 15 back; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And you had a conversation --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- concerning the proposal.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. When you had that conversation, though, the mayor called
- 22 | you, not you calling the mayor; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Now, tell us to the best you recall -- let me back up a
- 25 | little bit. Where were you when you received the mayor's call?

- 1 A. I was at home.
- 2 Q. And was that on your day off?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. I was off work.
- 4 Q. Okay. And how long did the call last?
- 5 A. Not even five minutes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Would you tell us to the best of your recollection
- 7 | what you said during that call and what, if anything, the mayor
- 8 | said to you in response?
- 9 A. He called me back and said, hey, David; and I said, hey,
- 10 Mr. Mayor. And we just chitchatted for a second or two,
- 11 exchange of pleasantries. And I told him that, you know, we
- 12 were calling to voice our opposition to the changes to the
- 13 | probation period for firefighters. You know, we -- I gave him
- 14 some examples on why we were opposed to it, such as, you know,
- 15 | we had been working short and we thought it would be hard to
- 16 recruit firefighters if probation was extended, that they
- 17 | couldn't work part-time jobs when they're on probation, and they
- 18 didn't have any, you know, rights under the merit system when
- 19 they're on probation. And other departments in the area
- 20 | competing for the same recruits allowed their firefighters to
- 21 work. And I think I even told him that, you know, our insurance
- 22 | is pretty expensive and other places aren't, and the
- 23 | firefighters kind of need their part-time jobs to make up the
- 24 difference and that we felt like it would hurt our -- you know,
- 25 our recruitment abilities and our staffing.

- 1 And I even proposed some changes, because he had brought up
- 2 | the fact that he couldn't remember if it was the police chief or
- 3 the fire chief that brought this forward, but that one of the
- 4 chiefs said that it had to do something with EMTs, you know,
- 5 people not being able to pass EMTs. And then I told him, I
- 6 said, well, why don't we just extend the period that people have
- 7 to allow to be able to pass EMTs.
- 8 And then he thanked me, you know, for bringing this to his
- 9 attention and said that he would keep that in his mind and he
- 10 | would bring that up at the work session, and that he thanked me
- 11 | for calling him and told me on the phone that, you know,
- 12 appreciate you calling. And anytime you need anything, feel
- 13 | free to call me. And that was it.
- 14 Q. Okay. At any time during that conversation did the mayor
- 15 | tell you that you needed to raise this with the chief or the
- 16 city manager instead of talking to him about it?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. At any time during the conversation did the mayor say, I
- 19 | can't talk to you about this? It's out of my area?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, was the proposed ordinance
- 22 | in fact something that was before the city council for
- 23 | consideration?
- 24 A. Yes, sir. I assumed it was like any other ordinance.
- 25 (Brief pause)

- 1 MR. STEELE: I apologize, Your Honor. I'll have this
- 2 | in just a moment.
- THE COURT: If you're getting ready to go into another
- 4 area, we'll take a break. If you're still on what you're
- 5 talking about now, we'll wait.
- 6 MR. STEELE: A couple more questions relating to this
- 7 | conversation and the ordinance --
- 8 THE COURT: All right.
- 9 MR. STEELE: -- if we could, sir.
- 10 Q. Mr. Davis, please turn to Exhibit #17 in the notebook in
- 11 | front of you and first take a look at that and read it for
- 12 yourself -- to yourself and let me know, does this appear to be
- 13 | the ordinance that you discussed with the mayor in that phone
- 14 | conversation?
- 15 A. Yes, sir. That looks correct.
- 16 MR. STEELE: And I would move for the admission of
- 17 | Plaintiff's Exhibit #17.
- 18 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 19 Q. And if you would, tell the jury what was the date that's
- 20 | listed on Exhibit #17 as this ordinance having been passed and
- 21 approved.
- 22 A. It says the 18th day of April, 2006.
- 23 Q. So that was the day after you spoke to the mayor?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And two days after you read about it in the paper?

```
1
   Α.
      Yes, sir.
 2
             MR. STEELE: Your Honor, this would be a fine stopping
 3
   point if you'd like to take a break.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, we're
   going to take a recess at this time. And I understand there are
 5
 6
    soft drinks and water and things down in the jury room.
 7
    anybody wants to step outside and catch a breath of fresh air,
 8
   feel free to do that and then come back up to the jury room
 9
    there. I'll remind you not to discuss the case with anybody or
10
    allow anybody to discuss it with you or in your presence. Don't
11
    begin talking among yourselves about the case.
                                                    We'll take 15
12
   minutes and be back up here ready to start back at five minutes
13
    till four. We're in recess.
14
        (Jury out at 3:41 p.m.)
15
             THE COURT: All right. We're in recess for 15 minutes.
16
        (Recess at 3:41 p.m. until 3:58 p.m., at which time
17
        proceedings reconvened with the jury present, as follows:)
             THE CLERK: Court is in session. You may be seated.
18
19
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Steele?
20
             MR. STEELE: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
       Now, Mr. Davis, with respect to this probationary policy
22
    ordinance, at the time that you talked to the mayor, were you a
23
   new hiree?
24
   A. No, sir.
25
       How long had you been in the department?
```

- 1 A. Probably about a week shy of eight years.
- 2 Q. Did you expect that the ordinance was a problem that was
- 3 going to affect you personally?
- 4 A. No, sir. It wouldn't affect me.
- 5 Q. When you spoke to the mayor, did you tell him it was a
- 6 personal problem that you were having, or was it -- what did you
- 7 | tell the mayor about it?
- 8 A. I just told him we had some issues with it.
- 9 Q. And the mayor said what?
- 10 A. I mean he asked what kind of concerns do you have.
- 11 Q. And then the rest proceeded as you've already testified?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. I want to change gears a little bit here and ask you about
- 14 | the mayor and whether or not he had an open-door policy, to the
- 15 best of your knowledge. What do you know about it?
- 16 A. To the best of my knowledge, he had an open-door policy.
- 17 Q. And what makes you say that?
- 18 A. He told me.
- 19 Q. And where did that occur? How did that come about?
- 20 A. One time we had a meeting. He came in. He was running for
- 21 election. He asked for our support and told us his platform.
- 22 And part of his platform was that he would have an open-door
- 23 policy for firefighters.
- 24 Q. How many people do you recall being at that meeting, if you
- 25 | could estimate?

- 1 A. Anywhere between 15 to 20 firefighters.
- 2 Q. Was that the only occasion you heard the mayor reference
- 3 having an open-door policy?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. On how many occasions do you recall the mayor mentioning an
- 6 open-door policy?
- 7 A. Including the -- I guess when he told me to call him anytime
- 8 when I called him that day?
- 9 Q. Sure. Including when you talked to him that day.
- 10 A. Maybe four, total.
- 11 Q. And you told us about what he said in the phone call and
- 12 about this meeting when he was running for office. What do you
- 13 remember of the other occasions?
- 14 A. Another time we had a captain who got injured on the job and
- 15 | had to retire. The mayor came to the retirement party and gave
- 16 | a speech. And part of that speech was he told us that his door
- 17 | was open to us anytime. Another time I was in his office for a
- 18 meeting and he told me anytime that, you know, I needed him, to
- 19 call him. And then, of course, at the end of the conversation
- 20 when I called him that Monday morning, you know, he told me
- 21 | thank you for bringing this to my attention and, you know, call
- 22 | me if you need me.
- 23 Q. Now, Mr. Davis, setting aside what the mayor told you in
- 24 | that conversation on April 17th, 2006, were the other three
- 25 occasions you just told the jury about before or after that

- 1 | conversation?
- 2 A. Could you ask that question again, please?
- 3 Q. Sure. You've told us about four times when the mayor
- 4 mentioned an open-door policy in your presence --
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. -- is that correct? One time you mentioned is in the phone
- 7 | conversation on April 17th that we discussed earlier, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. The other three occasions, were they before April 17th,
- 10 | 2006?
- 11 A. Oh, yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Now, you mentioned that there was a meeting relating to an
- 13 individual that was -- was retiring; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And the mayor came and spoke at that meeting?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Do you recall when that meeting occurred?
- 18 A. I don't remember the exact date. It might have been January
- 19 | '06.
- 20 Q. Do you recall whether it was before or after the mayor was
- 21 | elected mayor?
- 22  $\mid$ A. It was after. He was already the mayor. I gave him the
- 23 | invitation at a council meeting.
- 24 Q. And the other time you told us about was when you talked to
- 25 him in his office. Was that his office at city hall?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. So that was after he was elected as well.
- 3 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. When the mayor told you or told the people assembled at
- 5 these meetings that he had an open-door policy, did you believe
- 6 him?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Did the mayor give you any reason not to believe him?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 0. Did you take him at his word?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And at any time between the time the mayor ran for election
- 13 and the time that you were terminated, did the mayor ever
- 14 communicate to you that my door is now closed, the open-door
- 15 | policy, that's no longer in effect?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. He never communicated that to you?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Now, you spoke with the mayor, you said, on the 17th, and
- 20 | you identified the ordinance having been enacted on the 18th of
- 21 April. Do you know customarily what time of day the city
- 22 | council meets? Do they meet in the evening? Do they meet in
- 23 | the morning? If you're aware.
- 24 A. They have council meetings on Tuesdays in the mornings, I
- 25 | think nine o'clock, 9:30.

- 1 Q. And so you spoke to the mayor about five p.m. the night
- 2 | before?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. On Monday night.
- 4 Q. Now, talk about the 17th and the 18th. Would you tell us
- 5 | what occurred when you returned to work on April 19th relative
- 6 to your communication with the mayor?
- 7 A. Okay. I think I returned to work -- the 19th was a
- 8 Wednesday. It might be easier for me to remember the day of the
- 9 | week, but it was a Wednesday, and I came back to work. I worked
- 10 | at fire station three and was told to report to fire -- to
- 11 | headquarters. And I reported to headquarters and was questioned
- 12 on if I called the mayor.
- 13 Q. How long were you at headquarters?
- 14 A. Probably five or six hours.
- 15 | O. Were you in discussions for all that time?
- 16 A. No, sir. They asked me if I called the mayor, and I told
- 17 them yes. They asked me why, and I told them. And then they
- 18 | told me to go in the next room and write a statement. I wrote
- 19 the statement. They told me to sit there until they figured out
- 20 | what they were going to do with me.
- 21 Q. And who was it that told you to sit there?
- 22 A. Chief Waters.
- 23 Q. And how long did you sit there?
- 24 A. Two or three hours.
- 25 Q. Now, if you would, please, Mr. Davis, turn to what's marked

- 1 for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit #19 in the book in
- 2 front of you. And would you identify that for the jury, please?
- 3 A. That's the statement that I was told to write.
- 4 Q. And does this appear to be an accurate copy of the statement
- 5 you provided on April 19th, 2006?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. STEELE: And Your Honor, we would move for
- 8 admission of Plaintiff's Exhibit #19.
- 9 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 10 | O. And if you would, Mr. Davis, please -- it's a brief
- 11 | statement. Please read this statement into the record and for
- 12 | the jury.
- 13 A. 4/19/2006. Wallace Hunter, Fire Chief. On Monday, April
- 14 | 17th, 2006, I placed a call to Mayor Jeff Hardin's office. As
- 15 president of the Phenix City Firefighters Association, Local
- 16 3668, I made this call in regards to some labor issues in which
- 17 | I had concerns with. Mayor Hardin returned my call later that
- 18 evening, and we discussed the issues in which I wanted to
- 19 address. Respectively, David P. Davis.
- 20 Q. And what are the issues that you were referring to?
- 21 A. Lengthening the probationary time.
- 22 Q. The ordinance that you've already testified to?
- 23 A. Yes, sir. The city ordinance proposal.
- 24 Q. So on the 19th, you gave them a statement, and then they sat
- 25 | you in a room for a few hours?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And then what happened?
- 3 A. I was ordered to go back to my station.
- 4 Q. And did you complete your shift?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Tell me what next happened to you in relation to your
- 7 | communication with the mayor.
- 8 A. I think Thursday night I was called by one of the assistant
- 9 chiefs. And he told me to come to work -- come to the personnel
- 10 office on Friday in the morning.
- 11 Q. And who was that that called you?
- 12 A. I think it was -- James Jackson was the assistant chief that
- 13 | called me at home.
- 14 Q. And did you go to the personnel department on Friday
- 15 | morning?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Who did you meet with there?
- 18 A. I believe it was Barbara Goodwin, the personnel director,
- 19 | the fire chief, and the deputy chief.
- 20 Q. Chief Hunter?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And the deputy chief at the time?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Please identify for the jury the deputy chief.
- 25 A. Oh. The deputy chief was Roy Waters.

- 1 Q. Was it just the four of you at that meeting?
- 2 A. In the room. There was a police officer that met me at the
- 3 door.
- 4 Q. Okay. But he was not in the room when you had the
- 5 discussion, however?
- 6 A. No, sir. He stood outside the door.
- 7 Q. Okay. Tell me what you recall occurring in that meeting,
- 8 | what you recall those individuals having said to you, what you
- 9 recall having said to them.
- 10 A. They gave me a piece of paper and told me to read it, and I
- 11 | read it. And then they told me I was going to be terminated,
- 12 but they would give me the opportunity to resign. I asked if I
- 13 could call legal counsel to see what my best options would be.
- 14 | The personnel director, Ms. Goodwin, told me that I couldn't do
- 15 | that; that if I didn't resign, then I'd be terminated effective
- 16 | immediately -- effective immediately. And so I asked them why,
- 17 and they told me that it's right there on that piece of paper
- 18 why. And I had to sign that paperwork. Then I sat there until
- 19 | they called -- I think they made everybody leave the fire
- 20 | station, and then they called the captain to meet me down there
- 21 and made me clean out my locker. I mean I was fired.
- 22 Q. Now, Mr. Davis, if you would turn, please, to Exhibit #21 in
- 23 the binder in front of you. Is that the statement that you were
- 24 provided and told to read?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. STEELE: Your Honor and Mr. McKoon, if I could, I'd
- 2 let the jury know that it's the same as the blow-up that you
- 3 | showed them.
- 4 MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. STEELE: You'll be receiving a copy of the exhibits
- 6 | later; but just so you'll know what we're talking about, it's
- 7 this exhibit that Mr. McKoon referenced earlier.
- 8 Q. And does that appear to be an accurate copy of the statement
- 9 you received on the 20th of April when you were called in to the
- 10 personnel office?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. STEELE: And Your Honor, we would move for
- 13 admission of Plaintiff's Exhibit #21.
- 14 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 15 | O. Mr. Davis, at the bottom of that exhibit in fairly small
- 16 print there is some handwriting. Do you see where that is?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Do you know whose handwriting that is?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. That's my handwriting.
- 20 Q. Okay. Would you help us out and tell the jury what you have
- 21 written there at the bottom of the first page of the exhibit?
- 22 A. All right. It says, in regards to contacting the mayor, I
- 23 | was acting in my capacity as president of the Phenix City
- 24 | Firefighters Association, Local 3668, and not as a driver
- 25 engineer with the City of Phenix City. I will seek a review

- 1 | board hearing.
- 2 Q. And then you signed this document?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. What did you do immediately after the conclusion of the
- 5 | meeting?
- 6 A. I had to go down to the fire station and clean out my
- 7 locker.
- 8 Q. Were you accompanied by anybody when you did that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. They had a captain to meet me down there.
- 10 Q. And he followed you and watched what you did?
- 11 A. Well, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. If you would, please turn to Exhibit #22 in the binder in
- 13 front of you. And would you tell us what this exhibit is,
- 14 please?
- 15 A. It says End of Employment Form, City of Phenix City.
- 16 Q. Okay. And have you seen that document before?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. I think I signed it that day.
- 18 Q. Does this appear to be an accurate copy of the document, the
- 19 end of employment form you signed on that date?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would move for
- 22 admission of Plaintiff's Exhibits #22.
- 23 THE COURT: Admitted.
- MR. STEELE: Thank you.
- 25 Q. When did you receive Plaintiff's Exhibit #22, what's

- 1 | represented as Plaintiff's Exhibit #22?
- 2 A. That morning in the personnel office on the 21st.
- 3 O. And what, if anything, were you told about this document?
- 4 A. I mean they just handed me a bunch of documents and told me
- 5 to read it and sign.
- 6 Q. Okay. Was there any discussion of this document, or did you
- 7 | just do as they said, read it and sign?
- 8 A. No. I asked them why I was being fired.
- 9 Q. Who did you ask?
- 10 A. All three of them.
- 11 Q. Okay. Did any of them answer you?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Who was that?
- 14 A. I believe it was Deputy Chief Waters.
- 15 | Q. What did he say?
- 16 A. He said that you can look on that paperwork right there in
- 17 | the detailed account, and so I read it.
- 18 Q. Now, when he said that, was he referring to what's been
- 19 admitted as Exhibit #22, or was he referring to what has been
- 20 admitted as Exhibit #21?
- 21 A. No, sir. It was Exhibit #21. He pointed to it.
- 22 Q. Okay. And he told you to -- pointed it and told you what?
- 23 A. That it's right there.
- 24 Q. And there's a section on this document that says detailed
- 25 description of violation including date, time, and nature of

- 1 occurrence. Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Is that the section that Chief Waters pointed you to?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Would you read that for us, please.
- 6 A. On April 17th, 2006, at 12:30 p.m., Driver Engineer David
- 7 Davis called Mayor Hardin regarding city proposals. Mayor
- 8 Hardin was not in, and David Davis asked to leave a message for
- 9 Mayor Hardin to return his call. David Davis stated he would
- 10 not speak with anyone else.
- 11 Q. And that's what you were told during that meeting was the
- 12 reason for the termination.
- 13 A. Well, I asked. I said, so I'm being fired for calling the
- 14 | mayor.
- 15 | Q. Was there a response to that?
- 16 A. I was told yes.
- 17 Q. And who told you yes?
- 18 A. The deputy chief, Waters.
- 19 Q. Now, if you would, I'd like you to turn to Exhibit #5 in the
- 20 | notebook in front of you. And please tell the jury what Exhibit
- 21 | #5 is.
- 22 A. It says City of Phenix City Fire Department, ASOP 12,
- 23 Addressing City Council.
- 24 MR. STEELE: And for the record, the jury was shown a
- 25 | blow-up copy of it during Mr. McKoon's opening, so they'll know

- 1 | we're referring to the same document.
- 2 Q. Did you receive a copy of ASOP 12?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Do you know when?
- 5 A. I believe it was in September of '05, sometime after that --
- 6 the newspaper article was published, I got a packet.
- 7 Q. Do you also have copies of the ASOPs prior to that?
- 8 A. Oh, yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. When you called the mayor on April 17th, 2006, did you
- 10 believe that you were violating ASOP 12?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Why not?
- 13 A. Well, number one, the mayor told me to call him, that he had
- 14 | an open-door policy. Two, I thought that addressing the city
- 15 | council with work-related business -- to me, it was a political
- 16 | issue. It hadn't even been enacted, so it wasn't work-related
- 17 | for me. And I was on my day off acting as a, you know, citizen
- 18 of the United States. I thought you had a right to contact your
- 19 political leaders that you had helped get elected.
- 20 Q. So at the time that you called the mayor, if I understand
- 21 | what you said correctly, the new policy wasn't in effect yet?
- 22 A. The new --
- 23 Q. The new probationary policy?
- 24 A. Oh, no, sir. No, sir. It was proposed.
- 25 Q. Hadn't been voted on yet?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. As of that date, it wasn't anything governing the
- 3 | firefighters?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 | O. Now, in addition to ASOP 12, there was reference earlier
- 6 this morning to a grievance. Why didn't you file a grievance
- 7 over your concerns on the probationary policy?
- 8 A. I didn't see it as a grievance. It didn't affect me.
- 9 Q. And explain to us why you didn't see it as a grievance.
- 10 A. Because a grievance is an individual's view or opinion. It
- 11 didn't affect me individually. I had already been a seasoned
- 12 | veteran, so my probationary time was going to be the same.
- 13 Q. Had anyone ever told you that it's appropriate to file a
- 14 grievance over proposed ordinances before the counsel?
- 15 A. No, sir. I never thought you'd have to file a grievance on
- 16 | something that's proposed. It's -- I mean it hadn't happened
- 17 | yet.
- 18 Q. So when you called the mayor, did you think that you were
- 19 | violating any -- any grievance policy or any other policy that
- 20 | you were aware of?
- 21 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 22 Q. Was it your intent to violate any policy that you're aware
- 23 of?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 |Q. Did you feel you were thumbing your nose at Chief Hunter, as

- 1 | was suggested earlier?
- 2 A. Absolutely not.
- 3 Q. Explain to the jury why it was the mayor that you called on
- 4 that date instead of someone within the department.
- 5 A. Because the mayor told me to call him if I ever needed him.
- 6 Q. Any other reasons that you recall?
- 7 A. No, sir. I mean other than the fact that as a citizen, you
- 8 know, on your day off and you feel like you ought to be able to
- 9 contact any of your elected officials, whether it be the
- 10 president or the mayor of your hometown.
- 11 Q. Was it your understanding that Fire Chief Hunter or City
- 12 | Manager Roberts would be voting on the proposed ordinance,
- 13 | whether it became part of the city code or not?
- $14 \mid A$ . No, sir. They don't have a vote on those proposals. Only
- 15 the city council does.
- 16 Q. And in Phenix City, the mayor is a member of the city
- 17 | council; is that correct?
- 18 A. He leads the city council.
- 19 | Q. Does he vote?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Now, after you received notice of your termination for
- 22 calling the mayor, what did you do next in connection with your
- 23 employment or loss of employment at the fire department?
- 24 A. Did you ask me what I did after I got fired?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 | A. Well, I --
- 2 Q. Was there an appeal or anything like that?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. I applied for an appeal process.
- 4 Q. Would you please turn to Exhibit #23 in the notebook in
- 5 front of you? And would you identify that exhibit for us,
- 6 please?
- 7 A. This is the letter I sent the personnel director asking if I
- 8 | could have an appeal.
- 9 Q. And does this appear to be an accurate copy of your letter
- 10 to the personnel director?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, we move for the admission of
- 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit #23.
- 14 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 15 MR. STEELE: Thank you.
- 16 Q. Mr. Davis, would you read to the jury what you wrote in your
- 17 | letter to the personnel director, Exhibit #23?
- 18 A. It says, 4/28/2006, Barbara Goodwin, the personnel
- 19 director. I am humbly requesting an appeal hearing to the
- 20 personnel review board for the disciplinary actions and
- 21 | termination that was taken against me April 21st, 2006. I
- 22 respectfully ask that this hearing not occur until after May
- 23 | 12th, 2006, for I will be out of town. In addition, I will be
- 24 using legal counsel and ask that you inform me in writing that I
- 25 | will be allowed to use legal representation during this appeal

- 1 hearing. Respectfully, David P. Davis, 185 Lee Road 236, Phenix
- 2 | City, Alabama, 36870, 334-291-1927.
- 3 Q. Mr. Davis, was there in fact an appeal hearing?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And you participated in the hearing?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And eventually you got the results, the outcome from the
- 8 | hearing?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 O. How did you receive those results?
- 11 A. I got a letter in the mail.
- 12 | Q. And who was that letter from?
- 13 A. I think it was from the city manager.
- 14 Q. If you would, please, turn to Exhibit #25 in the notebook in
- 15 | front of you. And would you tell us, please, is this the letter
- 16 | that you're referring to from City Manager Roberts?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. I'm not going to make you read this one, but if you'd tell
- 19 us what you learned from Exhibit #25.
- 20 A. That I was still fired.
- 21 Q. The city manager upheld the termination?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Now, you told us you'd been with the department for I guess
- 24 | a bit longer than eight years?
- 25 A. A little short of eight years.

- 1 Q. A little short of eight years. I'm sorry.
- 2 | A. I was one -- about one week exactly short of being there
- 3 eight years.
- 4 Q. Okay. Tell the jury, if you would, what did your job mean
- 5 to you?
- 6 A. Well, I can tell you that I don't like to call it a job
- 7 | because -- I mean most people got a job that they do for a
- 8 living; but to me, being a firefighter at Phenix City was more
- 9 than a job. It was my life. And I mean that's -- the only
- 10 reason why I went to school and kept in shape was to work there
- 11 and move up and serve the people that I grew up around and was
- 12 | with. The firefighters that I worked with was, you know, like
- 13 my family. That job meant everything to me.
- 14 And ever since I've been fired, I feel like I'm less of a
- 15 man. I mean even my -- it's affected my home life. I mean my
- 16 | wife, you know, she's not married to the same man that she was
- 17 married to. I just don't take the same pride in everything that
- 18 I used to. I've had to go to the doctor and, you know, get
- 19 | medicine for depression because I've been sad. I just don't
- 20 | feel like the same -- I mean I got a job with another fire
- 21 department and I work on the ambulance. I work 106 hours a week
- 22 to compensate for the fact that I just don't have what I used to
- 23 have. I just want my job back. That's all I want.
- 24 Being a firefighter somewhere else, I mean I'm still a
- 25 | firefighter, but it ain't the same. And when I work on the

```
ambulance, I have to work in the same town I grew up with.
 1
                                                                And
 2
    I see the firefighters that I grew up with in the fire
 3
   department, and I just want to be back on the fire truck with
 4
           I mean I made a phone call, you know, and lost it all.
 5
   And I just want it back so I can feel whole again.
 6
       Thank you, Mr. Davis.
 7
             Your Honor, would this be an appropriate time to inform
 8
    the jury of the stipulation regarding out-of-pocket lost wages?
 9
             THE COURT: Do you have a document you want to put in,
10
    or do you want to read something or how do you want to do it?
11
             MR. STEELE: The document that has the total number on
12
    it is Exhibit #28 in the binder in front of you.
13
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
14
             MR. STEELE: And the number stipulated to is on the
15
    last page of that exhibit.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the
17
   parties to this lawsuit have not agreed that the plaintiff is
18
    entitled to anything in this case, but they have agreed as to
    what, if he were entitled to anything, would be his net loss of
19
20
    wages resulting from this. Is that correct?
21
             MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
22
             MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: All right. And that's in Exhibit #28.
                                                                 And
24
    I understand that's being admitted by agreement and
25
    stipulation. Both -- this is not just from one side or the
```

```
1 other. Both parties have agreed to this, that if -- not that
```

- 2 he's entitled to it, but this would be the net wages that he
- 3 lost. And that's admitted in evidence.
- 4 And you can read out the figures if you'd like.
- 5 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, would it be possible to take a
- 6 | short break and let the witness get a drink of water?
- 7 THE COURT: Do we have some available here? We'll pass
- 8 some over.
- 9 MR. STEELE: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 10 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 11 All right. Why don't you just read the bottom line
- 12 | that you -- both sides have agreed to on this.
- 13 MR. STEELE: Certainly, Your Honor. The stipulation of
- 14 | the parties as to the amount of -- net amount of lost wages and
- 15 out-of-pocket expenses in this case is \$3,756.88 and appears as
- 16 the last line in Exhibit #28.
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- 18 Q. (Mr. Steele, continuing:) Now, Mr. Davis, I'm going to
- 19 change the subject for a minute here and want to talk about the
- 20 | financial impact that the loss of employment had on you. And
- 21 the Judge has just informed you that the parties have
- 22 | stipulated, as you were aware, that your net lost wages was
- 23 \$3,756.56. You understand that, correct?
- 24 A. Sir?
- 25 Q. Your lost wages -- your net lost wages as a result of losing

- 1 your job with the fire department in Phenix City is represented
- 2 | in Exhibit #28 and has been stipulated to by the parties as
- 3 \$3,756.56. And you're aware of that, Mr. Davis?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Now, you were fired nearly two years ago. Would you please
- 6 explain to the jury why it is that your damages for lost wages
- 7 and benefits are just 3756 and 56 cents?
- 8 A. Because I work all the time.
- 9 Q. And what do you mean by that? Explain to the jury where
- 10 you've worked since you were terminated, when, how long. Just
- 11 explain to them what you've been doing to earn money since you
- 12 | were terminated.
- 13 A. Well, after I got fired -- you know, I'm like anybody else.
- 14 | I've got a family and a mortgage, so I had -- I mean I had to do
- 15 something. I went to work for an ambulance service. Being a
- 16 paramedic, I got me a job. It paid more an hour, but the hours
- 17 | were less, but it's all I could get. So I worked there as much
- 18 as they would allow me to work until I got me a job back in the
- 19 fire department here in the city of Opelika. And, you know, of
- 20 course, I don't make as much on the city of Opelika fire
- 21 department as I did at Phenix City. And I just work there and
- 22 then I get off and then I work on an ambulance. So I do 24
- 23 | hours, you know, in Opelika, then I do 24 hours on the
- 24 ambulance, and then a day's break in between. And I just --
- 25 | it's 106 hours a week I work that way, and I've just been doing

- 1 | it ever since. I mean it just helps me. I don't want to not be
- 2 doing something, you know, because it weighs on my mind about
- 3 what happened.
- 4 Q. Mr. Davis, when did you begin your employment with Opelika?
- 5 A. I think I started the 1st of March of '07 with Opelika.
- 6 Q. And now with those two jobs, did any one of those separately
- 7 pay you as much as you were earning when you worked at the fire
- 8 department in Phenix City?
- 9 A. No, sir, not at the time.
- 10 Q. Is that why you've got both jobs?
- 11 A. Well, that, and I just feel like I have to compensate, you
- 12 know, for my loss. I mean it just makes me feel, you know,
- 13 better because I feel less of a man, you know, than I did at the
- 14 one job I had at the fire department.
- 15 Q. How has working -- you said an average of 106 hours a week.
- 16 How has that affected you?
- 17 A. It's put a strain on my marriage. I mean anybody that works
- 18 that many hours, you know, and sees some of the things that we
- 19 see, I mean it's going to have an impact. I mean that's why the
- 20 | fire department lets you work one day on and give you two off,
- 21 | so, you know, you can let it all go. But I just go from one to
- 22 | the other.
- 23 Q. Do you think it's having an effect on you physically?
- 24 A. Oh, I'm sure it has. I've lost -- I mean I've lost weight.
- 25 | I had to go to the doctor. I got an ulcer. I was treated for

```
1 an ulcer and depression.
```

- 2 Q. Mr. Davis, I just have one last question for you at this
- 3 time. Would you please tell the jury why you brought this suit?
- 4 A. Well, because I felt like I didn't do anything wrong, not to
- 5 lose my eight-year career. I mean the mayor told me to call
- 6 him; I did. Obviously, it ain't about the money, because I
- 7 didn't lose that much because I've been working. Plus, I mean
- 8 anybody in this country ought to be able to call an elected
- 9 representative on their day off. I can understand, you know, if
- 10 | I was on duty; but I was off, you know, on my time, out of
- 11 uniform and in my house.
- 12 Q. What do you hope to achieve by bringing this suit?
- 13 A. Number one, I just want my life back, to go back to work in
- 14 | the fire department where I want to finish my career. I just
- 15 | want to feel whole again. And that's just the only way it's
- 16 | going to be.
- 17 MR. STEELE: No further questions at this time, Your
- 18 Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. McKoon?
- 20 MR. MCKOON: Your Honor, if I would, may I approach the
- 21 | witness and give him a copy of his deposition?
- 22 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 23 MR. MCKOON: Here you go, Mr. Davis. You might need to
- 24 refer to that.

25

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. MCKOON:

1

- 3 Q. Mr. Davis, is it true that you have a master's degree?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And when did you achieve your master's degree?
- 6 A. I graduated in I think May of 2006.
- 7 Q. And what -- what do you have a master's degree in? What
- 8 | subject or topic?
- 9 A. It's a master of science.
- 10 Q. In what? Is it in anything else?
- 11 A. Occupational safety and health.
- 12 Q. And so as far as educational level, you've gone as far as a
- 13 | master's degree; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, so far.
- 15 Q. Now, when you left the Phenix City Fire Department, you were
- 16 testifying a minute ago you were making less money. Actually,
- 17 on an hourly rate, you took a job making more money, didn't you?
- 18 A. Hourly, I did make more, yes.
- 19 Q. And what was the rate you were making with the Phenix City
- 20 | Fire Department?
- 21 A. I think it was 11.90 an hour when I got fired.
- 22 Q. And what were you making when you signed on with Care
- 23 | Ambulance?
- 24 A. 12.50 an hour.
- 25 Q. And you said something about working 106 hours a week. Tell

- 1 | the jury how you work currently.
- 2 A. I work one day at the fire department in Opelika.
- 3 O. Now, wait a minute. Is that a 24-hour shift?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Do you sleep any on that shift?
- 6 A. Sometimes in between calls.
- 7 Q. Well, I mean don't they give you time to sleep on a 24-hour
- 8 | shift?
- 9 A. They provide sleeping arrangements. And if you don't have a
- 10 call, then you can sleep at nighttime.
- 11 Q. And so included in that shift is an eight-hour rest period,
- 12 | is it not?
- 13 | A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Not -- it's not.
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. What about the Care Ambulance? Are you provided any
- 17 | sleeping quarters there?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you sleep some on that shift also?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. And what is your position currently with Care Ambulance?
- 22 A. Shift supervisor.
- 23 Q. Shift supervisor? So do you go out on ambulances currently?
- 24 A. No, sir. I have a quick response unit.
- 25 Q. How is that different from what an ambulance would do?

- 1 A. An ambulance has got two people on it and they transport
- 2 patients. And then I have the same equipment they have, but
- 3 | it's just a pickup truck with just me.
- 4 Q. And what do you do in that truck?
- 5 A. I go to calls and treat patients.
- 6 Q. As a supervisor for Care Ambulance, have you had the
- 7 experience of having to write people up when they don't perform
- 8 | their job like they're supposed to?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 0. And have you done that?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Getting back to the Phenix City Fire Department for a
- 13 minute, or, for that matter, the fire department that you work
- 14 | for now, the Opelika Fire Department, is there a -- first of
- 15 | all, is that a paramilitary organization?
- 16 A. Which one?
- 17 Q. The Phenix City Fire Department.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What about the Opelika Fire Department?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. In fact, every fire department is a paramilitary
- 22 organization, isn't it?
- 23 A. I can only speak for the two that I've worked for.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what does that mean, a paramilitary organization?
- 25 A. That means they have a rank structure.

- 1 Q. And what's the significance of that?
- 2 A. To establish a chain of command.
- 3 Q. And why is that?
- 4 A. Because in emergencies, you have to have somebody in charge
- 5 giving the orders.
- 6 Q. And is a chain of command important in a paramilitary
- 7 organization?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And is that true whether you're on the fire ground or off
- 10 | the fire ground?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. I mean have you ever heard the phrase if you won't follow
- 13 the chain of command off the fire ground, you might not follow
- 14 | it when you're on the fire ground, and that's why it's so
- 15 | important?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. You've never heard that before?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. You do agree, though, that you knew about this ASOP -- I'll
- 20 | put it back up again -- at any time you need a break, Mr. Davis,
- 21 | just let me know, okay?
- 22 A. I'll make it.
- 23 Q. This ASOP that is -- I believe it's Plaintiff's Exhibit #5.
- 24 Let me make sure I'm correct. That is correct. When you -- let
- 25 | me stop just a minute and say -- do you need some water or

- 1 | something?
- 2 A. I'm okay.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you remember giving your deposition in this case?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And that's when you appeared at the city hall or the city
- 6 | council up there where we have the work sessions and you had
- 7 | your lawyer with you; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. All right. And there was a court reporter sitting there
- 10 | just like this lady was --
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. -- taking down what you say?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. And you swore to tell the truth; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And I asked you questions at that time. Do you remember
- 17 | that?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And I'm sure you've looked at your deposition before today.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. All I want to ask you is, is it true that you said on your
- 22 deposition that you knew this -- that you knew about this rule
- 23 before you ever went to city council or went to the mayor about
- 24 your problem or about this probationary problem you talked
- 25 | about?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. So I mean you knew what the rule was --
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. -- is that right? And you knew what it said.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. For instance, down here on number three, it says, if a
- 7 problem cannot be solved by anyone in the chain of command, then
- 8 | the city manager will arrange a hearing with city council. You
- 9 knew about that, too, didn't you?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Now, I'm trying to understand your claim in this case. And
- 12 | I think it is that what you're saying -- and correct me if I'm
- 13 wrong -- that because you were on your off time and acting as
- 14 | the union president and because this proposal had no application
- 15 | to you, that you didn't have to follow this policy. Am I
- 16 | stating your position correctly?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. All right. And in addition to that, you said -- and besides
- 19 | that, my captain told me it was okay. Is that right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Did George Bennett tell you that?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Okay. And he told you that on -- on Sunday before you made
- 24 | the call on Monday.
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Is that right? Now, let's get that straight. April the
- 2 | 16th was a Sunday. Am I correct?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And you were working 7:30 in the morning till 7:30 Monday
- 5 morning, is that right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. So sometime during the shift, you picked up the Columbus
- 8 newspaper and learned about this proposal. Is that your
- 9 | testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. All right. And you read about it in the paper yourself; is
- 12 | that right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And then after you read about it in the paper yourself, you
- 15 | waited till the next day, and then you started calling union
- 16 members. Am I right about that?
- 17 A. Well, I called the city clerk's office first.
- 18 Q. Yeah, that's right. You called the city clerk's office, and
- 19 | the city clerk explained to you in detail what the proposal was.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And is that when you learned that the proposal did not apply
- 22 to you?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And so you knew that the proposal did not apply to you
- 25 | before you ever called the mayor.

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And there was no confusion about that --
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. -- in your mind; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. All right. Now, in that regard, once you were terminated,
- 7 there was in fact a personnel review board hearing, was there
- 8 | not?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And you asked for the city, if they would -- in fact, the
- 11 | way those hearings are done, they have to be done within a
- 12 | certain period of days once an employee makes a request; is that
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And you wanted to make sure that they didn't set it up too
- 16 | soon for you, so you said I want it after March the 12th,
- 17 because I'm going to be out of town.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you remember why you were out of town?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Why was that?
- 22 A. I had to go to some training.
- 23 Q. And what was the training for?
- 24 A. My paramedic certification.
- 25 Q. So it was something unrelated to the fire department.

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. Well, was it something you were doing on your own?
- 3 A. I mean yeah, out of my pocket.
- 4 Q. That would be on your own, wouldn't it? Am I right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Now, after you called the city clerk, she made it clear to
- 7 you what was going on. Then the next thing you did was what?
- 8 A. I called some other firefighters.
- 9 Q. And when I took your deposition, is it right that you told
- 10 me you couldn't remember the names of any of the ones you called
- 11 | except Bill Pitts?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Has your memory gotten any better since your deposition?
- 14 A. No, sir. I don't remember who all I called.
- 15 Q. So you still can't, to this day, tell this jury the name of
- 16 one single person you called before you called the mayor --
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. -- other than Bill Pitts?
- 19 A. No, sir. I don't remember who all I called.
- 20 Q. Do you remember what any of them said to you?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And what was that?
- 23 A. That they were opposed to lengthening the probation.
- 24 Q. Do you know who said that?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. Okay. Do you know if Bill Pitts said that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. So Bill Pitts told you he was opposed to it --
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. -- the lengthening of the probationary period; is that
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 |Q. You're sure about that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. Quite sure.
- 10 Q. Now, when you had the discussion with the -- with the mayor,
- 11 then, all your confusion about who this applied to and what was
- 12 going on had been cleared up by the city clerk. So you were
- 13 about to talk to the mayor about all this, and you called and
- 14 | left word for him to call you back; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Now, when the mayor told you, whenever he told you, that he
- 17 had some sort of open-door policy, did he ever tell you that you
- 18 | could violate your chain of command?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. Did he ever tell you that you could disregard your standard
- 21 operating procedures in the fire department?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. And don't you, especially as union president, pride yourself
- 24 on knowing all the merit system rules and regulations and all
- 25 | the SOPs of the department?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. You don't?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. Did you know them?
- 5 A. There's no way I could know all of them.
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, you knew about this one, didn't you, this ASOP
- 7 | 12?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. I had seen it before.
- 9 Q. All right. I want to back up just a minute before we get
- 10 back to the mayor, and I want to talk about this conversation or
- 11 this meeting with the newspaper. I thought I heard you to say a
- 12 moment ago when Mr. Steele was asking you some questions that
- 13 after this incident with the newspaper, you said -- I thought I
- 14 heard you say, we didn't invite any more reporters to the
- 15 meeting. Do you remember saying that?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. All right. Well, did you invite this reporter to the
- 18 | meeting in the first place?
- 19 A. Not really.
- 20 Q. Well, how was it -- I mean was he just walking along the
- 21 street while y'all were having a union meeting and decide to pop
- 22 | in? I mean how was it that he got to your union meeting?
- 23 A. We allowed him to come.
- 24 Q. Well, but I mean how did he know that y'all were having a
- 25 union meeting or that y'all were disgruntled? Did he ever tell

- 1 you that?
- 2 A. He called me.
- 3 Q. He called you.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | O. And then you invited him?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Is that right?
- 8 A. Well, I allowed him and the other members allowed him to sit
- 9 | in.
- 10 |Q. So you wanted him to come to the meeting, didn't you?
- 11 A. I wouldn't say I wanted him to.
- 12 Q. Well, when he got there, you gave statements to him, didn't
- 13 | you?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And you had your picture taken; is that right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. So that it could be in the newspaper; is that right?
- 18 A. Not necessarily. I didn't know if it was going to be in the
- 19 paper or not.
- 20 Q. Mr. Davis, do you expect people to believe that you invited
- 21 | a newspaper to a Mexican restaurant where you were having a
- 22 union meeting and that a photographer from the paper took your
- 23 | picture and you didn't expect it to be in the paper? Is that
- 24 | what you're telling this jury?
- 25 A. That's affirmative.

- 1 Q. So you are telling them that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 |Q. Okay. And then once the -- once the -- you began to give
- 4 | all these statements -- have you read this article, this -- let
- 5 me see where it is in the plaintiff's book. Okay. I believe
- 6 | it's Plaintiff's Exhibit #7. Have you still got the book up
- 7 | there?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. I want you to turn over to the -- what would be the second
- 10 page there of Plaintiff's Exhibit #7. Down about halfway on the
- 11 | first thing, it says, during the interview, firefighters laid
- 12 out a litany of complaints including disparity in treatment of
- 13 union and nonunion personnel, intimidation, coercion, derogatory
- 14 | comments, threats and harassment of union members, and
- 15 micromanagement. Then the next sentence is, they were vague
- 16 about specific incidents but focused on the general nature of
- 17 | their complaints. What was your complaint?
- 18 A. I had a list. There was a list of issues.
- 19 Q. Do you know what happened to the list?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Do you remember the list, what was on it?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Well, did you ever take your list of complaints to anybody
- 24 | in your chain of command before holding a meeting at a Mexican
- 25 restaurant, inviting the press, and having your picture taken to

- 1 go in the paper?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. And who was that?
- 4 A. I had a meeting with the mayor at one time and the city
- 5 manager.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any -- they're not in your chain --
- 7 city manager is in your chain of command, isn't he?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. He's the city manager.
- 9 Q. Right. He's at the top of the chain of command, isn't he?
- 10 A. Well, him and the mayor.
- 11 Q. Well, the mayor is not in your chain of command, is he?
- 12 A. I mean he's the mayor of the town.
- 13 Q. All right. Do you not understanding how the government
- 14 | works in Phenix City?
- 15 A. Well, I mean the mayor is over the city council and he's
- 16 over the city manager, and then the city manager is over the
- 17 day-to-day operations of the city.
- 18 Q. Where do you live?
- 19 A. I live in Phenix City.
- 20 Q. What part of Phenix City?
- 21 A. Lee County, Phenix City.
- 22 Q. So you live in Phenix City, Alabama?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Within the corporate limits of the city?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. So you don't live in Phenix City. You live at a Phenix City
- 2 | address that's in Lee County.
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Is that right? All right. Moving along here about this --
- 5 and I believe you testified earlier that you felt like that by
- 6 airing these problems in the newspaper, that it would help
- 7 | things in the department. Is that what you said?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Is that what you believed?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. All right. So you believed that by going -- with problems
- 12 | within your department, within your fire department, not talking
- 13 to the chief about them or your assistant chief about them or
- 14 anybody there that might could solve the problem, that it is a
- 15 better thing to do to go to the newspaper with them and then
- 16 | maybe the problem will get solved; is that correct?
- 17 A. What was your question?
- 18 Q. I'll tell you what. I won't repeat the question. Let me
- 19 ask you something. Do you think by going to the newspaper you
- 20 | were creating a less disruptive environment in the fire
- 21 | department?
- 22 MR. STEELE: Objection. Relevancy to the disruptive
- 23 environment allegedly caused by the newspaper article.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as to the form
- 25 of the question, also as to whether he believed he was creating

- 1 | a less of a disruptive environment.
- 2 MR. MCKOON: All right. Let me reask it.
- 3 O. As I understand it, the reason you went to the newspaper is
- 4 because you felt like you had a whole bunch of problems; is that
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And you wanted to solve the problems.
- 8 A. Yes, sir. I wanted to bring some issues to the public.
- 9 Q. All right. And did you feel like the best way to solve the
- 10 problems would be to go to the newspaper as opposed to go
- 11 | through your chain of command and see if they could be solved?
- 12 A. Those issues were already brought before the city manager.
- 13 Q. Okay. And that's your answer?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. All right. Now, you said something in the paper about you
- 16 | were reluctant to talk. Do you remember saying that?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Well, you weren't reluctant to talk to the newspaper, were
- 19 | you?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. You invited them to your meeting. That doesn't sound like
- 22 | you being reluctant to talk about it, does it?
- 23 A. No, sir. I didn't invite him to the meeting.
- 24 Q. You didn't say that just a minute ago?
- 25 A. No, I didn't say that. I said I allowed him.

- 1 Q. What you said was he called you; and I said, so did you
- 2 | invite him to come? And you didn't say yes, I did?
- 3 | A. No. We allowed him to come.
- 4 Q. You allowed him to come. All right. Well, let me just do
- 5 it this way, then. This whole meeting with the newspaper
- 6 business, wasn't it done for the sole purpose of causing
- 7 problems within the department?
- 8 A. Absolutely not.
- 9 Q. Do you like Chief Hunter?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. I supported him.
- 11 Q. You did? You supported him?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Did you feel like by going to the newspaper with problems
- 14 rather than talking to him about them that you were being
- 15 | supportive?
- 16 A. I didn't feel like I was unsupportive.
- 17 Q. All right. So you don't think that -- you thought you were
- 18 helping Chief Hunter, then.
- 19 A. I don't think it had anything to do with Chief Hunter.
- 20 Q. It had nothing to do with Chief Hunter?
- 21 A. I didn't speak about Chief Hunter to the newspaper.
- 22 Q. Well, who was the chief of the fire department at this time?
- 23 A. It might have been Chief Hunter. I don't know if he was the
- 24 | fire chief or interim chief.
- 25 Q. Isn't he the person who's supposed to be running the

- 1 department?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. He's the department head.
- 3 O. Well, so you're telling this jury, then, that you don't go
- 4 to a department head first. You go to the newspaper first or
- 5 you go to the city manager first or you go to the mayor first;
- 6 | is that right?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 MR. STEELE: Objection to the form of the question.
- 9 MR. MCKOON: I believe it's --
- 10 MR. STEELE: It unfairly characterized the testimony.
- 11 THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
- 12 Q. Well, let me -- is it a fact that instead of going to the
- 13 | chief of your department, you went to the city manager, you
- 14 | said; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And you went to the newspaper; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And then later you went to the mayor; is that correct?
- 19 A. Not about these issues.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, about another issue.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is that correct? So does Mr. Hunter over here, the chief of
- 23 | the fire department, does he have to open up a newspaper to get
- 24 | you to talk to him?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. Well, then why can't you just go to him?
- 2 A. I had went to him.
- $3 \mid Q$ . When?
- 4 A. When I've had issues.
- 5 Q. When -- what issue, Mr. Davis?
- 6 A. Department issues.
- 7 Q. Name it. What is it?
- 8 A. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. You don't remember. Okay. Well, do you remember how he
- 10 resolved it?
- 11 | A. Sir?
- 12 Q. Do you remember how he resolved it?
- 13 A. Apparently it must have been successful. I didn't have to
- 14 go any further.
- 15 Q. All right. Well, do you go to him sometimes and just ignore
- 16 him on other occasions?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Do you see where that would create chaos within a department
- 19 when you have people just going to the newspaper on one thing
- 20 and going to the city manager on another and going to the mayor
- 21 on another and never going to their department head?
- 22 MR. STEELE: Objection on two grounds, Your Honor.
- 23 One, there's no evidence of chaos in the fire department.
- 24 | Second, that's not an issue that's before the Court or the jury
- 25 | in this case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand your last
basis of objection. It's your contention that there is no issue
in this case as to whether the actions were disruptive or had
the possibility of disruption?
         MR. STEELE: No, Your Honor. I'm saying there's no
issue in this case of whether the newspaper article created
disruption or possible disruption. Mr. Davis was terminated for
calling the mayor.
         THE COURT:
                    I understand. But you've brought this
meeting -- this newspaper meeting into it as leading up to
that. That's part of the whole picture. Is it your contention
that the disruption or possibility of disruption of the total
picture is not an issue?
         MR. STEELE: It is my contention that any alleged
disruption caused by the newspaper article is not a relevant
issue to any issue before the jury or the Court.
         THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to tell you that if
you contend that it's an issue as to whether the final act was
disruptive or had the possibility of disruption, I'm going to
rule that that same thing applies to anything leading up to it,
so --
         MR. STEELE: Well, Your Honor, we have not argued and
are not arguing that he was terminated for the newspaper
article.
         THE COURT:
                     I understand.
```

```
1
             MR. STEELE: It's our contention that he was terminated
 2
    for speaking to the mayor.
 3
             MR. MCKOON: Your Honor, may we approach?
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Be at ease just a minute,
 5
    ladies and gentlemen. We're going to be over here at sidebar.
 6
        (Bench conference, as follows:)
 7
             THE COURT: Let me say this before we get into any of
           I have a serious doubt as to whether there's any issue
 8
    this.
 9
    before this jury that has to do with disruption or the
10
    possibility of disruption. But I'm not going to pick and choose
11
    as to whether you have that issue over the final act or whether
12
    we can say things that are leading up to it. If it's an issue
13
    in some way, we'll hear the evidence on all of it.
14
             Now, what do you say, Mr. McKoon?
15
             MR. MCKOON: What I was going to say is when we were
16
    back in conference, you know, the plaintiffs are the ones that
17
    wanted to bring in this issue of the newspaper article.
18
    said specifically we don't want you talking about anything on
19
    that written warning form but -- except just that he got fired
20
    by the mayor and this article. And I've stuck to that, although
21
    he keeps contending over and over again that the only reason he
    was fired was for this phone call. And he says that's what they
22
23
    told him. And I've got a document there that he signed that
24
    doesn't say that.
25
             MR. STEELE: Well --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. MCKOON: And I'm not going to get into it because
that's the Court's order. But I'm just saying, you know, if
we're going to have some parameters to go by, we need to stay in
those parameters.
        MR. STEELE: Well, I can --
         THE COURT: Let me -- let's let the jury --
    (Bench conference concluded)
         THE COURT: There's no point in y'all sitting there
while we're talking. This may take just a few minutes. I'll
let you go out into the jury room, and we'll be back with you in
just a moment. You're excused until we call you back.
    (Jury out at 5:02 p.m.)
         THE COURT: All right. Mr. Steele, you were getting
ready to speak when we let the jury go out. Go ahead.
         MR. MCKOON: Go ahead. It's not nothing you haven't
seen before.
         MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor. It is our contention,
and as it was mentioned in the earlier conference in chambers,
that the newspaper article and the city's reaction to the
newspaper article and the punishment that they called counseling
that they gave to the newspaper article is relevant to the prior
restraint argument that's before the Court. And that's exactly
what I said when we were in your chambers earlier. I have never
contended that the newspaper article is the reason that he was
fired or that we're presenting it to the jury to justify or to
```

explain why he was fired. He was fired because he called the mayor; but without bringing out the information on the newspaper article, we can't make the argument to you that the policy forbidding -- as we believe it is, forbidding firefighters from going to the media without approval constitutes an unlawful prior restraint.

And if the Court believes -- and we would respectfully disagree with this -- but if the Court believes that the issue of whether there was disruption relating to the newspaper article is relevant to the Court's determination on our prior restraint claim, then that would be testimony that should only be presented outside the presence of the jury and to Your Honor. We don't believe that that evidence is relevant to prior restraint; but if the Court disagrees with us on that, that evidence can be presented outside of the jury. It's not relevant to the evidence and issues before the jury and before the Court.

And the issues before the Court include, quite specifically, under *Pickering* and under the cases that we've cited in our trial brief and in our earlier briefs, the issue of whether that -- the speech that you found, Your Honor, was made as a citizen on a matter of public concern -- whether that speech caused disruption or was likely to cause disruption to such an extent that it outweighs Mr. Davis's right to free speech. That is where disruption is an issue. It's an issue

under Pickering with respect to his speech; but Pickering, if 1 2 you read the cases -- and I know you have -- is very specific in 3 that you look at whether the disruption was caused by the 4 protected activity. And the protected activity that we're suing 5 on except for -- except for the prior restraint is contacting 6 the mayor. And that is why all this talk of disruption is not 7 8 relevant to any of the issues before the jury. I think it's 9 potentially prejudicial to the issues before the jury and 10 certainly will lengthen this case into areas that we had hoped 11 we wouldn't be going after dismissing the second cause of 12 action. But Mr. McKoon seems to want to go there anyway. 13 we don't believe it's part of the case, certainly not part of 14 the case that's before the jury. 15 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to say two things. 16 As far as disruption is concerned, I think that that is a part 17 of Pickering balancing. It will go to me. I don't think it's a 18 factual issue that's going to be going to the jury on anything, 19 on whether the phone call was disruptive or had the potential of 20 disruption or whether meeting with the newspaper person was 21 disruptive or had the potential for disruption either way. I'm going to sustain an objection to getting into disruption, 22 23 but I'm going to also do it as far as the telephone call is 24 concerned.

Now, I want to -- I think there may be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

misunderstanding as to what I've ruled as far as how restricted the defendants' attorney is going to be allowed to go on the other things besides just the call. This case has been presented to the jury in opening statement by you, Mr. Steele, that he was fired just because he made one little phone call to the mayor. Merely talking to reporters on their own time was referred to. MR. STEELE: Respect --THE COURT: Terminated after eight years because he spoke to the mayor. Ended simply because of the call, what he had a right to do. The plaintiff, in talking about emotional damages, has emotionally said that he made just a five-minute phone call and lost it all and that he's upset because of that. I'm not going to restrict the plaintiff -- the defendant, rather, from going into those items that are listed on that sheet. He's been told -- the plaintiff has been told that they said this is why you were fired. Now, the position of the defendant is that, yes, certainly nothing would have happened if the phone call hadn't been made. But I'm not going to allow this case to go -- to go to the jury with them thinking that that's absolutely all that happened, that he had a clean record, and that it was one little five-minute phone call that resulted in the discipline. I'm going to allow the decision makers to testify what was on that sheet that they told him was the reason; and that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

included these other items in his history, not that they can go into the details of it, not that they can call independent witnesses to testify as to the facts of that, but that that was within the knowledge of the decision makers. And, yes, as long as they don't dodge the fact that he was terminated because of the telephone call, I'm going to allow them to say that that wouldn't have been the discipline if it hadn't have been for his prior history, that he would have been disciplined in another manner than terminating him. In light of his testimony and the way this case is being presented, I think that's only fair, and I'm going to let them do that. I'm not going to let them go into what the details were of these things or call independent witnesses on them unless the door is further opened. And that's my ruling. Does everybody understand it? MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir. MR. STEELE: Your Honor, I should -- on the disruption issue, I'm not sure that I do understand the scope of your I understand the scope of your ruling with respect to the reasons for termination. If you could, the scope of the ruling with respect to the newspaper article, which, as I've discussed, relates to the prior restraint claim, I still did not, respectfully, understand how that is relevant. Even if there was disruption -- we don't agree that there was -- but if there was disruption from a newspaper article, that that has any relevancy to whether he was terminated for calling the mayor,

1 whether his call to the mayor was disruptive or potentially disruptive, or whether he would have received some lesser 2 3 penalty had -- if they didn't have the other things listed on 4 the sheet. There's just no issue before the Court on that, 5 respectfully, sir. That issue isn't before the Court. And in 6 your recitation --7 Wait a minute. Wait a minute. THE COURT: 8 that you say is not before the Court is disruption by the 9 meeting with the newspaper man; is that right? 10 MR. STEELE: Correct. 11 THE COURT: I agree. And I sustained the objection to 12 testimony concerning disruption of that. I'm telling you that I 13 will also sustain an objection if one is made to testimony 14 concerning disruption or lack of disruption of the telephone 15 call. 16 MR. STEELE: Well, with respect to that ruling, I guess 17 we would make sure that our objection is stated on the record. We'd like to revisit that at the time. And we would ask, in 18 addition, Your Honor, that we be allowed -- if we're not allowed 19 20 to present that information in front of the jury, that we be 21 allowed to present that information in front of Your Honor, who 22 will be making the determination on that balancing. At a 23 minimum, we request the right to put on witnesses and ask them 24 questions to create a record outside of the jury, because the 25 witnesses will tell you, Your Honor, that between the 16th --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
excuse me -- the 17th of April when he made the call and the
21st when they fired him, there wasn't any disruption at the
station. And I believe we have a right to present that --
         THE COURT: I understand --
        MR. STEELE: -- because that's the claim that we're
suing on.
         THE COURT:
                    I understand your position. And at an
appropriate time when it won't hold the jury up, when the jury's
not here, I'll let you make a tender. And you don't have to
call any witnesses for it unless the defendant doesn't accept
the tender, but I'll let you put in the record what you say you
would have proved.
         MR. STEELE: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: All right. Anything further? Yes.
         MR. MCKOON: Judge, I have two things. One, I think --
I don't know what the Court wants to do about time; but I'm
going to be a while, is one thing I wanted to tell the Court.
And the second thing is I intend to use in my cross-examination
some excerpts from his -- some tape-recorded excerpts from his
personnel review board hearing where his people were there and
all. And I have that stuff here, and it's going to take a
minute to set that up. So I just wanted to let the Court be
aware.
         THE COURT: All right. As far as time is concerned, we
checked the weather report when we had the break. And the
```

1 latest report that we've gotten from the weather station is 2 there are still reports of dangerous -- possibly dangerous 3 storms tomorrow morning through around eleven o'clock or twelve 4 o'clock -- twelve o'clock, I think -- here and then rain after 5 that, but nothing that appears to be dangerous. So it's my 6 feeling that we go on a while longer, probably till around six, 7 no later than six, but stop at a convenient stopping point before six and then start back tomorrow afternoon at two 8 9 o'clock. 10 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, apologizing again if I'm wrong 11 about this. I'm not aware of receiving as part of the discovery 12 these tape recordings. It may have happened, and I'd like to 13 have an opportunity to confirm that it happened. I'm not aware of that being presented. And if I'm wrong, I apologize on 14 15 that. But I'd like an opportunity to find out the answer to 16 that before tape recordings are played. And if it's going to be 17 a while and he's continuing with Mr. Davis in the morning, I 18 would request if we could please reserve that portion of the examination until morning. 19 20 THE COURT: Mr. McKoon? 21 MR. MCKOON: They were produced. There's a response to 22 a request for production of documents. It actually was produced 23 in CD form. Also, they're -- Mr. Polisuk from their office was 24 at the personnel review board hearing. At the end of the 25 hearing, he requested them. So they were produced twice,

```
1
   actually. The personnel review people sent them to them; and
 2
    then when they were asked for in this lawsuit, they were
 3
   produced again there.
 4
             THE COURT: Do you have anything that you could pull
 5
   quickly to show Mr. Steele --
 6
            MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir.
 7
             THE COURT: -- that they were produced? I understand,
 8
   Mr. Steele, you just say you don't remember.
 9
             MR. STEELE: Right. I haven't seen them personally.
   I'm not the only one that's been working on the case. I'm not
10
11
   asserting that position.
12
             THE COURT: I understand.
13
             MR. STEELE: Your Honor, if we have a few minutes,
   maybe the easiest way to resolve this is for me to make a
14
15
    telephone call that may very well confirm what Mr. McKoon just
16
    said that he said to Mr. Polisuk, and we won't have an issue.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Let me ask first. Mr. McKoon?
            MR. MCKOON: Yes, sir.
18
19
             THE COURT: Do you expect to need to get into this
20
   before six o'clock?
21
            MR. MCKOON: I would think that I would. I mean I
22
    can -- I can ask a few more questions.
23
             THE COURT: Well, why don't -- have you got your cell
24
   phone up here?
25
            MR. STEELE: No. Mr. Brown has a cell phone that I'm
```

```
1
    sure I can borrow.
 2
             THE COURT: Go ahead and step over somewhere and make
 3
    the call.
 4
             MR. STEELE: Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right. Court will be in recess for a
 5
 6
    few minutes.
 7
        (Recess at 5:16 p.m. until 5:25 p.m., at which time
 8
         proceedings reconvened without the jury present, as
         follows:)
 9
             THE CLERK: Court is in session. You may be seated.
10
11
             THE COURT: All right. Before we call the jury in,
12
    where are we on that?
13
             MR. STEELE: Your Honor, I was unable to reach
14
    Mr. Polisuk, but I'm going to accept Mr. McKoon's
15
    representations and not hold things up.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury in.
17
             MR. MCKOON: We can go ahead, then.
18
        (Jury in at 5:26 p.m.)
19
             THE COURT: Be seated. We had to get an answer on
20
    something from somebody that was not readily available.
21
    a little while. So I think you've been advised that we're going
22
    to go until not past six. But the weather report -- the latest
23
    weather reports still do show the possibility of dangerous
24
    weather over here in this part of the state up until around
25
    noon, blowing through by then, still some rain, but nothing
```

- 1 dangerous is the latest we're getting. So when we break in just
- 2 | a little while, we're going to break until two o'clock tomorrow
- 3 afternoon. From all reports, it may still be raining some then,
- 4 but there shouldn't be any kind of dangerous situation.
- 5 All right. Mr. McKoon, go ahead.
- 6 Q. All right. Let's move on to something else, Mr. Davis. And
- 7 this is the last time I'll ask you about this, but I just want
- 8 to be clear. When you first get into the department, they give
- 9 you the standard operating procedures, don't they?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And just as a new firefighter, you're expected to review
- 12 | them and know them?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Is that right? And if at any time you have a question about
- 15 standard operating procedures, do you have them there at the
- 16 | station to refer to?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Same thing with the merit system rules and procedures?
- 19 A. It depends on what station you're at.
- 20 Q. What stations do they have them at?
- 21 A. When I was at station three, I had one readily available;
- 22 | but at station one, it was in the assistant chief's office.
- 23 Q. Okay. The bottom line is if you're not sure about
- 24 something, you can either ask somebody above you or you can go
- 25 look it up yourself --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- is that correct? Now, in this -- when this came up about
- 3 the probationary period, is it not true that the new hires,
- 4 people that would be hired newly, now have two more
- 5 certifications to get than when you were first hired?
- 6 A. I'm unaware of if they have to or not.
- 7 0. You don't know about that?
- 8 A. No, sir. I haven't been through training in eight years.
- 9 Q. Well, and your opposition to it was what?
- 10 A. Our opposition to it was that we didn't want probationary
- 11 | time to be extended to 18 months.
- 12 Q. Okay. And why was that?
- 13 A. Because we didn't want it to affect our recruiting or
- 14 staffing issues.
- 15 | O. Now, does that affect you in any way?
- 16 A. Not personally.
- 17 Q. I believe you said in your deposition that if you couldn't
- 18 recruit people, you'd be shorthanded, and that might affect you,
- 19 | something to that effect. Do you remember that?
- 20 A. Well, staffing eventually would have an effect on me and
- 21 | every citizen in the city.
- 22 Q. But the reason you were calling the mayor wasn't because it
- 23 personally affected you.
- 24 A. That's correct. That condition of employment wouldn't
- 25 personally affect me.

- 1 Q. And when you talked to the mayor -- and I'd like for you to
- 2 go over to -- let's see where it is -- page 78 of your
- 3 deposition.
- 4 A. That's the book you gave me?
- 5 Q. Yes, sir. If you would, just go to page 78. And I had a
- 6 question there. And I want to get -- I want to ask you the
- 7 | question. I'd like for you to read your answer. Because after
- 8 you finish your answer, I have a question about it. On line 17,
- 9 I said: Okay. Take me through your conversation the best you
- 10 can recall.
- 11 I'm talking about your conversation with the mayor. And
- 12 | what was your answer?
- 13 A. Do you want me to read starting on 19?
- 14 Q. Yes, sir.
- 15 A. Answer: Well, I mean the best I can recall, he, you know,
- 16 | called -- well, he called. He was, you know, how are you doing
- 17 or whatever. We talked a little bit. And I told him, you know,
- 18 on behalf of the firefighters association that we were against,
- 19 you know, the proposal and that I told him before, you know,
- 20 | that they had voted in our favor, but we asked them not to mess
- 21 | with probation and that we were asking again.
- 22 And then he asked why. And so I went on to explain to him
- 23 | about how the firefighters association felt we had a high
- 24 turnover rate and had a hard time recruiting, maintaining
- 25 people, and that it affected staffing; and that we felt this

- 1 | would be negative on, you know, trying to recruit employees
- 2 because our health insurance costs were so high; and that people
- 3 | weren't allowed to work part-time jobs when they were on
- 4 probation and that in Columbus they were allowed to; and that so
- 5 anybody that we try to hire maybe go over there for a better
- 6 deal, and that we were just against that. And I think I even
- 7 told him that we were not so much against extending probationary
- 8 | time, but we'd like for people to be able to work part-time jobs
- 9 and still have their rights under the merit system.
- 10 Q. All right. Let me stop you there a minute. What you were
- 11 | saying there, as I understand, is you wanted people to be able
- 12 to be training as a firefighter and still have the right to work
- 13 | a part-time job.
- 14 A. No, sir, not in training.
- 15 Q. Okay. But when -- let me back up to line 12 there where it
- 16 | starts with I think I even told him that we're not so much
- 17 | against extending probationary time, but we'd like for people to
- 18 be able to work part-time jobs and still have rights under the
- 19 | merit system. What were you referring to?
- 20 A. I was referring to the -- when we were discussing maybe
- 21 | lengthening the time that they could get their EMT. And then
- 22 also, that once they finish their training, you know, if they
- 23 | finish their training in four months, then all that other time
- 24 | they would just be on probation. And we wanted them to be able
- 25 to work a part-time job and have protection under the merit

- 1 system.
- 2 | Q. The question on line 17 was: And what did he say?
- 3 And just read that for them.
- 4 A. Number 17. Question: And what did he say?
- 5 0. Correct. Just read your answer.
- 6 A. Do you want me to read line 18?
- 7 O. Yes, sir. Your answer.
- 8 A. Answer: He said -- well, I think he told me, he said, well,
- 9 you know, the chief said -- I take that back. He didn't say the
- 10 chief. He said, I don't remember who proposed it. It was one
- 11 of the chiefs, the police chief or the fire chief. He said, but
- 12 they said it had something to do with training. And then I told
- 13 him that some of the proposals from the membership was that if
- 14 this was the case, you know, let the probation start when they
- 15 complete training or that extending the time for EMT. He said
- 16 | something about EMT; and I told him that, you know, instead of
- 17 | changing the probation, we'd be more happy -- you know, that we
- 18 | could successfully recruit people if we'd just extend the time
- 19 people are allowed to do the EMT. Well, it says EPMT.
- 20 Q. I'm going to finish this up real quick. I'm going to ask
- 21 | you one other question and get you to read that answer, and then
- 22 | I have some questions about this exchange.
- 23 Okay. How did the conversation end? Did he give you a
- 24 commitment he was going to do one thing or the other?
- 25 And what was your answer on line 8?

- 1 A. Line 8, A, answer: No. He said that he would bring that up
- 2 and that he appreciated me bringing some of the issues to his
- 3 attention and that, you know, if we ever need anything, feel
- 4 | free to let him know.
- 5 Q. All right. Does that pretty much summarize your
- 6 | conversation with the mayor?
- 7 A. To the best of my knowledge, it does.
- 8 Q. And at the time you were talking to him, you clearly
- 9 understood what the proposal was; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 |Q. You knew it was only for new hires?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you weren't confused about it.
- 14 A. I wasn't personally, no.
- 15 Q. Now, do you remember having a -- when we had the personnel
- 16 | review board hearing, I was not present, but Mr. Graham was. Do
- 17 you remember that hearing?
- 18 A. Somewhat, yes.
- 19 Q. And I believe it took place on May the 16th, 2006, which
- 20 | would have been within one month of you being terminated; is
- 21 | that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And at that time, you came before the personnel review
- 24 | board, and you gave testimony under oath. You were sworn in
- 25 | just like you were here today; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And you had a lawyer there with you; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And did you know that it was being tape-recorded?
- 5 A. I don't remember if I knew or not.
- 6 Q. That meeting.
- 7 A. I think I might -- yeah, I think I did, because I think they
- 8 had to change tapes out, so we had to stop.
- 9 MR. MCKOON: All right. At this time, Your Honor, I'd
- 10 like to play this excerpt from the personnel review board
- 11 hearing concerning his conversation with the mayor. It's very
- 12 | short. It will only take just a moment if the Court will give
- 13 me just a minute to set it up. I'll try to be as portable as I
- 14 can. I'm going to set these speakers up here. Hopefully,
- 15 | they'll work. May I set these up here, Your Honor?
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 MR. MCKOON: Let me put this in. I want to see if this
- 18 | might refresh your recollection on what you told the personnel
- 19 review board.
- 20 THE COURT: Now, I understand there's no -- no dispute
- 21 as to whether this is actually the tape of the meeting that was
- 22 | there; is that correct?
- 23 | MR. STEELE: Actually, we have not stipulated to that,
- 24 but the witness may be able to identify it.
- 25 THE COURT: All right.

```
(The hearing excerpt is played, as follows:)
 1
 2
             QUESTION (By Mr. Polisuk): Did the mayor return your
 3
   phone call?
 4
             ANSWER (By Mr. Davis): Yes, sir.
             QUESTION: How long, first of all, after you called did
 5
 6
    the mayor return your phone call, if you remember?
 7
             ANSWER: It might have been three or four hours later.
 8
             QUESTION: Okay. It was the same day?
 9
             ANSWER: Oh, it was the same day. Yes, sir.
10
             OUESTION: Was it -- do you remember if it was still
    during normal business hours?
11
12
             ANSWER: I believe so.
13
             QUESTION: Okay. And I hate to be really picky here,
14
   but what did the mayor say on the phone?
15
             ANSWER: He -- he called, you know, and I said hello.
16
   He said, David? I said, yes, sir. He said, can I help you, you
17
    know, or he said they told me you called. And I said, yes,
    sir. And I told him that, you know, that on behalf of the
18
    firefighters association, that we had noticed that there was a
19
20
   new proposal to change the merit system, the length of
21
   probation. And then I went on and had a conversation with him
    and expressed, you know, why we would be opposed to that.
22
23
             QUESTION: Okay. Well, let me -- let me cut you off.
24
    You said that you addressed him. I believe you said that you
25
    addressed the mayor and said that you're calling on behalf of
```

the firefighters. Do you remember specifically what you said or 1 2 roughly specifically, if that phrase makes sense? 3 ANSWER: Yeah. I mean he called. You know, he was, 4 like, hey, how are you doing? I said all right. I said, look, 5 I just want to call, you know, on behalf of the firefighters 6 association and, you know, give you our viewpoint on this 7 proposed change. And, you know, I told him that what we believe 8 the merit system Section 9 or whatever, with probation. 9 then I, you know, expressed to him our concerns. 10 OUESTION: And what did you tell him your concerns were 11 or the concerns of the membership, I guess? 12 ANSWER: Well, I told him our concerns were, number 13 one, that, you know, to extend probation for new hirees I felt 14 like would hurt recruitment with respect to staffing and safety 15 and morale -- or actually, with recruitment, staffing, and 16 safety. And I also told him that -- that, you know, that we 17 were against being put on probation after you were promoted, 18 that, you know -- I mean an 18-month probation -- I mean 19 probation after you were promoted was a little long. Twelve 20 months was adequate. And that's when he told me that the 21 probation didn't affect people that were already employed. Ιt 22 would only be new hirees. And I said, well, I wasn't aware of 23 that. But, you know, no matter what, I just want to let you 24 know what our viewpoint is. We would be opposed to probation 25 for, you know, employees who were promoted as well as extending

- 1 probation for new employees.
- 2 QUESTION: Okay. So the mayor cleared up your
- 3 | confusion as to whether or not this proposal applied to new
- 4 employees or all employees in general.
- 5 ANSWER: Yes.
- 6 QUESTION: Was there --
- 7 (The hearing excerpt is concluded)
- 8 Q. (Mr. McKoon, continuing:) Were you able to hear that,
- 9 Mr. Davis?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, I was.
- 11 Q. So were you in fact -- when you called the mayor, were you
- 12 | still confused about what the probation applied to?
- 13 A. No, sir. I was not personally.
- 14 Q. Well, you heard your lawyer ask that question just now where
- 15 he said, so the mayor cleared up the confusion. That was
- 16 Mr. Polisuk, wasn't it, that asked the question?
- 17 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. The guy that was present with you? And I mean that wasn't
- 19 Mr. Graham asking the question, was it?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. And the question he asked was, so the mayor cleared up your
- 22 | confusion as to whether or not this proposal applied to new
- 23 employees or all employees in general. And your answer was yes.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. In fact, when you called the mayor, according to your

- 1 personnel review board testimony, you were telling him that you
- 2 didn't want to be put on probation, that you thought people that
- 3 | were already working there didn't need to be put on probation;
- 4 | is that right?
- 5 A. Repeat the question.
- 6 Q. Okay. When you called the mayor, you were telling him that
- 7 | you didn't think -- that one of your objections was you didn't
- 8 | want people like you, who were already employed there, to be put
- 9 on probation.
- 10 A. Well, I think part of the conversation was I was making sure
- 11 by his discussion that he was aware that this wasn't affecting
- 12 | full-time employees but was part-time employees.
- 13 Q. All right. Well, you just heard what was on that tape,
- 14 | didn't you?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. That's not what that tape said, is it?
- 17 A. That's -- it didn't say that.
- 18 Q. Okay. I mean you said what you said, didn't you?
- 19 A. When I talked to the mayor and I was bringing up the fact
- 20 about the probation, I wanted to make sure that he ensured me
- 21 | that he understood that it didn't have to do with full-time
- 22 employees as well, that we would be against that and extending
- 23 | the probationary time for new employees.
- 24 Q. Well, again, this is a transcript of what we just -- we just
- 25 | listened to. And it said here, and that's when he told me --

- 1 | the mayor told you -- that the probation didn't affect people
- 2 that were already employed, that it would only be new hirees.
- 3 And I said, well, I wasn't aware of that.
- 4 Were you aware of that or were the not aware of it?
- 5 A. I was aware of it.
- 6 Q. Okay. So why did you say in your sworn testimony in front
- 7 of the personnel review board that you weren't aware of it?
- 8 A. I think that's what I discussed -- that's what I said to him
- 9 on the phone. I was aware prior to calling him, because I
- 10 called the city clerk.
- 11 Q. Okay. I understand that. My question is why would you tell
- 12 him you weren't aware of that if you were in fact aware of it?
- 13 A. I don't know why I said that.
- 14 Q. All right.
- 15 A. I was just trying to find out if he knew exactly what he was
- 16 | voting on.
- 17 Q. Well, he wasn't even at this personnel review board hearing,
- 18 | was he?
- 19 A. I don't know if he was or not.
- 20 Q. And your lawyer was asking you these questions; is that
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And your lawyer asked you, he said, so the mayor cleared up
- 24 your confusion as to whether or not this proposal applied to new
- 25 employees or all employees, in general, and your answer was yes;

- 1 | is that correct?
- 2 A. He did clear up my position that he knew exactly what he was
- 3 talking about.
- 4 Q. Mr. Davis, not your position, your confusion. That's
- 5 | what -- that's what you said on the --
- 6 A. I wasn't confused.
- 7 Q. You weren't confused. Okay. So you just misunderstood your
- 8 own lawyer's question at the personnel review board hearing.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. All right. You said you called the membership -- after
- 11 talking to the clerk and she cleared up your confusion, you said
- 12 that you called the membership and that they were all opposed to
- 13 | it, these people that you can't remember their names except for
- 14 | Bill Pitts, whose name you could remember. Did any of those
- 15 people ever call you before you called them?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. So none of them were calling you at home saying that they
- 18 | had seen it in the paper?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. You're sure about that?
- 21 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- 22 Q. Well, let's go back to the -- I don't know what I did with
- 23 | the tape. Here it is.
- MR. MCKOON: Give us just a second.
- 25 (Brief pause)

```
(The hearing excerpt is played, as follows:)
 1
 2
             OUESTION:
                       How long had y'all known that they were
 3
   going to change this from -- it was just something that came to
 4
    light in between two meetings, or had y'all known about it in
 5
    the past and it hadn't come up in any meetings prior?
 6
             ANSWER (By Mr. Davis): Yeah. I knew about it Monday,
 7
    and the vote was Tuesday, the next day. During the day, you
 8
   know, they called the house, hey, have you seen the paper.
 9
    was, like, no, but I'm looking into it. And then I called and
10
   got confirmation from the city about what it was because I
11
    didn't know what it was by looking at it.
12
             QUESTION: Okay.
13
        (The hearing excerpt is concluded)
        (Mr. McKoon, continuing:) Okay. Did you hear that?
14
    Q.
15
       Somewhat.
   Α.
16
             Well, I'll represent to you this is a transcript
17
    where one of the board members asked you how long you had known
18
    about the change, meaning the change in the probationary period.
19
             MR. STEELE: Excuse me, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT:
                         Yes.
21
             MR. STEELE: Do you have a copy of the representation?
22
             MR. MCKOON:
                         I'll be glad to. One moment. Page 33.
             MR. STEELE: Thank you.
23
24
       The board member asked you how long y'all had known they
25
    were going to change this from -- it was something that just
```

- 1 came to light in between two meetings. In other words, as I
- 2 understood it, you were telling the board at the time that this
- 3 is something that you just found out about on the 16th, and so
- 4 you had to get on to the mayor. You didn't have time to follow
- 5 the chain of command. Is that correct?
- 6 A. What day was the 16th?
- 7 Q. The day before --
- 8 A. The Monday?
- 9 Q. -- you made the phone call to the mayor.
- 10 A. Yes. That's when I found out exactly what it entailed.
- 11 Q. And it's got on here, David Davis: Yeah, I knew about it
- 12 Monday, and the vote was the Tuesday.
- 13 Do you remember that?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And board member said, oh, okay. And then you said, and
- 16 during the day, you know, calling the house, like, hey, have you
- 17 | seen the paper? So I was, like, no, but I'm looking into it.
- 18 And then I called and got confirmation from the city. I wanted
- 19 to know what it was because I didn't know what it was by looking
- 20 at it.
- 21 So were people calling you at your house asking you to look
- 22 | at it in the paper?
- 23 A. Not Monday.
- 24 Q. Okay. Well, when were they doing that?
- 25 A. The only person that I had a conversation with about it

- 1 being in the paper was Bill Pitts.
- 2 Q. Okay. Well, again, I don't -- I'm not trying to beat a dead
- 3 horse; but according to this, it says, you know, calling the
- 4 house, like, hey, have you seen the paper?
- 5 Was that Bill Pitts calling your house?
- 6 A. No. He called me at the station I believe Sunday.
- 7 Q. Okay. So I was, like, no, but I'm looking into it.
- 8 So you hadn't seen the paper when whoever it was called you
- 9 at your house; is that right?
- 10 A. I don't know exactly what to say. That was three years ago,
- 11 | two years ago. I answered that the best I could at the time.
- 12 Q. Well, in fact, your memory of this whole incident would have
- 13 been much better on March the 16th, 2006, than it would be
- 14 today. Is that a fair statement?
- 15 A. No, sir. That would probably be an unfair statement.
- 16 Q. Okay. So has your memory gotten better over time?
- 17 A. Well, I can tell you when all these events occurred, I
- 18 didn't know they would be so significant that I'd have to pay
- 19 attention to every one of them. I was an emotional wreck
- 20 | shortly thereafter being fired.
- 21 Q. Okay. Let me get this out of the way. The truth of the
- 22 | matter is, is George Bennett never told you that you should go
- 23 to the mayor with this, did he?
- 24 A. No. The truth is George Bennett told me to make the phone
- 25 calls.

- 1 Q. And he did that at the station house, but then you just
- 2 | learned about it when you were at your house. Is that what
- 3 | you're saying?
- 4 A. No, sir. That's not what I'm saying.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. I'm saying George Bennett told me Sunday that I needed to
- 7 make some phone calls.
- 8 Q. All this stuff that you just read that you told the mayor,
- 9 all the details about how you might want this changed or how
- 10 this -- this probationary period could be made different and all
- 11 of that, that was -- the proposal to change it actually came
- 12 from this man seated over here, didn't it, Mr. Wallace Hunter?
- 13 A. I'm not sure if it did or not.
- 14 Q. Well, how does a proposal for the fire department usually
- 15 | get made to the city council?
- 16 | A. It didn't affect just the fire department.
- 17 Q. Well, how did the -- how does a proposal for public safety
- 18 usually get made?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. Okay. So you didn't know about that either.
- 21 A. Know about what?
- 22 Q. About how these proposals get made and sent to council.
- 23 A. No, sir. I don't have knowledge of that. I've never been a
- 24 part of that process.
- 25 Q. Okay. Yet you've been a lobbyist before, haven't you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You've testified before that you've lobbied the State
- 3 Legislature for different things.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 O. Is that right? So you know how laws are made.
- 6 A. Well, as a lobbyist, all I know is I call and voice what me
- 7 or the people I represent's, you know, opinions would be on that
- 8 legislation.
- 9 Q. All of these things that you told the mayor that you just
- 10 | read just a moment ago, was there any reason in the world you
- 11 | couldn't have taken those same things up with Chief Hunter?
- 12 A. Chief Hunter didn't have a vote on it.
- 13 Q. Well, but it was his proposal.
- 14 A. I don't know that it was his proposal.
- 15 | O. Well, did you bother to find out, Mr. Davis?
- 16 A. I was on my day off. I called the people that were voting
- 17 on it.
- 18 Q. I see.
- 19 A. After they told me it was okay to call.
- 20 Q. So is the answer no, you didn't bother to find out?
- 21 A. Didn't bother to find out --
- 22 Q. Whose proposal it was.
- 23 A. It didn't matter whose proposal it was.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. That wasn't the issue.

- 1 Q. So if the fire chief makes a proposal to the city council
- 2 and you don't like it, your idea is in spite of the policy that
- 3 you know about and in spite of this job that you love and
- 4 treasure and have sat up there and talked about a few moments
- 5 ago and put at risk to do this, in spite of all that, you'd
- 6 rather go around the policy than simply make a phone call to the
- 7 chief.
- 8 A. I didn't say that.
- 9 Q. Well, did you make a phone call to the chief?
- 10 A. No, sir, I didn't. I called the legislative body.
- 11 Q. When Roy Waters came on as the deputy chief of the
- 12 department, did he come in and tell everybody at every shift
- 13 | that he had an open-door policy?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | O. And did he -- did he actually make overtures to you to talk
- 16 to you about the situation at the fire department?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And did y'all have shift meetings every day?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. You didn't?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Well, did you go to meetings where Mr. Roy Waters was there
- 23 on the -- let's just say during the course of a week, that would
- 24 happen two or three times a week?
- 25 A. We had training sessions every day.

- 1 Q. You had training sessions every day. And was Chief Waters
- 2 | at them?
- 3 A. Some of them, yes.
- 4 Q. All right. At every meeting would he start it off by saying
- 5 | if anybody has any concerns, any suggestions, any problems,
- 6 you're welcome to come to me?
- 7 A. I don't know if he said that every time.
- 8 Q. Did you know his door was open to you if you wanted to come
- 9 to him about something?
- 10 A. He personally told me the door was open.
- 11 Q. Did you take advantage of that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Why didn't you do it on this occasion?
- 14 A. I was off work.
- 15 | O. So is it your position that when you're off work, that as a
- 16 | firefighter, that the rules don't apply to you?
- 17 A. I follow the rules at work that are for work.
- 18 Q. Okay. Well, what good would this rule do, ASOP 12, in your
- 19 mind -- what good would this rule do if people only had to
- 20 | follow it while they were at work?
- 21 A. Well, you would follow it at work because you had a
- 22 | work-related issue and you were going to council as an employee.
- 23 Q. Wasn't the change in the probationary period of new hires a
- 24 | work-related issue?
- 25 A. No, sir, it wasn't a work-related issue. It was a

- 1 proposal. It wasn't an issue yet.
- 2 Q. Oh, I see. So you were calling to stop something that was
- 3 | not going to be work-related.
- 4 A. No. I was calling to voice our opinions in opposition to
- 5 proposed legislation.
- 6 Q. When you came in and signed this form on April the 20th or
- 7 the 21st, whenever it was signed, did you know the reason you
- 8 | were being terminated?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. They -- I was told.
- 10 Q. Okay. And does it say on here why you're being terminated?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. What is that?
- 13 A. The document detailed a description of events. That's what
- 14 | I was directed to.
- 15 Q. Down here at the bottom, does it say discharge as per merit
- 16 | system rules and regulations for second group II offense?
- 17 A. I can't see.
- 18 Q. Do you see that right there?
- 19 A. Okay. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. So this wasn't your first offense, was it?
- 21 A. My first offense of what?
- 22 Q. Group II offense.
- 23 A. Well, there's like a hundred group IIs.
- 24 Q. Okay. You didn't feel like you'd been insubordinate?
- 25 A. No, sir.

```
1 Q. Okay. Is this just all a mistake? Is that what it is, just
```

- 2 | an innocent mistake? You just didn't understand the rule, or
- 3 does the rule not apply to you? Which is it?
- 4 A. No. I understood the rule as if I was on duty and in my
- 5 capacity as a firefighter.
- 6 Q. So you're not a firefighter -- by the way, what do you
- 7 | consider your first job? Is it an ambulance person or a
- 8 | firefighter?
- 9 MR. STEELE: Objection. Relevancy.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 11 MR. STEELE: Objection, Your Honor. Relevancy.
- 12 THE COURT: What is the relevance?
- MR. STEELE: He's asking --
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. McKoon, what is the relevance?
- 15 MR. MCKOON: He's saying he doesn't have to do it when
- 16 he's off duty. I just wonder which comes first.
- 17 MR. STEELE: At the time in question, he had one job.
- 18 | He wasn't working for the ambulance --
- 19 MR. MCKOON: I'll withdraw it.
- 20 THE COURT: I'll sustain it.
- 21 MR. MCKOON: I'll withdraw it.
- 22 Q. When you were working for the Phenix City Fire Department,
- 23 | what was your -- what was your first job?
- 24 A. As a Phenix City firefighter.
- 25 Q. And were you subject to recall?

- 1 A. I could be.
- 2 | Q. And you were a public safety employee?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Means you ensure the public -- the safety of the public.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you've heard of off-duty police officers. Have you ever
- 7 heard a police officer is a police officer 24 hours a day?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Never heard that before?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 MR. MCKOON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Davis.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. We'll recess for the day at
- 13 this time. Members of the jury, if the weather changes -- and
- 14 | that can happen, but this is the same report we've been getting
- 15 | all day, the timetable. If it should change that it's delayed
- 16 and that there's a dangerous condition over here that we're told
- 17 | about, we'll call you. I believe you've already given your
- 18 numbers, haven't you, to the deputy? And if anything happens,
- 19 | then we will; but if you don't get a call, then I'll ask you to
- 20 be back tomorrow afternoon before two o'clock so that we can
- 21 start at two o'clock. Don't come back in the courtroom. Come
- 22 back to the jury room where you've been, and we'll try to start
- 23 promptly at two o'clock.
- 24 Now, when you go home, there may be, in a case like
- 25 | this involving the city -- and you've heard about newspaper

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

articles. There may be something in a newspaper about this; I don't know. There may be something on television or radio about I'm instructing you that you're not to read it, listen to it, or watch it. And if you see anything about it, just put it away. If somebody wants to put it aside and let you see what it said afterwards, after this is all over, that's fine. you've got to make your decision based on what happens in the courtroom. And things they talk about on television or that's written about in a newspaper or talked about on the radio, people haven't heard everything you've heard. They may say something that they thought important that you didn't think important at all. They may leave out something that was important or they may get it wrong and say something different from what you've heard. So you just can't be influenced by any of that. So just don't listen to it. Don't read it. It would be unusual if somebody out of the eight of you doesn't get home and have a spouse or somebody ask you what this case is all about that you're going to be up here most of the week on. For the same reason that it's not their duty -they're not the ones that are going to have to answer these questions -- you can't be influenced by somebody else. So just tell them that you've been told that you can't discuss the case until it's over. When it's over, you can talk to them or anybody else about it all you want to. You don't have to talk to anybody about it then, but you'll be free to. But until

```
then, with anybody, just don't talk about or discuss what's
 1
 2
   happening in the courtroom.
 3
             So having said all that, I think you'll have a safe
 4
   drive home. It's not supposed to be bad weather yet. I haven't
 5
    looked outside, but it's not supposed to be. And we'll be in
 6
   recess until tomorrow at two o'clock.
 7
        (Jury out at 5:59 p.m.)
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Is there anything counsel need
 9
    to take up on the record before we recess for the day?
10
   Plaintiff? Mr. Steele?
11
            MR. STEELE: No, sir, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. McKoon?
12
13
             MR. MCKOON: Judge, the only thing I would say is
    this. Given the Court's rulings on these other matters, I
14
15
    didn't cross-examine him about any of those until -- until and
16
    unless they come in otherwise. And so I would like him subject
17
    to recall, should I decide to do that. That's my only --
             THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll let you recall him
18
19
    if --
20
             MR. MCKOON: If necessary. I don't know that it's
21
    going to be necessary.
22
             THE COURT: All right. I want to get started promptly
23
   at two. I will be here at 1:30. And if there's anything that
24
   we need to take up before we get started, it will have to be
25
    done in less than 30 minutes. But I'll be happy to get with
```

```
counsel at 1:30. If you have anything ahead of time, be here at
 1
   1:30 and we'll take it up. We're in recess until 1:30.
 2
        (Evening recess at 6:01 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
3	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
4	This 16th day of May, 2008.
5	
6	/s/ Risa L. Entrekin
7	Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
8	Official Court Reporter
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	